

On the stability of a functional equation related to associativity*

by CLAUDI ALSINA (Barcelona)

Abstract. We solve, under some regularity conditions, the functional inequality $|F(Kx, KF(y, z)) - F(KF(x, y), Kz)| \leq \varepsilon$, where F is a binary operation on \mathbf{R}^+ to be found. In the case $K = 1$ we exhibit some non-associative solutions of this inequality corresponding to the stability of the associativity.

After the celebrated paper by Hyers [5] and the ideas introduced by Ulam [6] the study of stability of functional equations has become an important field of research.

The aim of this paper is to solve the functional inequality

$$(1) \quad |F(Kx, KF(y, z)) - F(KF(x, y), Kz)| \leq \varepsilon$$

where ε is a given positive constant, the variables x, y, z and K run over $\mathbf{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$ and the unknown function F is a continuous strictly increasing binary operation on \mathbf{R}^+ with 0 as a unit element.

A surprising result is that (1) is equivalent to the corresponding equation $F(Kx, KF(y, z)) = F(KF(x, y), Kz)$ which yields the associativity and homogeneity of F . But if we fix $K = 1$ in (1) then we have the stability of the associativity equation and it is possible to find non-associative solutions.

We begin with the following

THEOREM 1. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$ let F be a binary operation on \mathbf{R}^+ with 0 as a unit element. If F satisfies the inequality (1):*

$$|F(Kx, KF(y, z)) - F(KF(x, y), Kz)| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all x, y, z and K in \mathbf{R}^+ , then F must satisfy the functional equation

$$(2) \quad F(Kx, KF(y, z)) = F(KF(x, y), Kz)$$

for all x, y, z and K in \mathbf{R}^+ .

* Research supported by C.A.I.C.Y.T. (M.E.C.) Project 1503/82.C3.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 39B20; Secondary 39B50, 26D05.

Key words and phrases: functional equation, stability, associativity.

Proof. If $u > 1$ the substitution $K = u^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = 0$ in (1) yields

$$|u^n F(y, z) - F(u^n \cdot y, u^n \cdot z)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

or equivalently

$$|F(y, z) - F(u^n \cdot y, u^n \cdot z)/u^n| \leq \varepsilon/u^n,$$

whence

$$(3) \quad F(y, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u^n \cdot y, u^n \cdot z)/u^n$$

for all y, z in \mathbb{R}^+ and for all $u > 1$. From (3) we obtain

$$(4) \quad F(y, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n \cdot F(y/u^n, z/u^n),$$

whenever y, z are in \mathbb{R}^+ and $u < 1$. Now we proceed to prove the associativity of F . Using (1) and (3) we have for all x, y , and z in \mathbb{R}^+

$$\begin{aligned} & |F(x, F(y, z)) - F(F(x, y), z)| \\ &= \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(2^n x, 2^n F(y, z))}{2^n} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(2^n F(x, y), 2^n z)}{2^n} \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(2^n x, 2^n F(y, z)) - F(2^n F(x, y), 2^n z)|}{2^n} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon/2^n = 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence the associativity of F follows, i.e., (2) is true for $K = 1$. Using this and (3) we have for all x, y, z in \mathbb{R}^+ and for all $K > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |F(Kx, KF(y, z)) - F(KF(x, y), Kz)| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(K^{n+1}x, K^{n+1}F(y, z)) - F(K^{n+1}F(x, y), K^{n+1}z)|}{K^n} \\ &= K \cdot \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(K^{n+1}x, K^{n+1}F(y, z))}{K^{n+1}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(K^{n+1}F(x, y), K^{n+1}z)}{K^{n+1}} \right| \\ &= K |F(x, F(y, z)) - F(F(x, y), z)| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence (2) holds whenever $K > 1$. In a similar way using (4) and the associativity of F we show that (2) holds for $K < 1$.

THEOREM 2. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ let F be a continuous, strictly increasing two-argument function from $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ onto \mathbb{R}^+ with 0 as a unit element. Then F satisfies the inequality (1):

$|F(Kx, KF(y, z)) - F(KF(x, y), Kz)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all x, y, z and K in \mathbb{R}^+ if and only if there exists a positive constant $c > 0$ such that

$$F(x, y) = \sqrt[c]{x^c + y^c}.$$

Proof. By the previous theorem, (1) holds if and only if the corresponding equation (2) is satisfied by F :

$$F(Kx, KF(y, z)) = F(KF(x, y), Kz).$$

The substitution $z = 0$ in (2) yields the homogeneity of F :

$$(5) \quad F(Kx, Ky) = KF(x, y).$$

Also the substitution $K = 1$ yields the associativity of F , which together with the conditions assumed on F implies [1] that F can be represented in the form $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$, where f is a monotonic continuous function from \mathbf{R}^+ onto \mathbf{R}^+ such that $f(0) = 0$. It is known [1] that the only associative operations representable in this form which are homogeneous are $F(x, y) = \sqrt[c]{x^c + y^c}$ for some $c > 0$.

Now we turn our attention to (1) in the case where $K = 1$.

DEFINITION 1. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, a binary operation F on \mathbf{R}^+ is said to be ε -associative if (1) holds for $K = 1$ and for all x, y , and z in \mathbf{R}^+ , i.e.,

$$(6) \quad |F(x, F(y, z)) - F(F(x, y), z)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Obviously any associative operation satisfies (6), but there are non-associative solutions of (6). An easy way to construct such examples is to apply the following

THEOREM 3. Let F and L be two binary operations on \mathbf{R}^+ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Assume that the following conditions hold for all a, b, c in \mathbf{R}^+ :

- (i) $L(a, L(b, c)) = L(L(a, b), c)$;
- (ii) $L(a, b) = L(b, a)$;
- (iii) $L(a, b) \leq L(c, b)$ whenever $a \leq c$;
- (iv) $L(a + \varepsilon, c) \leq L(a, c) + L(\varepsilon, 0)$;
- (v) $|L(a, b) - F(a, b)| \leq \varepsilon$;
- (vi) $F(a, b) = F(b, a)$.

Then F is $2(\varepsilon + L(0, \varepsilon))$ -associative.

Proof. Using the hypotheses on L and F we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(F(a, b), c) &\leq L(L(a, b) + \varepsilon, c) \leq L(L(a, b), c) + L(\varepsilon, 0) \\ &= L(a, L(b, c)) + L(\varepsilon, 0) \leq L(a, F(b, c) + \varepsilon) + L(\varepsilon, 0) \\ &\leq L(a, F(b, c)) + L(0, \varepsilon) + L(\varepsilon, 0) \\ &= L(a, F(b, c)) + 2L(0, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then we also have

$$L(F(c, b), a) \leq L(c, F(b, a)) + 2L(0, \varepsilon),$$

so we can conclude using (vi) that

$$(7) \quad |L(F(a, b), c) - L(a, F(b, c))| \leq 2L(0, \varepsilon).$$

Finally, using (v) and (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F(a, F(b, c)) - F(F(a, b), c)| &\leq |F(a, F(b, c)) - L(a, F(b, c))| \\ &\quad + |L(a, F(b, c)) - L(F(a, b), c)| \\ &\quad + |L(F(a, b), c) - F(F(a, b), c)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2L(0, \varepsilon) + \varepsilon = 2(\varepsilon + L(0, \varepsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., F is $2(\varepsilon + L(0, \varepsilon))$ -associative.

COROLLARY 1. *Given $\varepsilon \geq 0$ let F be a binary operation on \mathbf{R}^+ satisfying one of the following inequalities:*

$$(1) \quad |F(a, b) - \text{Max}(a, b)| \leq \varepsilon/4;$$

$$(2) \quad |F(a, b) - (a + b)| \leq \varepsilon/4.$$

Then F is ε -associative.

Thus we have shown that “near” maximum or addition it is trivial to find an ε -associative operation. A natural question arises: what happens “near” product? The answer is that the only ε -associative operation close to product is just product. This fact will be an immediate consequence of the following theorem whose proof follows the method introduced by Baker in [3]:

THEOREM 4. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$ let F be an ε -associative operation on \mathbf{R}^+ . Suppose that there exist a positive real number δ and a bijective function f from \mathbf{R}^+ into \mathbf{R}^+ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq g(|x - y|)$ for some non-decreasing function g from \mathbf{R}^+ into itself, and*

$$|f(F(x, y)) - f(x)f(y)| < \delta \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^+.$$

Then necessarily $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) \cdot f(y))$.

Proof. For any x, y and z in \mathbf{R}^+ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(F(x, y))f(z) - f(x)f(y)f(z)| &\leq |f(F(x, y))f(z) - f(x)f(F(y, z))| \\ &\quad + |f(x)f(F(y, z)) - f(x)f(y)f(z)| \\ &\leq |f(F(F(x, y), z)) - f(F(x, y)) \cdot f(z)| \\ &\quad + |f(F(F(x, y), z)) - f(F(x, F(y, z)))| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |f(F(x, F(y, z))) - f(x)f(F(y, z))| \\
& + |f(x)f(F(y, z)) - f(x)f(y)f(z)| \\
& \leq \delta + g(|F(F(x, y), z) - F(x, F(y, z))|) + \delta + |f(x)|\delta \\
& \leq 2\delta + g(\varepsilon) + |f(x)|\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

If we substitute $z = f^{-1}(n)$ we obtain

$$(8) \quad |f(F(x, y)) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \frac{2\delta + g(\varepsilon) + |f(x)|\delta}{n}.$$

Thus when n goes to infinity we obtain

$$0 \leq |f(F(x, y)) - f(x)f(y)| \leq 0,$$

i.e., $f(F(x, y)) = f(x)f(y)$ and consequently $F(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x)f(y))$.

COROLLARY 2. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ let F be an ε -associative operation on \mathbf{R}^+ such that $|F(x, y) - xy| < \delta$ for all x, y in \mathbf{R}^+ . Then $F(x, y) = x \cdot y$.

Proof. Substitute $f(x) = g(x) = x$ in the previous theorem.

Finally, we point out an open question: Given an ε -associative function F on \mathbf{R}^+ is there an associative operation A such that $|F(x, y) - A(x, y)| \leq K\varepsilon$ for some $K > 0$? The answer to this problem would complete the study of the stability of the associativity equation.

References

- [1] J. Aczél, *Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications*, Academic Press, New York 1966.
- [2] C. Alsina and R. Ger, *Associative operations close to a given one*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Canada 7 (3) (1985), 207-210.
- [3] J. A. Baker, *The stability of the cosine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1980), 411-416.
- [4] P. Cholewa, *The stability problem for a generalized Cauchy type functional equation*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 29 (6) (1984), 457-460.
- [5] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [6] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience, New York 1960.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I ESTADÍSTICA
 E.T.S.A.D.
 UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA
 Avda. Diagonal 649, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25.05.1986
 Révisé le 20.06.1987