

ASSOCIATIVE AND IDEMPOTENT ALGEBRAS  
ARE AT MOST TERNARY

BY

J. PŁONKA (WROCŁAW)

**0.** Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; \mathbf{F})$  be an algebra. We denote by  $A^{(n)}(\mathbf{F})$  the set of all  $n$ -ary algebraic operations in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and by  $A(\mathbf{F})$  the set of all algebraic operations in  $\mathfrak{A}$  (see [1]). For two algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  we write  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$  if  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; \mathbf{F})$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = (X; \mathbf{G})$  and  $A(\mathbf{F}) = A(\mathbf{G})$ . An algebraic operation  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is called *idempotent* if  $f(x, \dots, x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ . If any  $f \in \mathbf{F}$  is idempotent, we say that the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is *idempotent*. An algebraic operation  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is called to be *associative* if for any  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in X$  it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) &= f(x_1, f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= \dots = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, f(x_n, \dots, x_{2n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that any nullary and unary operation is associative. We say that an algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; \mathbf{F})$  is *associative* if there exists a set  $\mathbf{G}$  of associative operations such that  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; \mathbf{G})$ . In the sequel by saying that  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; \mathbf{F})$  is associative we shall mean that all operations belonging to  $\mathbf{F}$  are associative. We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  the algebra  $(X; \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{F}))$ , where  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{F})$  is the set of all idempotent algebraic operations in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The algebra  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  is called the *full idempotent reduct* of  $\mathfrak{A}$  or, briefly, the *idempotent reduct* of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

For any algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; \mathbf{F})$  E. Marczewski has defined a number  $\varrho(\mathfrak{A})$ , called the *arity* of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , as follows:

$\varrho(\mathfrak{A}) = \min\{n: \mathfrak{A} = (X; A^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}))\}$ ; if this minimum does not exist, we accept  $\varrho(\mathfrak{A}) = -1$ .

In this paper we prove (Theorem 1) that if  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; \mathbf{F})$  is an idempotent, associative algebra and  $\mathbf{F} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; \{t(f)\}_{f \in \mathbf{F}})$ , where each  $t(f)$  belongs to  $A(\mathbf{F})$  and is at most ternary. From this it follows that  $\varrho(\mathfrak{A}) \leq 3$ .

Further we prove (Theorem 2) that if  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  is an associative algebra,  $f(x, \dots, x) \neq x$  and there exist idempotent algebraic

operations in  $\mathfrak{A}$  different from projections, then

$$\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{A}) = (X; t(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \quad \text{for some } t \in I(f).$$

**1. LEMMA 1.** *If an operation  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for  $n \geq 3$  is idempotent and associative, then it is an iteration of the operation*

$$t(x_1, x_2, x_3) = p(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_3).$$

**Proof.** Write

$$t_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = t(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

and, for  $2 < k < n-1$ ,

$$t_{k+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+2}) = t_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, t(x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}), x_{k+2}).$$

Now it is enough to show that

$$(1) \quad t_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+1});$$

and then to take

$$t_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

By assumption, (1) holds for  $k = 2$ . Assume that (1) holds for a fixed  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k < n-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+2}) &= t_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, t(x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}), x_{k+2}) \\ &= p(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, p(x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{k+2}), x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{k+2}) \\ &= p(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, p(x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{k+2}), x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{k+2}) \\ &= p(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{k+2}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

From Lemma 1 it follows

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; F)$  is an idempotent, associative algebra, then  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; \{t(f)\}_{f \in F})$ , where  $t(f) = f$  if  $f$  is less than ternary and  $t(f)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_3)$  otherwise.*

**Remark.** The assumption of associativity in Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 is essential. In fact, take an algebra

$$\mathfrak{B} = (\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}, f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \quad (n > 3),$$

and put  $f(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n}) = a_{i_1}$  if all elements  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n}$  are different and  $f(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n}) = a_{i_n}$  otherwise (see [3]). Then any algebraic operation having less than  $n$  variables is a projection.

2. Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  for  $n \geq 2$  be an algebra with the unique associative fundamental operation. Write

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{1+(n-1)}) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ f_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{1+(k+1)(n-1)}) \\ &= f(f_k(x_1, \dots, x_{1+k(n-1)}), x_{2+k(n-1)}, \dots, x_{1+(k+1)(n-1)}) \quad (k \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the associativity of the operation  $f$  we have

(i) Any algebraic operation in  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a projection or is equal to some operation of the form  $f_k(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_1+k(n-1)})$ , where  $k \geq 1$ .

In particular, any idempotent algebraic operation not being a projection is of the form  $f_k$  in some variables.

If idempotent algebraic operations not being projections exist in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , denote by  $k_0$  the smallest integer for which  $f_{k_0}(x_1, \dots, x_{1+k_0(n-1)})$  is idempotent.

LEMMA 2. Any idempotent algebraic operation in  $\mathfrak{A}$  not being a projection is generated by the operation  $f_{k_0}(x_1, \dots, x_{1+k_0(n-1)})$ .

Proof. Observe first that any of the operations  $f_{sk_0}$  for  $s = 2, 3, 4, \dots$  is an iteration of  $f_{k_0}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2k_0}(x_1, \dots, x_{1+2k_0(n-1)}) \\ = f_{k_0}(f_{k_0}(x_1, \dots, x_{1+k_0(n-1)}), x_{2+k_0(n-1)}, \dots, x_{1+2k_0(n-1)}), \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Thus any  $f_{sk_0}$  is idempotent. Further, we can easily see that an operation  $f_k(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_1+k(n-1)})$  (perhaps with repetitions of variables) is idempotent iff so is the operation  $f_k(x_1, \dots, x_{1+k(n-1)})$ ; moreover, the second one generates the first one by identifying or changing variables. So, to prove our lemma, it is enough, by (i), to show that if an operation  $f_m(x_1, \dots, x_{1+m(n-1)})$  is idempotent, then  $m = sk_0$  for some natural  $s$ . Assume, to the contrary, that  $m = s_0k_0 + r$ , where  $s_0 = \max\{s: sk_0 < m\}$ , and  $0 < r < k_0$ . Then we have

$$f_r(x, \dots, x) = f_r(f_{s_0k_0}(x, \dots, x), x, \dots, x) = f_m(x, \dots, x) = x,$$

since  $f_{s_0k_0}$  and  $f_m$  are idempotent by assumption. Thus  $f_r$  is idempotent which contradicts the definition of the number  $k_0$ .

THEOREM 2. For any algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  with the unique associative fundamental operation, one of the four possibilities holds:

- (a)  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{A}) = (X; \emptyset)$ ;
- (b)  $n = 2$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an idempotent semigroup;
- (c)  $n \geq 3$ ,  $f$  is idempotent, and  $\mathfrak{A} = (X; f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_3))$ ;
- (d)  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f$  is not idempotent, in  $\mathfrak{A}$  there exist idempotent algebraic operations not being projections, and

$$\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{A}) = (X; f_{k_0}(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_3)).$$

**Proof.** If the projections are the only idempotent algebraic operations in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then we have (a). If  $n = 2$  and  $f$  is idempotent, then we have (b). If  $n \geq 3$  and  $f$  is idempotent, then we have (c) by Lemma 1. If  $f$  is not idempotent and in  $\mathfrak{A}$  there exist idempotent algebraic operations different from projections, then  $n \geq 2$ . So, by Lemma 2,  $f_{k_0}(x_1, \dots, x_{1+k_0(n-1)})$  generates all idempotent operations in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It is easy to observe that  $f_{k_0}(x_1, \dots, x_{1+k_0(n-1)})$  is associative, since  $f$  is associative and all variables in  $f_{k_0}$  are different. Thus, by Lemma 1, we have (d).

**COROLLARY 1.** *For any semigroup  $\mathfrak{S} = (X; x \cdot y)$  we have three possibilities:*

- (e)  $x \cdot x = x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (f)  $\mathfrak{S}$  is not idempotent and  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{S}) = (X; \emptyset)$ ;
- (g)  $\mathfrak{S}$  is not idempotent and  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{S}) = (X; x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3^{k_0-1})$ , where  $k_0 = \min\{k: x^{k+1} = x\}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *For any group  $\mathfrak{G} = (G; x \cdot y)$  with the exponent  $m$  we have  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{G}) = (G; x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3^{m-1})$  (cf. [2]).*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Marczewski, *Independence and homomorphisms in abstract algebras*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 50 (1961), p. 45-61.
- [2] J. Płonka, *On the arity of idempotent reducts of groups*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 21 (1970), p. 35-37.
- [3] K. Urbanik, *On algebraic operations in idempotent algebras*, *ibidem* 13 (1965), p. 129-157.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 11. 1974*