

*A CHARACTERIZATION OF GROUP-VALUED MEASURES  
SATISFYING THE COUNTABLE CHAIN CONDITION*

BY

Z. LIPECKI (WROCLAW)

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring of sets and let  $(G, \tau)$  be an Abelian Hausdorff topological group. Given a measure  $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow G$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{N}(\mu)$  the family of all  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\mu(F) = 0$  for any  $F \subset E$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ . Following Drewnowski [1] and Musiał [3], we say that  $\mu$  satisfies the *countable chain condition* (shortly CCC) if any family of pairwise disjoint members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mu)$  is (at most) countable. As easily seen, when the topology  $\tau$  is metrizable, any measure  $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow G$  satisfies CCC. We shall establish in the sequel a result\* (Theorem 1) which can be regarded as a partial converse of this assertion. However, first let us remark that the full converse fails to be true in general. Indeed, take for  $G$  a Banach space and let  $\tau$  be the weak topology of  $G$ . Then any measure  $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow G$  satisfies CCC by the Orlicz-Pettis theorem, but  $\tau$  is non-metrizable unless  $G$  is finite-dimensional.

We begin with the following

**LEMMA.** *Let  $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow G$  be a measure satisfying CCC. Then there exists a pseudo-metrizable group topology  $\tau_0 \subset \tau$  on  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\mu) = \{E \in \mathcal{S}: \mu(F) \in \overline{\{0\}}^{\tau_0} \text{ for any } F \subset E, F \in \mathcal{S}\}$ .*

**Proof.** The reasoning proceeds in three steps.

**Step I.** Suppose  $\{V_n\}$  is a sequence of closed neighbourhoods of 0 in  $G$  with  $V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} \subset V_n$  and  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  is such that

$$\mu(S) \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n.$$

Then there is an  $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{S}$  with the following properties:  $\tilde{S} \subset S$ ,  $\mu(\tilde{S}) \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$  and  $E \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$  whenever  $E \subset \tilde{S}$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mu(E) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$  (cf. (2°) of [2]).

---

\* Results of this note were presented at the Summer School on Subadditive Measures, Integrals and Operators, held at Modra-Piesky, Czechoslovakia, in September 1973.

Assuming the contrary, we shall be able to construct by induction a family  $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of pairwise disjoint members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mu)$  such that  $E_\alpha \subset S$  and  $\mu(E_\alpha) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ . However, the latter is evidently impossible if  $\mu$  satisfies CCC. Suppose, for  $\alpha < \alpha_0 < \omega_1$ ,  $E_\alpha$  with the listed properties are already defined. Since the set  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$  is closed and

$$\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n\right) + \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n\right) \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$$

by our assumptions on  $V_n$ , it follows from the countable additivity of  $\mu$  and the inductive hypothesis that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{\alpha < \alpha_0} E_\alpha\right) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n.$$

Setting

$$\tilde{S} = S \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \alpha_0} E_\alpha,$$

we have  $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mu(\tilde{S}) \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ . What we have assumed to the contrary implies the existence of a set  $E_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mu)$  with  $E_{\alpha_0} \subset \tilde{S}$  and  $\mu(E_{\alpha_0}) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ .

Step II. There exists a double sequence  $\{V_n^i\}$  of closed symmetric neighbourhoods of 0 in  $G$  and a sequence  $\{S_i\}$  of pairwise disjoint members of  $\mathcal{S}$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $V_{n+1}^i + V_{n+1}^i \subset V_n^i$ .
- (b) If  $E \subset S_i$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mu(E) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n^i$ , then  $E \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ .
- (c) If  $F \cap \bigcup_i S_i \neq \emptyset$  and  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ .

It is clearly sufficient to prove this assertion under the additional assumption that  $\mu$  is non-trivial, i. e.,  $\mathcal{N}(\mu) \neq \mathcal{S}$ . Consider the class  $\mathbf{M}$  consisting of all families  $\mathfrak{F}$  of pairwise disjoint members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mu)$  such that for any  $S \in \mathfrak{F}$  there is a sequence  $\{V_n^S\}$  of closed symmetric neighbourhoods of 0 in  $G$  with  $V_{n+1}^S + V_{n+1}^S \subset V_n^S$  and  $E \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$  whenever  $E \subset S$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mu(E) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n^S$ . In view of the additional assumption,  $\mathbf{M}$  is non-empty by Step I. The Kuratowski-Zorn lemma gives a maximal (with respect to set inclusion) element  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  of  $\mathbf{M}$ . Since  $\mu$  satisfies CCC,  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  is countable. Let  $\{S_i\}$  be an enumeration of members of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  and let  $\{V_n^i\}$  be the corresponding double sequence of neighbourhoods of 0. The definition of  $\mathbf{M}$  shows that  $\{S_i\}$  and  $\{V_n^i\}$  satisfy (a) and (b). Property (c) is a consequence of Step I and the maximality of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ .

Step III. Construction of  $\tau_0$ .

Put  $V_n = V_n^1 \cap V_n^2 \cap \dots \cap V_n^n$ , where  $V_n^i$  are the same as in Step II. Let  $\tau_0$  be the group topology for which  $\{V_n\}$  forms a neighbourhood base at 0. Clearly,  $\tau_0 \subset \tau$ . Moreover, by the well-known theorem of Kakutani,  $\tau_0$  is pseudo-metrizable. Suppose  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mu(F) \in \overline{\{0\}}^{\tau_0}$  for all  $F \subset E$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ . It follows from (b) and (c), respectively, that  $E \cap S_i \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$  and  $E \setminus \bigcup_i S_i \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal, we get  $E \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ . Thus  $\tau_0$  has all the desired properties.

Note. If  $(G, \tau)$  of the Lemma is additionally assumed to be a linear topological (resp., locally convex) space, then  $\tau_0$  can be chosen a linear space (resp., locally convex) topology on  $G$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $G$  is an Abelian Hausdorff topological group and  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring of sets. A measure  $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow G$  satisfies CCC if and only if there exists a metrizable group  $H$  and a continuous homomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow H$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\mu) = \mathcal{N}(h \circ \mu)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mu$  satisfies CCC, then there exists a topology  $\tau_0$  with the properties listed in the Lemma. Hence, in particular,  $G_0 = \overline{\{0\}}^{\tau_0}$  is a closed subgroup of  $(G, \tau_0)$  and the quotient group  $H$  of  $(G, \tau_0)$  modulo  $G_0$  is metrizable. Let  $h$  be the natural homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $H$ . As well known,  $h$  is continuous, so that  $h \circ \mu$  is a measure. Since  $G_0 = h^{-1}(0)$ , it follows from the Lemma that  $\mathcal{N}(\mu) = \mathcal{N}(h \circ \mu)$ .

Let us note another important consequence of the Lemma, namely a result stating that the two notions of absolute continuity coincide for measures satisfying CCC.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be Abelian Hausdorff topological groups and let  $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow G$  and  $\nu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow H$  be measures. If  $\mu$  satisfies CCC and  $\mathcal{N}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{N}(\nu)$ , then to every neighbourhood  $W$  of  $\theta$  in  $H$  there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\theta$  in  $G$  such that  $\nu(E) \in W$  whenever  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mu(F) \in V$  for all  $F \subset E$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau_0$  be the topology which existence is stated in the Lemma. Denote by  $\{V_n\}$  a neighbourhood base at  $\theta$  of  $\tau_0$  such that  $V_n$  are closed and  $V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} \subset V_n$ . If the conclusion of the Theorem is false, there exists a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $\theta$  in  $H$  and a sequence  $\{E_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\mu(F) \in V_n$  for  $F \subset E_n$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\nu(E_n) \notin W$ . Put

$$E_0 = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=p}^{\infty} E_m.$$

Then  $\mu(F) \in V_n$  for all  $F \subset \bigcup_{m=n+1}^{\infty} E_m$ , so that we obtain  $E_0 \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ .

Consequently,  $E_0 \in \mathcal{N}(\nu)$ . Since

$$\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} (E_m \setminus E_0) \downarrow \emptyset,$$

there is an  $n_0$  such that  $\nu(F) \in W$  for all  $F \subset \bigcup_{m=n_0}^{\infty} (E_m \setminus E_0)$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  (see, e. g., [4], Lemma 1). In particular,  $\nu(E_{n_0} \setminus E_0) \in W$ . It now follows that  $\nu(E_{n_0}) \in W$  which is a contradiction.

Added in proof. A slightly weaker version of Theorem 2 has been recently published by Traynor [5].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Drewnowski, *On control submeasures and measures*, *Studia Mathematica* 50 (1974), p. 203-224.
- [2] W. Herer, *Hahn decomposition of measures with values in a topological group*, *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques*, 20 (1972), p. 203-205.
- [3] K. Musiał, *Absolute continuity of vector measures*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 27 (1973), p. 319-321.
- [4] K. Sundaresan and P. W. Day, *Regularity of group valued measures*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 36 (1972), p. 609-612.
- [5] T. Traynor, *Absolute continuity for group-valued measures*, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 16 (1973), p. 577-579.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 31. 8. 1973*

---