

## On eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of an operational equation

by JAN BOCHENEK (Kraków)

**Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a bounded Jordan-measurable domain in the space  $E^m$  of  $m$  variables  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  which can be approximated by an increasing sequence of domain  $G_n$  with regular boundaries (the boundary  $\partial G_n$  of  $G_n$  is a surface of class  $C^1_\sigma$ ; for the definition of a surface of class  $C^1_\sigma$  see [6], p. 132). We do not require any regularity properties of the boundary of  $G$ .

We shall consider an operational equation of the form

$$(1) \quad L(u) + \mu K(u) = 0,$$

where

$$L(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ a_{ij}(X) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right] - q(X)u$$

is a selfadjoint differential operator and  $\mu$  is a real parameter. We make the following assumptions:  $a_{ij}(X) = a_{ji}(X)$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ ) are of class  $C^1$  in  $\bar{G}$ ,  $q(X) \geq 0$  is continuous in  $\bar{G}$  and the quadratic form  $\sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij}(X) \xi_i \xi_j$  is positive definite in  $\bar{G}$ . Concerning the operator  $K$  we make the following assumptions:

1°  $K: \mathcal{L}^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(G)$ , is a linear bounded operator,

2° the subspace  $\mathcal{L}^2(G) \cap C(G)$  of continuous functions is invariant with respect to  $K$ ,

3°  $K$  is symmetric, i.e.

$$(\varphi, K(\psi)) = \int_G \varphi(X) K(\psi) dX = \int_G \psi(X) K(\varphi) dX = (\psi, K(\varphi))$$

for  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{L}^2(G)$ ,

4°  $K$  is positive, i.e.  $(\varphi, K(\varphi)) > 0$  for  $\varphi \neq 0$ .

We shall also consider a generalized boundary condition (cf. [1] and [2]) which in the case where the boundary  $\partial G$  is regular may be written in the form

$$(2) \quad \frac{du}{dv} - h(X)u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G - \Gamma, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

where  $\Gamma$  denotes an  $(m-1)$ -dimensional part of  $\partial G$  ( $\Gamma$  being connected or not); in extreme cases  $\Gamma$  may be the whole boundary of  $G$ , or an empty set. Here  $h(X)$  is a non-negative continuous function in  $\bar{G}$  and  $du/dv$  is the transversal derivative of  $u$  with respect the operator  $L(u)$ , i.e.,

$$(3) \quad \frac{du}{dv} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij}(X) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cos(n, x_j),$$

$n$  being the interior normal to  $\partial G$ .

We will consider the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions corresponding to equation (1) and condition (2) (we shall shortly say: eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of problem (1), (2)). The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of problem (1), (2) will be defined variationally. For this purpose let us write

$$(4) \quad D(\varphi, \psi) = \int_G \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} + q\varphi\psi \right] dX + \int_{\partial G - \Gamma} h\varphi\psi dS,$$

$$(5) \quad H(\varphi, \psi) = \int_G \varphi K(\psi) dX = (\varphi, K(\psi)).$$

The bilinear forms (4) and (5) are defined in the space  $\mathcal{D}$  (for the definition of the space  $\mathcal{D}$  see [1]) and have all the fundamental properties mentioned in [1].

## 1. EIGENVALUES AND EIGENFUNCTIONS OF PROBLEM (1), (2).

**1.** The first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of problem (1), (2) is defined as (comp. [1] and [4])

$$(6) \quad \lambda_1 = \min_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{D(\varphi)}{H(\varphi)},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the subclass of  $\mathcal{D}$  of functions  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\Gamma$  (in the generalized sense), and the first eigenfunction  $u_1$  is that  $\varphi$  at which the minimum (6) is attained.

Having defined the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and corresponding eigenfunctions  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ , we put

$$(7) \quad \lambda_{n+1} = \min_{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_n} \frac{D(\varphi)}{H(\varphi)},$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_n$  is the subclass of  $\mathcal{D}$  consisting of the functions  $\varphi$  satisfying the orthogonality conditions

$$(8) \quad H(\varphi, u_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and  $u_{n+1}$  is that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_n$  at which minimum (7) is attained.

We shall need the following assumption:

**HYPOTHESIS Z.** *Given (1) and (2), there exist a sequence of eigenvalues of (1), (2)*

$$(9) \quad 0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$$

and a corresponding sequence of eigenfunctions

$$(10) \quad u_1(X), u_2(X), u_3(X), \dots$$

which belong to  $\mathcal{F}$  <sup>(1)</sup>.

The problem whether Hypothesis Z is satisfied under the assumptions which we have made concerning the coefficients of operator  $L$  of equation (1) will not be considered in this paper. Of course, this problem depends essentially on the form and the properties of the operator  $K$  of equation (1).

In the sequel we shall use the following formula:

$$(11) \quad D(\varphi, \psi) + \int_G L(\varphi) \psi dX + \int_{\partial G - \Gamma} \psi \left( \frac{d\varphi}{dv} - h\varphi \right) dS = 0,$$

for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ . The proof of formula (11) is quite similar to the proof of an analogous formula in [1].

**THEOREM 1.** *If Hypothesis Z is satisfied, then each function  $u_n$  of sequence (10) satisfies equation (1) for  $\mu = \lambda_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $u_n \in \mathcal{F}_{h,r}(G)$  <sup>(2)</sup>.*

The proof of this theorem is quite similar to that of an analogous theorem in [1], and is omitted.

**2. The maximum-minimum property of eigenvalues of (1), (2).** We shall now give another definition of eigenvalues of problem (1), (2). Let  $\mathcal{V}_n$  denote a set of  $n$  functions  $v_1(X), \dots, v_n(X)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{L}^2(G)$

<sup>(1)</sup> By  $\mathcal{F}$  we denote the subspace of  $\mathcal{D}$  of all functions  $\varphi$  of class  $C^2$  in  $G$  such that  $L(\varphi) \in \mathcal{L}^2(G)$  (see [1]).

<sup>(2)</sup> By  $\mathcal{F}_{h,r}(G)$  we denote the subspace of  $\mathcal{F}$  of all functions  $\varphi$  satisfying condition (2) in the generalized sense (see [1]).

and let

$$d[\mathcal{V}_n] = \min_{u \in \bar{\mathcal{X}}_n} \frac{D(u)}{H(u)},$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{X}}_n$  is the subclass of  $\mathcal{D}$  consisting of functions  $u(X)$  satisfying the orthogonality conditions

$$H(u, v_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *If Hypothesis Z and the above assumptions are satisfied, then*

$$(12) \quad \lambda_{n+1} = \sup_{\mathcal{V}_n} d[\mathcal{V}_n],$$

where  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is defined above.

The proof of this theorem is quite similar to the proof of an analogous theorem in the case of differential equations (cf. [3], p. 405 or [6], p. 289).

The proofs of the following theorems are also similar to the proofs of analogous theorems for differential equations and are omitted.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\{\mu_n\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are the sequences of eigenvalues of equation (1) with boundary condition  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$ , with boundary condition (2) and with boundary condition  $du/dv = 0$  on  $\partial G$ , respectively, then*

$$v_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \mu_n \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $K_2 - K_1$  is a positive operator and if  $\{\lambda_n^{(2)}\}$  and  $\{\lambda_n^{(1)}\}$  are the sequences of eigenvalues of problem (1), (2), where  $K = K_2$  and  $K = K_1$ , respectively, then*

$$\lambda_n^{(2)} \leq \lambda_n^{(1)} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

## 2. COMPLETENESS OF EIGENVALUES OF PROBLEM (1), (2)

Let us denote by  $\{\alpha_n\}$  the increasing sequence of eigenvalues for the differential equation

$$(13) \quad L(w) + \mu Mw = 0$$

with boundary condition (2), where  $L(w)$  is the differential operator from equation (1) and

$$M = \|K\| = \sup \left\{ \int_G \varphi(X) K(\varphi) dX : \int_G \varphi^2(X) dX = 1 \right\}.$$

We assume the following

HYPOTHESIS  $Z_M$ . For problem (13), (2) there exist a sequence of eigenvalues

$$(14) \quad 0 \leq \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2 \leq \kappa_3 \leq \dots$$

and a corresponding sequence of eigenfunctions

$$(15) \quad w_1(X), w_2(X), w_3(X), \dots$$

which belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We shall prove the following

THEOREM 5. If hypotheses  $Z$  and  $Z_M$  are satisfied and if the range  $R[K]$  of operator  $K$  contains the space  $\mathcal{F}_{h,r}(G)$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = +\infty$ .

Proof. To begin with, observe that for every function  $\varphi(X) \in \mathcal{L}^2(G)$ , we have the inequality

$$(16) \quad H(\varphi) = \int_G \varphi(X) K(\varphi) dX \leq M \int_G \varphi^2(X) dX.$$

From (16) it follows that for every function  $\varphi(X)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{D}$  we have

$$\frac{D(\varphi)}{H(\varphi)} \geq \frac{D(\varphi)}{J(\varphi)},$$

where  $J(\varphi) = M \int_G \varphi^2(X) dX$ . Let  $\bar{v}_i(X) = w_i(X)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), where  $w_i(X)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are the functions of the sequence (15), then

$$d[\bar{\mathcal{V}}_n] = \min_{u \in \bar{\mathcal{X}}_n} \frac{D(u)}{J(u)} = \kappa_n,$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_n = \{\bar{v}_1(X), \dots, \bar{v}_n(X)\}$ . Let us denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n = \{\tilde{v}_1(X), \dots, \tilde{v}_n(X)\}$  a sequence of functions  $\tilde{v}_i(X)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), where

$$(17) \quad M\bar{v}_i(X) = K(\tilde{v}_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since  $\bar{v}_i(X)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) belong to  $R[K]$ , therefore there exist solutions of equations (17).

From (17) it follows that

$$(18) \quad J(u, \bar{v}_i) = H(u, \tilde{v}_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $u$  is an arbitrary function of  $\mathcal{D}$ . From (18) it follows that the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_n$  consisting of functions  $\varphi(X)$  satisfying the conditions  $H(\varphi, \tilde{v}_i) = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) coincides with the class of functions  $\varphi(X)$  satisfying the conditions  $J(\varphi, \bar{v}_i) = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Therefore we have

$$d[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n] = \min_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_n} \frac{D(u)}{H(u)} \geq \min_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_n} \frac{D(u)}{J(u)} = \min_{u \in \bar{\mathcal{X}}_n} \frac{D(u)}{J(u)} = \kappa_n,$$

whence

$$\lambda_n = \sup_{\mathcal{V}_n} d[\mathcal{V}_n] \geq d[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n] \geq \kappa_n.$$

It is known ([4], p. 424) that the sequence of eigenvalues of problem (13), (2) tends to  $+\infty$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; therefore by the last inequality sequence (9) also tends to infinity.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Every eigenvalue of (1), (2) has finite multiplicity.*

**THEOREM 6.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5 the set of eigenfunctions of problem (1), (2) is a complete system in the class  $\mathcal{L}^2(G)$  with respect to the scalar product  $H(u, v)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{u_n(X)\}$  be the sequence of eigenfunctions of problem (1), (2) normalized so that  $H(u_n) = 1$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ), and let  $f(X)$  be any function in  $\mathcal{L}^2(G)$ . Let  $c_n = H(f, u_n)$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) and let  $S_n(X) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k u_k(X)$ . In virtue of Theorem 5, by a reasoning similar to the proof of an analogous theorem in [7], p. 303, we have

$$(19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(f - S_n) = 0.$$

From (19) it follows that the sequence  $\{u_n(X)\}$  is a complete system in  $\mathcal{L}^2(G)$ , with respect to the scalar product  $H(u, v)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *The sequence (10) of eigenvalues of problem (1), (2) contains all the eigenvalues of this problem.*

### 3. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE FIRST EIGENVALUE AND FIRST EIGENFUNCTION OF PROBLEM (1), (2)

In the sequel we shall need the following assumption:

**HYPOTHESIS  $Z_1$ .** *1° The operator  $K$ , besides the properties formulated in the introduction, satisfies the following condition: if  $\varphi(X) \geq 0$  in  $G$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2(G)$ , then  $K(\varphi) \geq 0$  in  $G$ . 2° No eigenfunction of (1), (2) can vanish identically in any subdomain of domain  $G$ .*

It follows from the definition of  $u_n(X)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  that

$$(20) \quad H(u_i, u_j) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

This implies, by hypothesis  $Z_1$ , that all functions of sequence (10) except at most one, change their sign in  $G$ . And, since the functions  $u_n(X)$  are continuous, there exist zero sets in  $G$  for these functions.

**LEMMA 1.** *Under assumptions  $Z$  and  $Z_1$  each function  $u \in \mathcal{F}_{h, \Gamma}(G)$  satisfying equation (1) with  $\mu = \lambda_1$  preserves its sign in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u(X)$  be a function satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 1, and let  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_s < \lambda_{s+1}$  (i.e.  $\lambda_1$  is an  $s$ -fold eigenvalue of (1), (2)). Suppose that  $u(X) \geq 0$  in  $\bar{G}_1 \subset G$ . Let us write  $G_2 = G - \bar{G}_1$ . We have  $u(X) < 0$  in  $G_2$ . If  $G_2$  is non-empty, then it is open. Put

$$U = \begin{cases} u(X) & \text{for } X \in \bar{G}_1, \\ 0 & \text{for } X \in G_2. \end{cases}$$

By (11) for  $\varphi = u(X)$  and  $\psi = U(X)$  we get

$$(21) \quad D(u, U) = \lambda_1 H(u, U).$$

We see that  $D(u, U) = D(U)$  and  $H(u, U) = H(U) + H(u - U, U) \leq H(U)$ , since  $H(u - U, U) \leq 0$ . From this, by (21), we get

$$(22) \quad D(U) \leq \lambda_1 H(U).$$

From the definition of the function  $U$ , by assumption  $Z_1$  ( $2^\circ$ ), it follows that the functions  $U, u_1, \dots, u_s$  are linearly independent in  $G$ . Put

$$\Phi(X) = U + c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_s u_s.$$

Then  $\Phi \neq 0$  in  $G$ . Let  $c_i = -H(U, u_i)/H(u_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ). Then  $\Phi$  is orthogonal to  $u_1, \dots, u_s$  and therefore  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_s$ . Hence

$$(23) \quad D(\Phi) \geq \lambda_{s+1} H(\Phi).$$

On the other hand,  $\varphi = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_s u_s$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{h,r}(G)$  and satisfies equation (1) with  $\mu = \lambda_1$ . Therefore, by (11) we have

$$D(\varphi, \psi) = \lambda_1 H(\varphi, \psi)$$

for every  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ . As a special case from the last equality we get

$$(24) \quad D(\varphi, U) = \lambda_1 H(\varphi, U),$$

$$(25) \quad D(\varphi) = \lambda_1 H(\varphi).$$

Because of the equality  $\Phi = U + \varphi$ , (22), (24) and (25) imply

$$D(\Phi) \leq \lambda_1 H(\Phi),$$

whence, by (23),  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_{s+1}$ , which is a contradiction.

**LEMMA 2.** Under assumptions  $Z$  and  $Z_1$ , if  $\mu_1$  is a real number such that there exists a function  $u(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{h,r}(G)$  which does not change its sign in  $G$  and which satisfies equation (1) with  $\mu = \mu_1$  and  $u(X) \neq 0$  in  $G$ , then  $\mu_1$  is the first eigenvalue of problem (1), (2).

**Proof.** We shall use formula (11) first for the pair  $u, u_1$  and then for the pair  $u_1, u$ . Since both  $u$  and  $u_1$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}_{h,\Gamma}(G)$  and satisfy equation (1) with  $\mu = \lambda_1$ ,  $\mu = \mu_1$ , respectively, we get

$$D(u, u_1) = \lambda_1 H(u, u_1) \quad \text{and} \quad D(u_1, u) = \mu_1 H(u_1, u),$$

whence by the symmetry of  $D$  and  $H$

$$(\mu_1 - \lambda_1)H(u, u_1) = 0.$$

Since  $u$  and  $u_1$  do not change their sign in  $G$  and  $u_1$  does not vanish in any subdomain, we have  $H(u, u_1) \neq 0$ , and thus  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1$ .

**THEOREM 7.** *Under assumptions Z and  $Z_1$  the first eigenfunction  $u_1(X)$  of problem (1), (2) does not vanish at any point of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** From Lemma 1 it follows that the function  $u_1(X)$  does not change its sign in  $G$ . Suppose that  $u_1(X) \geq 0$  in  $G$ . From equation (1) it follows that the function  $u_1(X)$  satisfies the equation

$$(26) \quad L(u_1) = -\lambda_1 K(u_1).$$

By assumption  $Z_1$  (1°) and  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ , we have  $-\lambda_1 K(u_1) \leq 0$  in  $G$ . According to E. Hopf's theorem (see [5]), the value 0 cannot be attained by  $u_1(X)$  in  $G$  if  $u_1(X)$  does not vanish identically in  $G$ . Thus  $u_1(X) > 0$  in  $G$ . In the case  $u_1(X) \leq 0$  in  $G$ , the proof is analogous.

**THEOREM 8.** *Under assumptions Z and  $Z_1$  each function  $\varphi(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{h,\Gamma}(G)$ , not vanishing identically in  $G$  and satisfying equation (1) with  $\mu = \lambda_1$  is equal to the first eigenfunction of (1), (2) multiplied by a constant  $c \neq 0$ .*

The proof of this theorem is quite similar to an analogous theorem in [1], and is omitted.

**COROLLARY 3.** *The first eigenvalue of (1), (2) is a single eigenvalue, i.e.  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ .*

**Remark 1.** The particular case of problem (1), (2), where  $K(\varphi) = \varrho(X)\varphi$ ,  $\varrho(X) > 0$  is a continuous function in  $\bar{G}$ , was considered in [1]. Other cases of problem (1), (2) and their applications will be published in the next paper.

#### References

- [1] J. Bochenek, *On some problems in the theory of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions associated with linear elliptic partial differential equations of the second order*, Ann. Polon. Math. 16 (1965), p. 153-164.
- [2] — *On eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of strongly elliptic systems of differential equations of second order*, Prace Mat. 12 (1968), p. 171-182.
- [3] R. Courant und D. Hilbert, *Methoden der mathematischen Physik I*, Berlin 1937.
- [4] — *Methoden der mathematischen Physik II*, Berlin, 1937.

- [5] E. Hopf, *Elementare Betrachtung über die Lösungen partieller Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung vom elliptischen Typus*, Sitzungsberichte Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 19 (1927).
- [6] M. Krzyżański, *Równania różniczkowe cząstkowe rzędu drugiego I*, Warszawa 1957.
- [7] — *Równania różniczkowe cząstkowe rzędu drugiego II*, Warszawa 1962.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 30. 5. 1967*

---