

OPERATORS ON $VN(G)$ COMMUTING WITH $A(G)$

BY

CARLO CECCHINI (GENOVA)

1. Introduction. Let G be a locally compact group; we denote by $VN(G)$ the von Neumann algebra generated by the operators of left translations by elements of G , and by $A(G)$ its predual, as in [2]. For every v in $A(G)$ we consider the linear operator $T \rightarrow vT$ on $VN(G)$ defined by requiring the equation

$$(vT, u) = (T, uv)$$

to hold for every u in $A(G)$. We call \mathfrak{A} the set of bounded linear operators Φ on $VN(G)$ commuting with $A(G)$, i.e. such that

$$v\Phi(T) = \Phi(vT)$$

for each v in $A(G)$ and T in $VN(G)$. It is immediate to check that \mathfrak{A} is an algebra.

In Section 2 we identify the space \mathfrak{R} of those elements in \mathfrak{A} which are weak-* continuous on $VN(G)$ with the algebra $B_2(G)$ of multipliers of $A(G)$, and we show that \mathfrak{R} is a subset of the center of \mathfrak{A} . In Section 3 an equivalence chain is proved, connecting the commutativity of \mathfrak{A} , the discreteness of G , and the fact that \mathfrak{A} coincides with \mathfrak{R} . Section 4 is devoted to the identification of \mathfrak{A} with the dual of the subspace of \mathfrak{A} consisting of uniformly continuous functionals (UBC) on $A(G)$, defined as in [3] and [4], in the particular case in which G is an amenable group.

The problems discussed in Section 2 are in a sense the "dual" problems of the ones treated in [6] and [7]. Some parts of this paper*, in particular Section 4, have been proved independently by Lau [4].

2. In this section we prove two theorems relating the algebra $B_2(G)$ of multipliers of $A(G)$ with \mathfrak{A} .

THEOREM 1. *There is a natural isomorphism λ between $B_2(G)$ and the subalgebra \mathfrak{R} of the weak-* continuous elements in \mathfrak{A} , where $\lambda(F) = \Phi$, Φ being*

* This work is partially supported by the Laboratorio per la Matematica Applicata.

a weak-* continuous element of \mathfrak{A} , and F a multiplier of $A(G)$ defined by the equation

$$(1) \quad (F(u), T) = (u, \Phi(T))$$

for every u in $A(G)$ and T in $\text{VN}(G)$.

Moreover, for any F in $B_2(G)$ we have

$$[\lambda(F)](L_x) = F(x)L_x,$$

where L_x is the left translation by x on $L_2(G)$.

Proof. Relation (1) establishes an isomorphism between the Banach algebra of continuous linear operators on $A(G)$ and the Banach algebra of weak-* continuous linear operators on $\text{VN}(G)$. We must show that if F is a multiplier of $A(G)$, then $\lambda(F)$ is in \mathfrak{A} and, conversely, that if Φ is in \mathfrak{A} , then $\lambda^{-1}(\Phi)$ is in $B_2(G)$.

The first assertion follows from the fact that for all u, v in $A(G)$ and T in $\text{VN}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v[\lambda(F)](T), u) &= ([\lambda(F)](T), uv) = (T, F(uv)) = (T, vF(u)) \\ &= (vT, F(u)) = ([\lambda(F)](vT), u) \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore,

$$v[\lambda(F)](T) = [\lambda(F)](vT).$$

The second assertion follows from the fact that for all u, v in $A(G)$ and x in G we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[\lambda^{-1}(\Phi)](uv)](x) &= (L_x, [\lambda^{-1}(\Phi)](uv)) \\ &= (\Phi(L_x), uv) = (v\Phi(L_x), u) = (\Phi(vL_x), u) \\ &= (vL_x, [\lambda^{-1}(\Phi)](u)) = (L_x, v[\lambda^{-1}(\Phi)](u)) \\ &= [v[\lambda^{-1}(\Phi)](u)](x). \end{aligned}$$

The last statement in the theorem is true, since

$$\begin{aligned} ((\lambda(F))(L_x), u) &= (L_x, F(u)) = (F(u))(x) = F(x)u(x) \\ &= (F(x)L_x, u) \quad \text{for any } u \text{ in } A(G). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 1. \mathfrak{A} is abelian.

Proof. $B_2(G)$ is obviously abelian, and so is \mathfrak{A} by Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{A})$ if $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{A})$ is the center of \mathfrak{A} .

We need first the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. If F is in $B_2(G)$, then $F(v)T = [\lambda(F)](vT)$ for all v in $A(G)$ and T in $\text{VN}(G)$.

Proof. Given v in $A(G)$ and T in $VN(G)$, for all u in $A(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F(v)T, u) &= (T, F(v)u) = (T, F(vu)) = (T, vF(u)) = (vT, F(u)) \\ &= ([\lambda(F)](vT), u). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *If $vT = 0$ for all T in $A(G)$, then $T = 0$.*

For the proof see [2], Proposition (4.6).

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\Phi_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\Phi_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$. By Theorem 1 there is an element F of $B_2(G)$ such that $\lambda(F) = \Phi_1$. Then, by Lemma 1, for any v in $A(G)$ and T in $VN(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\Phi_1(\Phi_2(T))) &= v([\lambda(F)](\Phi_2(T))) \\ &= [\lambda(F)](v\Phi_2(T)) = F(v)(\Phi_2(T)) \\ &= \Phi_2(F(v)T) = \Phi_2([\lambda(F)](vT)) = \Phi_2(\Phi_1(vT)) \\ &= v(\Phi_2(\Phi_1(T))) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, by Lemma 1, $\Phi_1\Phi_2 = \Phi_2\Phi_1$.

COROLLARY 2. *The algebra of linear bounded operators on $VN(G)$ commuting with $A(G)$ is the same as the algebra of bounded linear operators commuting with $B_2(G)$ (in the sense of the correspondence established in Theorem 1).*

3. The aim of this section is to study the commutativity of \mathfrak{A} .

LEMMA 3. *L_x is the unique norm-one element in $VN(G)$ such that $vT = v(x)T$ for all v in $A(G)$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 that L_x has this property. Let T be any other element in $VN(G)$ with norm one. There must be at least one point $x_0 \neq x$ in the support of T . Let us take a v in $A(G)$ such that $v(x) \neq 0$ and $v(x_0) = 0$. Then $x_0 \notin \text{supp } vT$, and so T and vT have different supports, whence the property in the lemma cannot hold for T .

PROPOSITION 1. *The set of common eigenvectors for all elements in \mathfrak{A} is the set of all elements of $VN(G)$ of the form αL_x for x in G .*

Proof. Let $\Phi \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then

$$v\Phi(L_x) = \Phi(vL_x) = \Phi(v(x)L_x) = v(x)\Phi(L_x)$$

for all v in $A(G)$, and so, by Lemma 3,

$$\Phi(L_x) = \varphi(\Phi, x)L_x,$$

where φ is a complex number depending on Φ and x . If T in $VN(G)$ is not of the form αL_x for some x in G , then its support contains at least two different points x_1 and x_2 . Then, as in Lemma 3, T cannot be an eigenvector for any v in $A(G)$ such that $v(x_1) = 0$ and $v(x_2) \neq 0$.

THEOREM 3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (I) G is discrete,
- (II) $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{R}$,
- (III) \mathfrak{A} is abelian.

Proof. (I) \Rightarrow (II). If G is discrete, then $\text{VN}(G) \subset L_2(G)$, for — denoting by δ_x the function whose value is 1 on x and 0 elsewhere, and by e the identity of G — we have

$$Tf = TL_{\delta_e}f = T(\delta_e * f) = (T\delta_e) * f,$$

$T\delta_e$ being an L_2 -function. If we put $(T\delta_e)(x) = \hat{T}(x)$, we have $Tf = \hat{T}(x)f$ for any T in $\text{VN}(G)$. Since δ_x is in $A(G)$, we also have $T(x) = (T, \delta_x)$. Then it follows from Proposition 1 and the equality $(L_x, \delta_x) = 1$ for each Φ in \mathfrak{A} and for each T in $\text{VN}(G)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi T)^\wedge(x) &= (\Phi(T), \delta_x) = (\Phi(T), \delta_x \delta_x) \\ &= (\delta_x \Phi(T), \delta_x) = (\Phi(\delta_x(T)), \delta_x) = (\Phi(\hat{T}(x)L_{\delta_x}), \delta_x) \\ &= (\Phi(\hat{T}(x)L_x), \delta_x) = \hat{T}(x) (\varphi(\Phi, x)L_x, \delta_x) \\ &= \hat{T}(x)\varphi(\Phi, x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to each Φ in \mathfrak{A} there corresponds a multiplication by the function $\varphi(\Phi, x)$ on the space of the functions $\hat{T}(x)$. Now let T_n be a sequence in $\text{VN}(G)$ weakly converging to zero. For any Φ in \mathfrak{A} we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi(T_n), \delta_x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\Phi, x) (\hat{T}_n(x)) = 0,$$

and, simply by taking finite sums, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi(T_n), v) = 0$$

for any v with compact support in $A(G)$, since G is discrete. But the elements of $A(G)$ with compact support are norm dense in $A(G)$, whence the implication follows.

For (II) \Rightarrow (III) see Corollary 1.

(III) \Rightarrow (I). If G is not discrete, then there are two different means μ_1 and μ_2 on G by [5]. Let us write

$$\Phi_i(T) = \mu_i(T)I \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

The Φ_i 's are different norm continuous linear operators on $\text{VN}(G)$ commuting with $A(G)$, since

$$\Phi_i(vT) = \Phi_i(vT)I = \Phi_i(T)v(e)I = \Phi_i(T)vI = v\Phi_i(T).$$

But Φ_1 and Φ_2 do not commute, since

$$\Phi_i(\Phi_j(T)) = \Phi_i(\mu_j(T)I) = \mu_j(T)I = \Phi_j(T).$$

4. In this section we deal with a particular case of an amenable group and show the relation between \mathfrak{A} and the dual of a certain subspace of $VN(G)$.

LEMMA 4. *Let G be an amenable group; then $\{vT: v \in A(G), T \in VN(G)\}$ is a closed subspace of $VN(G)$. It coincides with the set of elements in $VN(G)$ for which*

$$\lim v_\alpha T = T,$$

where v_α is an approximate identity in $A(G)$.

Proof. We apply Corollary 2.4 of [1] with $\mathfrak{A} = A(G)$, $X = VN(G)$ and $\sigma(v)T = vT$ for v in $A(G)$ and T in $VN(G)$. The mapping σ is faithful, since $vT = 0$ for each T implies $vL_x = v(x)L_x = 0$ for each x in G , and then $v \equiv 0$.

Definition 1. The subset of $VN(G)$, described in Lemma 4, will be called $UBC(\hat{G})$, as in [3] and [4].

Remark. *If Ψ is in \mathfrak{A} and $\Psi|_{UBC(G)} = 0$, then Ψ is the zero operator.*

Proof. We have $v\Psi(T) = \Psi(vT) = 0$ for all v in $A(G)$ and T in $VN(G)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2, $\Psi(T) = 0$ for all T and $\Psi \equiv 0$.

Definition 2. Let Φ be an element of UBC^* (dual of UBC); then we can define the operator $\sigma(\Phi)$ on $VN(G)$ by requiring that the equation

$$(\sigma(\Phi)(T), v) = (\Phi, vT)$$

hold for all T in $VN(G)$ and v in $A(G)$.

THEOREM 4. *For any amenable group G the mapping σ defined above is an isometric bijection from $UBC(\hat{G})^*$ onto \mathfrak{A} .*

Proof. The operator $\sigma(\Phi)$ is in \mathfrak{A} . Its linearity is obvious; for all u in $A(G)$ and T in $VN(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v[(\sigma(\Phi))(T)], u) &= ([(\sigma(\Phi))(T)], uv) \\ &= (\Phi, (uv)T) = (\Phi, u(vT)) = ([(\sigma(\Phi))(vT)], u) \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore, $\sigma(\Phi)$ commutes with the action of v on $VN(G)$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(\Phi)\| &= \sup_{T \in [VN(G)]_1} \|[(\sigma(\Phi))(T)]\| = \sup_{\substack{T \in [VN(G)]_1 \\ v \in [A(G)]_1}} ([(\sigma(\Phi))(T)], v) \\ &= \sup_{\substack{T \in [VN(G)]_1 \\ v \in [A(G)]_1}} (\Phi, vT) = \sup_{R \in [UBC(\hat{G})]_1} (\Phi, R) = \|\Phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

The mapping σ is one-to-one, since if $\sigma(\Phi) = 0$, then for all T in $VN(G)$ and v in $A(G)$ we have

$$0 = ([(\sigma(\Phi))(T)], v) = (\Phi, vT) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \equiv 0.$$

The mapping σ is onto, since the equation $(X(T), v) = (\Phi, vT)$ has clearly a solution in X for any Φ .

REFERENCES

- [1] P. C. Curtis and A. Figà-Talamanca, *Factorization theorems for Banach algebras, Function algebras*, Scott Foresman 1966.
- [2] P. Eymard, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France 92 (1964), p. 181-236.
- [3] E. Granirer, *Weakly almost periodic and uniformly continuous functionals on the Fourier algebra of any locally compact group*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 189 (1974), p. 371-382.
- [4] A. T. Lau, *Uniformly continuous functionals on the Fourier algebra of any locally compact group*, preprint.
- [5] P. F. Renaud, *Invariant means on a class of von Neumann algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 170 (1972), p. 285-291.
- [6] A. Zappa, *The center of the convolution algebra $C^*(G)$* , Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico dell'Università di Padova 52 (1974), p. 72-83.
- [7] — *The center of an algebra of operators*, preprint.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21. 1. 1977
