

ON THE SOLVABILITY OF INFINITE SYSTEMS  
OF BOOLEAN POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS

BY

ALEXANDER ABIAN (AMES, IOWA)

The aim of this paper is to prove the Fundamental Lemma 3 and to show how its consequence Theorem 1 paves the way to proving Theorem 2 in a natural manner. Theorem 2 is also proved in [2], and can be obtained in still another way by using results of [1] and [2], yet our proof is direct and elementary in contradistinction to those mentioned above.

We observe that Lemma 3 as well as Theorem 1 are proved without the use of the Axiom of Choice or any of its equivalent statements.

First we prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $a$  and  $c$  be elements of a Boolean ring  $B$ . Then the equation  $ax = c$  has a solution in  $B$  if and only if  $ac = c$ .*

Proof. If  $am = c$  for some  $m \in B$ , then  $am = aam = ac = c$ . Conversely, if  $ac = c$ , then clearly,  $x = c$  is a solution of  $ax = c$ .

LEMMA 2. *If the system of equations*

$$a_1x = c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_2x = c_2$$

*over a Boolean ring  $B$  has a solution in  $B$ , then*

$$x = c_1 + c_2 + c_1c_2$$

*is a solution of the system.*

Proof. If  $a_1m = c_1$  and  $a_2m = c_2$  for some  $m \in B$ , then  $(a_1 + a_2)m = c_1 + c_2$  and therefore by Lemma 1

$$a_1c_1 = c_1, \quad a_2c_2 = c_2, \quad (a_1 + a_2)(c_1 + c_2) = c_1 + c_2$$

from which it follows that

$$a_1c_1 + a_1c_2 + a_1c_1c_2 = c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_2c_1 + a_2c_2 + a_2c_1c_2 = c_2$$

implying the conclusion of the Theorem.

Let us recall that if for elements  $x$  and  $y$  of a Boolean ring  $B$  we write  $x \leq y$  whenever  $xy = x$ , then  $(B, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set. Moreover, in  $(B, \leq)$

$$(1) \quad \sup\{a, c\} = a + c + ac \quad \text{and} \quad a(\sup_i c_i) = \sup_i(ac_i),$$

where the second equality holds provided  $\sup_i c_i$  exists in  $B$  (even if  $B$  has no unit element). As expected, a Boolean ring is called *complete* if every subset of  $B$  has a supremum, in which case,  $\sup B$  (or for that matter any upper bound of  $B$ ) is the unit element of  $B$ .

LEMMA 3. *Let  $B$  be a complete Boolean ring and  $I$  an index set. Then the system of equations  $(a_i x = c_i)_{i \in I}$  over  $B$  has a solution in  $B$  if every subsystem consisting of two equations has a solution in  $B$ , in which case  $x = \sup_{i \in I} c_i$  is a solution of the system.*

Proof. Let  $j \in I$ . Since every subsystem consisting of two equations has a solution in  $B$ , in view of (1) and Lemma 2, we have

$$a_j(\sup\{c_j, c_i\}) = c_j$$

and since  $B$  is complete

$$\sup_{i \in I} (a_j(\sup\{c_j, c_i\})) = \sup_{i \in I} c_j = c_j$$

which in view of (1) yields

$$a_j(\sup_{i \in I} (\sup\{c_j, c_i\})) = a_j(\sup_{i \in I} c_i) = c_j$$

implying that  $\sup_{i \in I} c_i$  is a solution of the equation  $a_j x = c_j$ . Since  $j$  is an arbitrary element of  $I$ , we see that Lemma 3 is proved.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean ring such that every system of equations of the form  $(a_i x = c_i)_{i \in I}$  over  $B$  has a solution in  $B$  provided every subsystem consisting of two equations has a solution in  $B$ . Then  $B$  is complete.*

Proof. Let  $S$  be a subset of  $B$ . Consider the system of equations

$$(2) \quad sx = s \quad \text{for every } s \in S.$$

Clearly, every subsystem of (2) consisting of two equations  $sx = s$  and  $tx = t$  has a solution, in  $B$ , say,  $x = s + t + st$  and therefore, in view of the hypothesis of the Lemma, system (2) has a solution in  $B$ . Moreover, the same proof, with  $S$  replaced by  $B$ , show, that  $B$  has a unit  $e$ . Let  $U$  be the set of all solutions of system (2). Clearly, each  $u \in U$  is an upper bound of  $S$ . Next, consider the system of equations

$$(3) \quad (sx = s)_{s \in S} \quad \text{and} \quad (ux = x)_{u \in U},$$

where each  $ux = x$  can be replaced by  $(u - e)x = 0$ .

Again, it is easy to verify that every subsystem of (3) consisting of two equations has a solution in  $B$ . For instance, a solution of  $sx = s$  and  $ux = x$  with  $s \in S$  and  $u \in U$  is  $x = u$ . On the other hand, a solution of  $ux = x$  and  $vx = x$  with  $u \in U$  and  $v \in U$  is  $x = uv$ . Therefore, system (3) has a solution in  $B$ , say,  $x = p$  with  $p \in B$ . But then, as (3) shows,  $p = \sup S$ . Since  $S$  is an arbitrary subset of  $B$  we see that the Lemma is proved.

Combining Lemmas 3 and 4 we obtain:

**THEOREM 1.** *A Boolean ring  $B$  is complete if and only if every (finite or infinite) system  $\Gamma$  of Boolean polynomial equations in one unknown with coefficients in  $B$  has a solution in  $B$  provided every subsystem of  $\Gamma$  consisting of two equations has a solution in  $B$ .*

Motivated by Theorem 1 and based on the proof of Lemma 3 we prove the following theorem where  $I$  and  $J$  are (finite or infinite) index sets and where, naturally, each polynomial  $P_i(\dots, x_j, \dots)$  in the unknowns  $x_j$  contains finitely many unknowns.

**THEOREM 2.** *A Boolean ring  $B$  is complete if and only if every (finite or infinite) system  $(P_i(\dots, x_j, \dots) = c_i)_{i \in I}$  with  $j \in J$  of Boolean polynomial equations over  $B$  has a solution in  $B$  provided every finite subsystem has a solution in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let us suppose that  $B$  is complete and that every finite subsystem of  $(P_i(\dots, x_j, \dots) = c_i)_{i \in I}$  has a solution in  $B$ . Consider the Boolean ring  $\Phi$  which is generated by  $B$  and the Boolean polynomials  $P_i(\dots, x_j, \dots)$  with  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ . In view of our supposition there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $\Phi$  into  $B$  such that

$$(4) \quad \varphi(P_i(\dots, x_j, \dots)) = c_i \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(b) = b$$

for every  $i \in I$  and every  $b \in B$ .

By virtue of Zorn's Lemma it can be easily shown that there exists a maximal (with respect to the set-theoretical inclusion) Boolean ring  $\Psi$  of polynomials (in the unknowns  $x_j$  with  $j \in J$ ) over  $B$  and a homomorphism  $\psi$  from  $\Psi$  into  $B$  such that  $\varphi \subset \psi$ .

To prove the Theorem it is enough to show that for every  $j \in J$  the polynomial  $x_j$  is an element of  $\Psi$ , in which case, clearly,  $(x_j = \psi(x_j))_{j \in J}$  is a solution of the system under consideration.

Assume on the contrary that for some  $j \in J$  the polynomial  $x_j$  is not an element of  $\Psi$ . Consider  $x_j$  and all the elements  $P_u$  and  $P_n$  of  $\Psi$  such that for some elements  $P_u$  and  $P_v$  of  $\Psi$

$$x_j P_u + P_m = x_j P_v + P_n.$$

But then, since  $\psi$  is a homomorphism, it follows from Lemma 3 that the system

$$(\psi(P_u + P_v))x_j = \psi(P_m + P_n)$$

has a solution, say,  $x_j = r_j$  with  $r_j \in B$ . Thus,

$$(5) \quad x_j P_u + P_m = x_j P_v + P_n \text{ implies } r_j \psi(P_u) + \psi(P_m) = r_j \psi(P_v) + \psi(P_n).$$

Next, consider the Boolean ring  $\Psi^*$  generated by  $\Psi$  and the polynomial  $x_j$ . By virtue of (5) the mapping  $\psi^*$  given by

$$\psi^*(x_j P_u + P_m) = r_j \psi(P_u) + \psi(P_m)$$

is a homomorphism from  $\Psi^*$  into  $B$ . But this contradicts the maximality of  $\Psi$ . Thus, our assumption is false and the necessity is proved. We omit the proof of the sufficiency since it is analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.

Let us call a system of equations as being *consistent* if the system has a solution. Then in view of Theorem 2 we have the following

**COROLLARY.** *A system of polynomial equations over a complete Boolean ring  $B$  is consistent if every finite subsystem has a solution in  $B$ .*

#### REFERENCE

- [1] J. Mycielski and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *Equationally compact algebras*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 61 (1968), p. 272-298.
- [2] B. Węglorz, *Equationally compact algebras*, *ibidem* 59 (1966), p. 289-298.

THE IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 9. 1968*