## On generalized Pascu class of functions

by V. Anbuchelvi and S. Radha (Madras)

Abstract. In this paper two new subclasses  $M_g(\alpha; h)$  and  $R_g(\alpha; h)$  of the class of univalent functions are defined and results connected with the transform under a certain integral operator, inclusion relation and convolution of functions belonging to these classes are established.

Let  $E = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$  and H(E) be the class of all functions f holomorphic in E. Let A be the subclass of H(E) of functions f with Montel's normalizations f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1. Further let  $S = \{f \in A : f \text{ is univalent in } E\}$  and K and  $S^*$  denote the well-known subclasses of functions of S which are convex and starlike respectively. Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be any two functions in H(E). Then the Hadamard product or the convolution of f and g is given by  $(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$ .

Let  $g, G \in H(E)$ . Then g is said to be subordinate to G (written  $g \to G$ ) if g(0) = G(0) and there exists a Schwarz function  $\omega(z)$  in E such that  $g(z) = G(\omega(z))$ . In particular, if G is univalent then  $g(E) \subset G(E)$ .

Initially we state three theorems without proof which we will be using in the sequel:

THEOREM A [2]. Let  $\beta, \gamma \in C$ ,  $h \in H(E)$  be convex univalent in E with h(0) = 1 and  $\text{Re}(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$ ,  $z \in E$ . If  $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \dots$  is analytic in E, then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \rightarrow h(z) \implies p(z) \rightarrow h(z).$$

The generalized version of Theorem A established by K. S. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham in [3] is as follows.

THEOREM B [3]. Let  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in C$ ,  $h \in H(E)$  be convex univalent in E with h(0) = 1 and  $\text{Re}(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$ ,  $z \in E$ , and let  $q \in H(E)$  with q(0) = 1 and  $q(z) \rightarrow h(z)$  in E. If  $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \ldots$  is analytic in E, then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta a(z) + \gamma} \rightarrow h(z) \implies p(z) \rightarrow h(z).$$

THEOREM C [1]. Let  $\Phi$  and g be analytic in E with  $\Phi(0) = g(0) = 0$  and  $\Phi'(0)g'(0) \neq 0$ . Suppose for each  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| = 1$ ) and  $\sigma$  ( $|\sigma| = 1$ ) we have

(1.1) 
$$\left[\Phi*\left(\frac{1+\alpha\sigma z}{1-\sigma z}\right)g\right](z) \neq 0 \quad on \ 0 < |z| < r \leqslant 1.$$

Then for each F in H(E), the image of |z| < r under  $\Phi *Fg/\Phi *g$  is a subset of the convex hull of F(E).

Remark 1. If  $\Phi \in K$  and  $g \in S^*$ , then it was shown in [7] that (1.1) is satisfied for all z in E.

Unless stated otherwise, throughout this paper g(z) stands for a function holomorphic in E with g(0) = 0 = g'(0) - 1 and h(z) stands for a holomorphic convex univalent function in E with h(0) = 1 and  $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$  in E.

First let us define the class  $M_g(\alpha; h)$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $M_g(\alpha, h)$  denote the class of all functions  $f \in A$  with  $(g * f)'(z)(g * f)(z) \neq 0$  in  $E - \{0\}$  satisfying

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*f)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*f)(z)} \rightarrow h(z)$$

for  $z \in E$  and  $\alpha \geqslant 0$ .

Remark 2. For  $\alpha = 0$ , the class  $M_g(\alpha; h)$  coincides with the class  $S_g(h)$  studied in [8]. For the choice  $g(z) = k_a(z) = z/(1-z)^a$  (a real),  $M_g(\alpha; h)$  is the same as the class  $M_g(\alpha, h)$  in [4] which, in turn, is a generalization of the class of Pascu and Poderu [6].

DEFINITION 2. Let  $R_g(\alpha; h)$  denote the class of all functions  $f \in A$  with  $(g * f)(z)/z \neq 0$  in E such that

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Phi)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*\Phi)(z)} \rightarrow h(z)$$

for  $\Phi \in M_q(\alpha; h)$  and  $\alpha \geqslant 0$ .

Remark 3. For  $\alpha = 0$ , the class  $R_g(\alpha; h)$  coincides with the class  $C_g(h)$  studied in [8]. For the choice  $g(z) = k_a(z) = z/(1-z)^a$  (a real),  $R_g(\alpha; h)$  is the same as the class  $R_g(\alpha; h)$  studied in [4] which, in turn, is a generalization of the class studied by Pascu [5].

THEOREM 1. We have the following inclusion relation:

$$M_{\theta}(\alpha;\,h)\subset M_{\theta}(0;\,h)=S_{\theta}(h)\quad \ for\ 0\leqslant\alpha\leqslant1.$$

Proof. Let  $f(z) \in M_a(\alpha; h)$  and p(z) = z(g \* f)'(z)/(g \* f)(z).

Then

$$\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)$$

$$= \alpha z (g*f)(z)p'(z) + \alpha z p(z)(g*f)'(z) + (1-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)p(z)(g*f)(z)$$

$$= [\alpha z p'(z) + p(z)(\alpha p(z) + (1-\alpha))](g*f)(z);$$

$$\alpha z (g*f)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*f)(z) = (\alpha p(z) + (1-\alpha))(g*f)(z).$$

Hence

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*f)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*f)(z)} = \frac{\alpha z p'(z) + p(z)(\alpha p(z) + (1-\alpha))}{\alpha p(z) + (1-\alpha)} = \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z) + (1/\alpha - 1)} + p(z).$$

Since  $f \in M_a(\alpha; h)$ ,

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z)+(1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*f)'(z)+(1-\alpha)(g*f)(z)} \rightarrow h(z).$$

Thus for  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$  an application of Theorem A gives  $p(z) \to h(z)$  in E, which implies that  $f \in M_a(0; h) = S_a(h)$ .

Now, we prove that the class  $M_g(\alpha; h)$  is closed under the transform by an integral operator.

Theorem 2. Let  $f \in M_{\alpha}(\alpha, h)$ . Then, for  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha z^{1/\alpha-1}} \int_0^z t^{1/\alpha-2} f(t) dt \in M_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha; h).$$

Proof. Differentiating F(z) with respect to z and simplifying we get

$$\alpha z F'(z) + (1 - \alpha)F(z) = f(z).$$

This on convolution with g(z) gives

$$\alpha z(g*F)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*F)(z) = (g*f)(z)$$

 $(\neq 0 \text{ in } E-\{0\})$  by Definition 1), where we used the fact that g\*(zF'(z))=z(g\*F)'(z). Taking logarithmic derivative with respect to z and multiplying by z we get

$$\frac{\alpha z \big(z(g*F)'(z)\big)'(z)+(1-\alpha)z(g*F)'(z)}{\alpha z(g*F)'(z)+(1-\alpha)(g*F)(z)}=\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)}.$$

The member on the right side is subordinate to h(z) since  $f \in M_a(\alpha; h) \subset M_a(0; h) = S_a(h)$  for  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  by the previous theorem. Hence,

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*F)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*F)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*F)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*F)(z)} \rightarrow h(z) \quad \text{in } E \text{ for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1.$$

Also  $F(z) = \gamma_{\sigma}(z) * f(z)$  where

$$\gamma_{\alpha}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1/\alpha}{(1/\alpha + n - 1)} z^{n}.$$

Since  $(g*f)(z) \neq 0$  in  $E - \{0\}$  and  $\alpha > 0$  we have  $(g*F)(z) = \gamma_{\alpha}(z)*(g*f)(z) \neq 0$  and hence  $(g*F)'(z) \neq 0$  in  $E - \{0\}$ . Thus  $F \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ .

Theorem 3. Let  $\Phi \in K$ . Then for every  $f \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ ,  $\Phi * f \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ .

Proof. Let

$$F(z) = \frac{\alpha z \big(z(g*f)'(z)\big)'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*f)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*f)(z)}.$$

If  $f \in M_g(\alpha; h)$  then by Theorem 1,  $F(z) \to h(z)$  in E. Now consider

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*\Phi*f)')'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*\Phi*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Phi*f)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*\Phi*f)(z)}$$

$$=\frac{\alpha z (\Phi * z (g * f)')'(z) + (1-\alpha)(\Phi * z (g * f)')(z)}{\alpha (\Phi * z (g * f)')(z) + (1-\alpha)(\Phi * g * f)(z)}$$

$$=\frac{\left(\Phi*\left(\alpha z(z(g*f)')'+(1-\alpha)z(g*f)'\right)\right)(z)}{\left(\Phi*\left(\alpha z(g*f)'+(1-\alpha)(g*f)\right)\right)(z)}$$

$$=\frac{\left(\Phi*\left[\frac{\alpha z \left(z (g*f)'\right)'(z)+(1-\alpha)z (g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*f)'(z)+(1-\alpha)(g*f)(z)}\right]\left(\alpha z (g*f)'+(1-\alpha)(g*f)\right)\right)(z)}{\left(\Phi*\left(\alpha z (g*f)'+(1-\alpha)(g*f)\right)\right)(z)}$$

$$=\frac{(\Phi*FG)(z)}{(\Phi*G)(z)}$$

where  $G(z) = \alpha z (g * f)'(z) + (1 - \alpha)(g * f)(z)$ . Now

$$\frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} = \frac{\alpha z \left(z(g*f)'(z)\right)'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z(g*f)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*f)(z)} \rightarrow h(z)$$

since  $f \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ . Or Re[zG'(z)/G(z)] > 0, which implies that G is starlike. Further,  $F(z) \to h(z)$  and h is convex univalent. Hence from Remark 1 under Theorem C we see that the image of E under  $\Phi * FG/\Phi * G$  lies in the convex hull of  $F(E) \subset h(E)$ , a convex set. Thus,

$$\frac{\alpha z \big(z(g*\Phi*f)'(z)\big)' + (1-\alpha)z(g*\Phi*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Phi*f)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*\Phi*f)(z)} = \frac{(\Phi*FG)(z)}{(\Phi*G)(z)} \to h(z),$$

which implies that  $\Phi * f \in M_a(\alpha; h)$ .

Theorem 4. For every convex univalent function  $\Phi \in A$ ,  $M_g(\alpha; h) \subseteq M_{\Phi *g}(\alpha; h)$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ . Then by the previous theorem  $\Phi * f \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ . That is,

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*\Phi*f)'(z))'(z)+(1-\alpha)z(g*\Phi*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Phi*f)'(z)+(1-\alpha)(g*\Phi*f)(z)} \to h(z).$$

Or equivalently  $f \in M_{\Phi * q}(\alpha; h)$ .

Next we prove an inclusion relation and also the fact that the class  $R_a(\alpha; h)$  is closed under a certain integral operator.

Theorem 5. For 
$$0 \le \alpha \le 1$$
,  $R_a(\alpha; h) \subset R_a(0; h) = C_a(h)$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in R_a(\alpha; h)$ . Then there exists a  $\Phi \in M_a(\alpha; h)$  such that

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Phi)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*\Phi)(z)} \rightarrow h(z).$$

Setting

$$p(z) = \frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*\Phi)(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad q(z) = \frac{z(g*\Phi)'(z)}{(g*\Phi)(z)}$$

we have

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Phi)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*\Phi)(z)}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha z p'(z) + p(z) \left(\frac{\alpha z (g*\Phi)'(z)}{(g*\Phi)(z)} + (1-\alpha)\right)}{\frac{\alpha z (g*\Phi)'(z)}{(g*\Phi)(z)} + (1-\alpha)}$$

$$= p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{\frac{z (g*\Phi)'(z)}{(g*\Phi)(z)} + (1/\alpha - 1)}$$

$$= p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{\frac{z (z)}{(z)} + (1/\alpha - 1)} \to h(z),$$

since  $f \in R_g(\alpha; h)$ . Here  $q(z) \to h(z)$  by Theorem 1. Since  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ , an application of Theorem B gives  $p(z) \to h(z)$ , thereby establishing the theorem.

THEOREM 6.

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha z^{1/\alpha - 1}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{1/\alpha - 2} f(t) dt \in R_{g}(\alpha; h)$$

whenever  $f \in R_a(\alpha; h)$  for  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ .

Proof. On differentiating F with respect to z we have

$$\alpha z F'(z) + (1 - \alpha)F(z) = f(z).$$

This on convolution with g(z) gives

$$\alpha z(g*F)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*F)(z) = (g*f)(z),$$

where we used the fact that g\*(zF'(z)) = z(g\*F)'(z). Again differentiating with respect to z and multiplying by z we get

$$\alpha z (z(g*F)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*F)'(z) = z(g*f)'(z).$$

Since  $f \in R_a(\alpha; h)$  there exists a  $\varphi \in M_a(\alpha; h)$  such that

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\varphi)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*\varphi)(z)} \rightarrow h(z) \quad \text{in } E$$

By Theorem 2,  $\Phi$  defined by

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha z^{1/\alpha - 1}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{1/\alpha - 2} \varphi(t) dt \in M_{g}(\alpha; h)$$

for  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  whenever  $\varphi \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ . Differentiating  $\Phi(z)$  with respect to z, and convoluting the result with g(z) we have

$$\alpha z (g * \Phi)'(z) + (1 - \alpha)(g * \Phi)(z) = (g * \varphi)(z) \neq 0$$
 in  $E - \{0\}$ .

Thus

$$\frac{\alpha z \big(z(g*F)'(z)\big)'(z)+(1-\alpha)z(g*F)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Phi)'(z)+(1-\alpha)(g*\Phi)(z)}=\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*\varphi)(z)}.$$

Since  $f \in R_g(\alpha; h)$  and  $R_g(\alpha; h) \subset R_g(0; h)$  (by Theorem 5) we have

$$\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(q*\varphi)(z)} \to h(z) \quad \text{for } z \in E, \ 0 \le \alpha \le 1.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g*F)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*F)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Phi)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*\Phi)(z)} \to h(z) \quad \text{in } E$$

for  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .

In the same way as in Theorem 2 we can show that  $(g*F)(z) \neq 0$  and  $(g*F)'(z) \neq 0$  in  $E - \{0\}$  from the fact that  $(g*f)(z) \neq 0$  and  $(g*f)'(z) \neq 0$  in  $E - \{0\}$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . Thus we get  $F \in R_g(\alpha; h)$ .

THEOREM 7. Let  $f \in R_g(\alpha; h)$  with respect to a function  $\Psi \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ . Then for every convex univalent function  $\Phi \in A$ ,  $\Phi * f \in R_g(\alpha; h)$  with respect to  $\Phi * \Psi \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ .

Proof. From Theorem 3, it follows that  $\Phi * \Psi \in M_g(\alpha; h)$  whenever  $\Psi \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ . Let

$$F(z) = \frac{\alpha z (z(g*f)'(z))'(z) + (1-\alpha)z(g*f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g*\Psi)'(z) + (1-\alpha)(g*\Psi)(z)}.$$

Since  $f \in R_a(\alpha; h)$  with respect to  $\Psi \in M_a(\alpha; h)$  we have  $F(z) \to h(z)$  in E. Now,

$$\frac{\alpha z (z(g * \Phi * f)'(z))'(z) + (1 - \alpha)z(g * \Phi * f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g * \Phi * \Psi)'(z) + (1 - \alpha)(g * \Phi * \Psi)(z)}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha z (\Phi * z (g * f)'(z))'(z) + (1 - \alpha)(\Phi * z (g * f)'(z))(z)}{\alpha (\Phi * z (g * \Psi)'(z))(z) + (1 - \alpha)(\Phi * (g * \Psi))(z)}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\Phi * \left[\frac{\alpha z (z(g * f)'(z))'(z) + (1 - \alpha)z(g * f)'(z)}{\alpha z (g * \Psi)'(z) + (1 - \alpha)(g * \Psi)(z)}\right](\alpha z (g * \Psi)' + (1 - \alpha)(g * \Psi))\right)(z)}{\Phi * (\alpha z (g * \Psi)'(z) + (1 - \alpha)(g * \Psi)(z))}$$

$$= \frac{(\Phi * FG)(z)}{(\Phi * G)(z)},$$

where  $G(z) = \alpha z (g * \Psi)'(z) + (1 - \alpha)(g * \Psi)(z)$ . Now

$$\frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} = \frac{\alpha z \left(z(g * \Psi)'(z)\right)'(z) + (1 - \alpha)z(g * \Psi)'(z)}{\alpha z (g * \Psi)'(z) + (1 - \alpha)(g * \Psi)(z)} \rightarrow h(z)$$

since  $\Psi \in M_g(\alpha; h)$ . From this we have Re[zG'(z)/G(z)] > 0, which implies that G is starlike. Also  $F(z) \to h(z)$  and h is a convex univalent function. Thus from Remark 1 we get  $(\Phi * FG)(z)/(\Phi * G)(z) \to h(z)$ . Thus  $\Phi * f \in R_g(\alpha; h)$ .

Theorem 8.  $R_g(\alpha; h) \subseteq R_{\Phi * g}(\alpha; h)$  for every convex univalent function  $\Phi \in A$ .

The proof of Theorem 8 runs along the same lines as that of Theorem 4 and hence is omitted.

Acknowledgement. The authoresses wish to thank Dr. R. Parvatham, Reader, Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras for her kind help and guidance.

## References

- [1] R. W. Barnard and C. Kellogg, Applications of convolution operators to problems in univalent function theory, Michigan Math. J. 27 (1980), 81-94.
- [2] P. Eenigenberg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Beriot-Bouquet differential subordination, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 28 (1984), 567-573.
- [3] K. S. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham, Some applications of differential subordination, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 32 (1985), 321-330.
- [4] R. Parvatham and S. Radha, On a Ruscheweyh type generalization of the Pascu class of analytic functions, Publication de l'Institut Mathématique, Nouvelle Série, tome 43 (57) (1988), 111-117.
- [5] N. N. Pascu, α-close-to-convex functions, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 743, Springer, 1976, 331-335.
- [6] N. N. Pascu and V. Poderu, On the radius of α-starlikeness for starlike function of order beta, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 1013, Springer, 1981, 336-349.
- [7] St. Ruscheweyh and T. Sheil-Small, Hadamard product of schlicht functions and Polya-Schoenberg conjecture, Comment. Math. Helv. 48 (1973), 119-135.
- [8] T. N. Shanmugam, Convolution and differential subordination, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. (to appear).

RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS Madras 600 005, India

J. B. A. S. WOMEN'S COLLEGE (AFFILIATED TO UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS) Madras 600018, India

Reçu par la Rédaction le 10.04.1989