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1. Introduction. Let $G$ be a locally compact group, $A(G) = A_2(G)$ its Fourier algebra, $B(G)$ its Fourier–Stieltjes algebra, as defined by Eymard [Eym], and $B_2(G)$ its generalized Fourier–Stieltjes algebra in the sense of Herz [Her].

We recall that if $(\pi, H_\pi)$ is a uniformly bounded strongly continuous representation of $G$ on a Hilbert space, then any matrix coefficient $\varphi$ of $\pi$, i.e., $\varphi = (\pi(\cdot) \xi, \eta)$ for some $\xi, \eta \in H_\pi$, is an element of $B_2(G)$. If $G$ is amenable, then furthermore $B_2(G)$ coincides with $B(G)$.

On the other hand, the free group on two generators $F_2$ is not amenable and the characteristic function of an infinite set $E \subset F_2$ which satisfies Leinert's condition:

\[(*) \; \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \; \forall x_1, \ldots, x_{2n} \in E, \text{ if } x_i \neq x_{i+1}, \; i = 1, \ldots, 2n-1, \text{ then } x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_3 \ldots x_{2n-1}^{-1}x_{2n} \neq e,\]

is an example of a function which belongs to $B_2(F_2)$ but not to $B(F_2)$ (cf. [Le2], Korollar 12). This condition is weaker than requiring $E$ to be a free set, by which we mean the following:
\forall n \in N, \forall x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E, \forall \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}, \text{ if } x_i \neq x_{i+1} \text{ or } \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i+1}, i = 1, \ldots, n-1, \text{ then }

x_1^{\varepsilon_1}x_2^{\varepsilon_2}\ldots x_n^{\varepsilon_n} \neq e.

We refer the reader to the paper of Akemann and Ostrand [Ak–Os] for a discussion of the relation between free sets and sets satisfying Leinert’s condition (\star).

As indicated in the title we shall consider only a fixed discrete group $G$. We show, using a theorem of M. Leinert on the decomposition of certain matrices, the following theorem:

1.1. Theorem. Let $E \subset G$ be a set satisfying Leinert’s condition (\star). Then its characteristic function is a matrix coefficient of a uniformly bounded Hilbert space representation of $G$.

From the above discussion it is clear that this representation is not unitarizable if the set $E$ is infinite.

Now we introduce some notation.

Let $K(V)$ denote the space of all finitely supported complex-valued functions on a set $V$.

If $F \subset V$, let

$I_F: l^1(F) \to l^1(V)$ be the natural inclusion,

$J_F: l^\infty(V) \to l^\infty(F)$ be its transposed operator, and

$P_F: l^1(V) \to l^1(F)$ be the projection defined by

$$P_F: \sum_{y \in V} f(y) e_y \to \sum_{y \in F} f(y) e_y.$$ 

Finally, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we denote by $\varrho$ the right regular representation of $G$ on $l^p(G)$:

$$\varrho(s)f(x) = f(xs), \quad s, x \in G, f \in l^p(G).$$

2. Decompositions of certain matrices. Let $E \subset G$ be a set satisfying Leinert’s condition (\star) and let $\chi$ denote its characteristic function. For a finite set $F \subset G$ the operator

$$\lambda(\chi): f \to \chi \ast f, \quad f \in l^p(G),$$

induces an operator

$$H_F: l^1(F) \xrightarrow{I_F} l^1(G) \xrightarrow{\lambda(\chi)} l^\infty(G) \xrightarrow{J_F} l^\infty(F),$$

where the matrix of $H_F$ has the entries

$$h_F(y, x) = \langle H_F e_x, e_y \rangle = \chi(yx^{-1}), \quad x, y \in F.$$

The proofs of Korollar 12 and Satz 9 of [Le2] show that $h_F$ has an additive
decomposition \( h_F = q_F + r_F \), where \( q_F \) is a row matrix and \( r_F \) is a column matrix, i.e., for any \( z \in F \) there exists at most one \( z' \in F \) such that

\[
q_F(z', z) = \langle Q_F e_z, e_z' \rangle \neq 0, \quad r_F(z, z') = \langle R_F e_z, e_{z'} \rangle \neq 0.
\]

Further we may suppose that the nonzero entries of \( q_F \) and \( r_F \) are disjoint and equal to one.

Remarks. (i) \( Q_F \) has norm at most one when considered as an operator from \( l^1(F) \) to \( l^2(F) \), and \( \|R_F\| \leq 1 \) as an operator from \( l^2(F) \) to \( l^\infty(F) \).

(ii) Let \( H(F) \) be the \( l^2 \)-direct sum \( l^2(F) \oplus l^2(F) \) and let

\[
A_F: l^1(F) \to H(F), \quad C_F: H(F) \to l^\infty(F)
\]

be defined by

\[
A_F(f) = (Q_F f, f), \quad f \in l^1(F), \quad C_F(g, f) = g + R_F(f), \quad (g, f) \in H(F).
\]

Then, clearly, \( H_F = C_F \circ A_F \) and \( \|A_F\| \leq \sqrt{2}, \quad \|C_F\| \leq \sqrt{2} \).

(iii) We note that \( \|A_F f\|_{H(F)} \geq \|f\|_2, \quad f \in l^2(F) \).

3. Further implications of the decompositions. Throughout this section let \( F \subseteq G \) be finite, \( s \in G \), and fix a finite set \( F^o \subseteq G \) with \( F^o \Rightarrow F \cup F_s \). Let \( d \) be an abstract symbol. Since in any row of \( r_F \), respectively of \( r_{F^o} \), there is at most one nonzero entry, there exist functions

\[
\cdot: F \to F \cup \{d\} \quad \text{and} \quad ":: F \to F \cup \{d\}
\]

such that, for \( z \in F \),

\[
r_{F^*}(y, z) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad z = y \in F,
\]

\[
r_F(y, z) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad z = y'' \in F.
\]

Since the operator \( \lambda(\chi) \) commutes with translations from the right the following holds true:

3.1. Lemma. (i) For \( f \in l^1(F) \) define \( f(d) = 0 \). Then for \( y \in F \)

\[
|\langle Q_F f, e_y \rangle| \leq |\langle Q_{F^o} q(s^{-1}) f, e_y \rangle| + |f(y) - f(y')|.
\]

(ii) For any \( z \in F \) each of the sets

\[
n_z = \{x \in F \mid x' = z, x'' \neq z\}, \quad m_z = \{x \in F \mid x'' = z, x' \neq z\}
\]

contains at most one element.

Proof. (i) We have only to note that

\[
\langle Q_F f, e_y \rangle + f(y') = \langle Q_F f, e_y \rangle + \langle R_F f, e_y \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle H_F f, e_y \rangle = \langle q(s) \lambda(\chi) q(s^{-1}) f, e_y \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle H_{F^o} q(s^{-1}) f, q(s^{-1}) e_y \rangle
\]
\[ = \langle Q_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f, e_{y_0} \rangle + \langle R_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f, e_{y_0} \rangle \]
\[ = \langle Q_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f, e_{y_0} \rangle + f(y'). \]

(ii) Suppose \( x, y \in F, x \neq y, x' = z, y' = z. \) Then
\[ r_{F_0}(xs, zs) = r_{F_0}(ys, zs) = 1, \]
so
\[ h_{F_0}(xs, zs) = h_F(x, z) = 1, \quad h_{F_0}(ys, zs) = h_F(y, z) = 1. \]
Since \( q_F \) is a row matrix, we must have \( q_F(x, z) = 0 \) or \( q_F(y, z) = 0. \) Thus \( r_F(x, z) = 1 \) or \( r_F(y, z) = 1, \) which implies \( x'' = z \) or \( y'' = z. \) So either \( x \not\in n_x \) or \( y \not\in n_y. \)

The other statement is proved similarly.

3.2. COROLLARY. Let \( f \in K(G), s \in G, \) and let \( F \supset \text{supp}(f). \) Then for any finite set \( F_0 \supset F \cup Fs \)
\[ \|Q_f\|_2^2 + \|f\|_2^2 \leq 9(\|Q_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f\|_2^2 + \|q(s^{-1}) f\|_2^2). \]

Proof. From (ii) of Lemma 3.1 we obtain
\[ \sum_{x \in F, x' \neq x''} |f(x')|^2 \leq \sum_{x \in F} \# n_x |f(z)|^2 \leq \|f\|_2^2, \]
\[ \sum_{x \in F, x' \neq x''} |f(x'')|^2 \leq \sum_{x \in F} \# m_x |f(z)|^2 \leq \|f\|_2^2, \]
where \( \# \) denotes the cardinality of a set.

On the other hand, an application of (i) then yields
\[ \|Q_f\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{x \in F} \left( |\langle Q_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f, e_{xs} \rangle| + |f(x') - f(x'')| \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq \sum_{x \in F} (2|\langle Q_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f, e_{xs} \rangle|^2 + 2|f(x') - f(x'')|^2) \]
\[ = 2 \sum_{x \in F} |\langle Q_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f, e_{xs} \rangle|^2 + 2 \sum_{x \in F'} |f(x') - f(x'')|^2 \]
\[ \leq 2 \sum_{x \in F_0} |\langle Q_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f, e_x \rangle|^2 + 2 \sum_{x \in F'} (2|f(x')|^2 + 2|f(x'')|^2) \]
\[ \leq 8 \left[ \sum_{x \in F_0} |\langle Q_{F_0} q(s^{-1}) f, e_x \rangle|^2 + \|f\|_2^2 \right], \]
where \( F' = \{ x \in F \mid x' \neq x'' \}. \)
4. Construction of the representation. Let \( X \) be the system of finite subsets of \( G \) ordered by inclusion, let \( \Omega \) denote an ultrafilter on \( X \) containing the filter
\[
\Phi = \{ V_{F^o} \mid V_{F^o} = \{ F \in X \mid F \supseteq F^o \}, F^o \in X \}
\]
generated by the ordering of \( X \).

With respect to \( \Omega \) we define a sesquilinear form \((\cdot, \cdot)_\Omega\) on \( K(G) \) as
\[
(f, g)_\Omega = \lim_{\Omega} (A_F P_F f, A_F P_F g)_{H(F)}.
\]
Since \( \Phi \subset \Omega \) and since \( \|f\|_2 \leq \|A_F P_F f\|_{H(F)} \) for all \( f \in K(G) \) whenever \( F \) is sufficiently large, i.e., \( F \) contains the support of \( f \in K(G) \), the form \((\cdot, \cdot)_\Omega\) is positive definite on \( K(G) \). So \( K(G) \) with the norm \( \| \cdot \|_\Omega \) corresponding to \((\cdot, \cdot)_\Omega\) is a pre-Hilbert space and
\[
\|f\|_\Omega = \lim_{\Omega} \|A_F P_F f\|, \quad f \in K(G).
\]

We are going to show that right translations act uniformly boundedly with respect to this norm.

4.1. Lemma. Let \( f \in K(G), s \in G \). If \( a \) and \( b \) are accumulation points of the nets
\[
\{ \|A_F P_F q(s)f\|_{H(F)} \}_{F \in X} \quad \text{and} \quad \{ \|A_F P_F f\|_{H(F)} \}_{F \in X},
\]
respectively, then \( a \leq 3b \).

Proof. Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then there exists \( F^o \supseteq \text{supp}(q(s)f) \) such that
\[
|a - \|A_{F^o} P_{F^o} q(s)f\|_{H(F^o)}| \leq \varepsilon/2.
\]
Since \( b \) is an accumulation point of the net \( \{ \|A_F P_F f\|_{H(F)} \}_{F \in X} \), there exists an \( F' \supseteq F^o \cap F^o s \) such that
\[
|b - \|A_{F'} P_{F'} f\|_{H(F')}| \leq \varepsilon/6.
\]
By Corollary 3.2 we have
\[
\|A_{F^o} P_{F^o} q(s)f\|_{H(F^o)} \leq 3 \|A_{F'} q^{-1}(s) P_{F^o} q(s)f\|_{H(F')} \leq 3 \|A_{F'} P_{F'} f\|_{H(F')},
\]
and so
\[
a \leq \|A_{F^o} P_{F^o} q(s)f\|_{H(F^o)} + \varepsilon/2 \leq 3 \|A_{F'} P_{F'} f\|_{H(F')} + \varepsilon/2 \leq 3b + \varepsilon.
\]
Since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) was arbitrary, we obtain \( a \leq 3b \).

Clearly, for any bounded net \( \{a_F\}_{F \in X} \) of real numbers, \( \lim_{\Omega} a_F \) is an accumulation point of the net. Hence the lemma gives
\[
\|q(s)f\|_\Omega \leq 3 \|f\|_\Omega, \quad f \in K(G), \ s \in G.
\]
Therefore \( \varrho \) extends to a uniformly bounded representation \( \varrho' \) of \( G \) on the completion \( H \) of \( K(G) \) with respect to \( \| \cdot \|_\Omega \). It remains to show that the characteristic function \( \chi \) of \( E \) appears as a matrix coefficient of \( \varrho' \). To this end we define a linear functional \( \eta^1 \) on \( K(G) \) by

\[
\eta^1(f) = \lim_{\Omega} \langle C_F A_F P_F f, P_F e_e \rangle.
\]

Then \( |\eta^1(f)| \leq \sqrt{2} \| f \|_\Omega \), and so there exists some \( \eta \in H \) with

\[
\eta^1(f) = (f, \eta)_\Omega, \quad f \in K(G), \quad \| \eta \| \leq \sqrt{2}.
\]

Furthermore, if \( s \in G, \ s^{-1}, \ e \in F \), then

\[
\chi(s) = \langle \lambda(\chi) e_{s^{-1}}, e_e \rangle = \langle C_F A_F P_F \varrho(s) e_e, P_F e_e \rangle.
\]

Since \( \Phi \subset \Omega \), this yields \( \chi(s) = \langle \varrho'(s) e_e, \eta \rangle_\Omega \).

4.2. COROLLARY. Let \( E \subset G \) be a free set and \( b \in l^\infty(E) \). Then there exist a uniformly bounded Hilbert space representation \((\pi, H)\) and \( \xi, \eta \in H \) such that

\[
(\pi(x) \xi, \eta) = \begin{cases} b(x), & x \in E, \\ 0, & x \notin E. \end{cases}
\]

Proof. For \( x \in E \) we define a unitary operator on \( l^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) by displaying its matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & (A) \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
(A) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{-1,1} & a_{-1,1} \\
a_{0,0} & a_{0,1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{1-|b(x)|^2} & -b(x) \\
b(x) & \sqrt{1-|b(x)|^2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(cf. [Fo–Na], Chapter I, Section 5).

Since \( E \) is a free set, we may define a unitary representation of the subgroup \( \langle E \rangle \) generated by \( E \) by sending \( x \in E \) to the above-defined operator. The representation of \( G \) induced by this representation of \( \langle E \rangle \) has a matrix coefficient which is equal to \( b \) on \( E \).
From our theorem we infer that the characteristic function of $E$ is a matrix coefficient of a uniformly bounded representation of $G$. The function which is equal to $b$ on $E$ and zero elsewhere is then a matrix coefficient of the tensor product of these two representations of $G$.
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