

Exactness of expanding mappings

by JOLANTA SOCALA (Katowice)

Abstract. A new sufficient condition for exactness of piecewise expanding mappings on the d -dimensional cube $[0, 1]^d$ is proved. It generalizes the results of [6] concerning the piecewise expanding mappings on the unit interval.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to establish a sufficient condition for the exactness of piecewise expanding mappings on the d -dimensional cube. In the one-dimensional case, this problem is almost completely solved. The solution follows from the results of Rényi [10], Rohlin [11], Lasota and Yorke [7], and Lasota and Mackey [6]. In the d -dimensional case, this problem has been investigated in [1], [5] and [13]. In particular, K. Krzyżewski and W. Szlenk proved the exactness for expanding mappings of compact manifolds under assumption $T(A) = A$. G. Pianigiani and J. A. Yorke obtained a somewhat analogous result for expanding mappings in the case $A \subset T(A)$. In the present paper we assume $T(A) \subset A$.

We shall study our problem from a statistical point of view as transformations of probability densities. This leads immediately to the idea of considering the properties of the corresponding Frobenius-Perron operators. The proof of our theorem is based on idea due to [6]. Section 2 of the paper contains some preliminary notation. The main result is proved in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries. Let (X, Σ, m) be a σ -finite measure space and let $S: X \rightarrow X$ be a given transformation. In what follows, we shall assume that S is doubly measurable which means that $S(A) \in \Sigma$ and $S^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma$ for $A \in \Sigma$. We shall also assume that S is non-singular, i.e., $m(A) = 0$ implies $m(S^{-1}(A)) = 0$. We shall deal with the space $L^1 = L^1(X, \Sigma, m)$ and the norm $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^1}$. By $D = D(X, \Sigma, m)$ we shall denote the set of all (normalized) densities on X , that is,

$$D = \{f \in L^1: f \geq 0 \text{ and } \|f\| = 1\}.$$

For any density g we shall denote by m_g the measure

$$m_g(A) = \int_A g dm \quad \text{for } A \in \Sigma.$$

An important role in our considerations is played by the Frobenius-Perron operator. For a given S , we define the Frobenius-Perron operator P corresponding to S by

$$(1) \quad \int_A Pf dm = \int_{S^{-1}(A)} f dm \quad \text{for } A \in \Sigma \text{ and } f \in L^1.$$

From (1) it can be seen that P is linear and preserves the integral and is contractive in L^1 (that is, $\|Pf\| \leq \|f\|$).

To define the exactness of the system (S, μ) assume that (X, Σ, μ) is a normalized measure space and that $S: X \rightarrow X$ is a measure preserving transformation. If

$$\lim_n \mu(S^n(A)) = 1$$

for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $m(A) > 0$, then the system (S, μ) is called *exact*.

We shall use the following sufficient condition for exactness (see [8]).

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, Σ, m) be a σ -finite measure space and let $S: X \rightarrow X$ be doubly measurable and non-singular. Assume that there exists $h \in L^1$, $h \geq 0$, $\|h\| > 0$, such that*

$$(2) \quad \lim_n \|(h - P^n f)^+\| = 0 \quad \text{for } f \in D.$$

Then there is a unique density g such that the system (S, m_g) is exact.

In (2), z^+ denotes $\max(0, z)$. A non-negative function $h \in L^1$ satisfying (2) will be called a *lower bound function* for P .

We shall use some standard notions of the theory of differential inequalities. A function $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *left lower semicontinuous* if

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(t - \varepsilon) \geq f(t) \quad \text{for } t \in (a, b).$$

For any function $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we may define its right lower derivative by setting

$$\frac{d_+ f(t)}{dt} = \liminf_{\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t + \varepsilon) - f(t)}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } t \in (a, b).$$

It is well known (see [12]) that for every left lower semicontinuous function $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the inequality

$$\frac{d_+ f(t)}{dt} \leq Kf(t) \quad \text{for } t \in (a, b)$$

implies

$$(3) \quad f(t) \leq f(s) e^{K(t-s)} \quad \text{for } t \in [s, b).$$

Now we are going to define the expanding maps on R^d . We say that a matrix M is λ -expansive if $\inf \{|M \cdot v| : |v| = 1\} \geq \lambda$. Let $S: X \rightarrow R^d$, $X \subset R^d$ be a C^1 -function and let $DS(x)$ denote the Jacobian matrix for S . We call the mapping S λ -expansive if $DS(x)$ is a λ -expansive matrix for all $x \in X$.

3. The main result. Denote by m the Lebesgue measure on the unit cube $X = [0, 1]^d$. We shall write $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ for $x \in X$. Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$, where X_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, are closed cubes which can be written in the form

$$X_i = \{x \in X : a_j^i \leq x_j \leq b_j^i \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Assume that $m(X_i \cap X_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Consider a sequence of mappings $S^i: X_i \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, which satisfy the conditions:

- (i) S^i is a C^2 -diffeomorphism onto its image;
 - (ii) $\partial T_j^i / \partial x_l \geq 0$, $\det DT^i > 0$ for $j, l = 1, \dots, d$, where $T^i = (S^i)^{-1}$ and $T^i = (T_1^i, \dots, T_d^i)$;
 - (iii) there exists $\lambda > 1$ such that S^i is λ -expansive;
 - (iv) if $x \in X_i$ and $x_j \neq a_j^i$ for a given $1 \leq j \leq d$, then $S_j^i(x) \neq 0$;
 - (v) if $x \in Y_i = S^i(X_i)$ and $y_j \leq x_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$, then $y \in Y_i$.
- We define the mapping $S: X \rightarrow X$ by the condition
- (vi) $S(x) = S^i(x)$ for $x \in \text{int } X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

THEOREM 2. *If S is given by formula (vi) and S^i satisfy conditions (i) (v), then there exists a unique density g such that the system (S, m_g) is exact.*

Proof. Let Q be the set of all C^1 -functions $q: \Delta \rightarrow X$ such that

$$dq_j/dt \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, d \text{ and } t \in \Delta,$$

where Δ is a compact interval which depends in general upon q . Now let D_0 denote the subset of $D = D(X, \Sigma, m)$ consisting of all functions f satisfying for every $q \in Q$ the following two conditions:

(C1) The function $f \circ q$ is left lower semicontinuous:

$$(C2) \quad \frac{d_+ f \circ q}{dt} \leq K_f \left| \frac{dq}{dt} \right| f \circ q,$$

where $|\cdot|$ stands for the norm in R^d and the constant K_f depends in general on f but not on q . The proof will be given in two steps. First, we shall show that $P^n f \in D_0$ for $f \in D_0$ and that there exists a constant K such that $K_{P^n f} \leq K$ for every $f \in D_0$ and for $n \geq n(f)$. Then in Step II we shall prove the existence of a constant r such that for every $f \in D_0$

$$(4) \quad \int_{B_r} P^n f dm \leq 1/2, \quad B_r = \{x \in X : x_j \leq r \text{ for some } j\}$$

for sufficiently large n . This allows to construct a non-trivial (different from zero) lower bound function for P .

Step I. Let $f \in D_0$ and $q \in Q$. A simple computation shows that the operator P can be written in the form

$$Pf(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{Y_i}(x) f \circ T^i(x) \det DT^i(x).$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad Pf \circ q(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{Y_i \circ q}(t) f \circ T^i \circ q(t) \det DT^i \circ q(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \Delta.$$

From conditions (v) and (ii) it follows that

$$\mathbf{1}_{Y_i \circ q} = \mathbf{1}_{[0, b_i]} \quad \text{and} \quad T^i \circ q|_{[0, b_i]} \in Q,$$

where $b_i = \sup \{t : q(t) \in Y_i\}$. The function $Pf \circ q$ as calculated from equation (5) is left lower semicontinuous. Differentiation of (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d_+ Pf \circ q}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{Y_i \circ q} \frac{d_+ f \circ T^i \circ q}{dt} \det DT^i \circ q + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{Y_i \circ q} \frac{d(\det DT^i \circ q)}{dt} f \circ T^i \circ q. \end{aligned}$$

By conditions (C2) and (iii) we obtain

$$\frac{d_+ f \circ T^i \circ q}{dt} \leq K_f \left| \frac{dT^i \circ q}{dt} \right| f \circ T^i \circ q \leq \beta K_f \left| \frac{dq}{dt} \right| f \circ T^i \circ q,$$

where $\beta = 1/\lambda$. Since Y_i are compact, there exists a constant L such that

$$\frac{\partial \det DT^i}{\partial x_j} \leq L \det DT^i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, d$$

so, as a consequence,

$$\frac{d(\det DT^i \circ q)}{dt} \leq L \det DT^i \circ q \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{dq_j}{dt} \leq dL \det DT^i \circ q \left| \frac{dq}{dt} \right|.$$

Thus, finally

$$\frac{d_+ Pf \circ q}{dt} \leq (\beta K_f + dL) \left| \frac{dq}{dt} \right| Pf \circ q,$$

and $Pf \in D_0$ with a constant $K_{Pf} \leq \beta K_f + dL$. An induction argument shows

that $P^n f \in D_0$ and

$$K_{P^n f} \leq \beta^n K_f + dL/(1 - \beta) \leq K$$

for n sufficiently large and $K = 1 + dL/(1 - \beta)$.

Before passing to the Step II we shall proof three simple lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $f \in D_0$ and $K_f \leq K$. If $x_j \leq y_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$, then $f(y) \leq Mf(x)$, where $M = e^{Kd}$.

Proof. Define $q: I \rightarrow X$ by the formula $q(t) = ty + (1 - t)x$. Evidently $q \in Q$ and $|dq/dt| \leq d$. By the definition of D_0

$$d_+ f \circ q/dt \leq Kdf \circ q$$

and the function $f \circ q$ is left lower semicontinuous. From (3) it follows $f(y) \leq Mf(x)$.

LEMMA 2. Assume that $f \in D_0$ and $K_f \leq K$. Let c, r be positive real numbers such that $c + r \leq 1$. Then

$$\int_{C_j} f dm \leq rM/c \quad \text{where } C_j = \{x \in X: c \leq x_j \leq c + r\}.$$

Proof. Let $B_j = \{x \in X: x_j \leq c\}$ and let

$$F_j(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, c + x_j r/c, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

for $x \in B_j$. Then $\det DF_j = r/c$, and consequently, from Lemma 1 it follows that

$$\int_{C_j} f dm - \int_{B_j} f \circ F_j |\det DF_j| dm \leq (r/c) \int_{B_j} Mf dm \leq rM/c.$$

LEMMA 3. Let j ($1 \leq j \leq d$) be a given number and let r be a positive real number. Then

$$T^i(K_r \cap Y_i) \subset K_{\beta r}^i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where

$$K_r = \{x \in X: x_j \leq r\}, \quad K_{\beta r}^i = \{x \in X_i: x_j \leq r + \beta r\}.$$

Proof. First we shall show that if $x, y \in Y_i$ for a certain i , then $|T^i x - T^i y| \leq \beta|x - y|$. Define $q: I \rightarrow X$ by the formula $q(t) = ty + (1 - t)x$. Using condition (iii) we may write

$$|T^i x - T^i y| \leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{dT^i \circ q}{dt} \right| dt \leq \beta \int_0^1 \left| \frac{dq}{dt} \right| dt = \beta|x - y|.$$

For a given point $x \in T^i K_r$ and for $y = S^i x$ we have $y_j \leq r$. Set $z = (y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, 0, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_d)$. From (iv) and (v) it follows that $z \in Y_i$ and

$T_j^i z = a_j^i$. Thus, since

$$x_j - a_j^i \leq |x - T^i z| \leq \beta |y - z| \leq \beta r,$$

we have $x \in K_{\beta r}^i$.

Step II. Define B_r as in formula (4). For a given j ($1 \leq j \leq d$), set

$$I = \{i: a_j^i = 0\}, \quad J = \{i: a_j^i \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \min \{a_j^i: i \in J\}.$$

Let $K_r, K_{\beta r}^i$ be as in Lemma 3. If $f \in D_0$, then there exists N such that $K_{P^n f} \leq K$ for $n \geq N$. Since $m(X_i \cap X_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, it follows that $m(K_{\beta r}^i \cap K_{\beta r}^j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. From Lemma 3 and Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_r} P^{N+1} f dm &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{T^i(K_r)} P^N f dm \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{K_{\beta r}^i} P^N f dm \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \int_{K_{\beta r}^i} P^N f dm + \sum_{i \in J} \int_{K_{\beta r}^i} P^N f dm \leq \int_{K_{\beta r}} P^N f dm + k\beta r M/b. \end{aligned}$$

Again, using a simple induction argument it follows that

$$\int_{K_r} P^{N+n} f dm \leq \int_{K_{\beta^n r}} P^N f dm + k\beta^n r M/b(1-\beta) \leq 1/2d$$

and consequently

$$\int_{B_r} P^{N+n} f dm \leq 1/2$$

for $r < b(1-\beta)/2dk\beta M$ and n sufficiently large.

We are going to show that $h = (1/2M)\mathbf{1}_G$ with

$$G = \{x \in X: x_j \leq r \text{ for every } j = 1, \dots, d\}$$

is a lower bound function for P . Let $f \in D_0$ and

$$K_{P^n f} \leq K \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_r} P^n f dm \leq 1/2 \quad \text{for } n \geq N.$$

From Lemma 1 for $x \in G$ we have

$$1/2 \leq \int_{X-B_r} P^n f dm \leq \int_{X-B_r} M P^n f(x) dm \leq M P^n f(x)$$

and $P^n f(x) \geq 1/2M$. Since the set D_0 is dense in D , this completes the proof.

Remark. The existence of absolutely continuous invariant measure for a certain class of piecewise differentiable mappings on the d -dimensional cube

has been proved in [2]–[4]. The transformation

$$S(x, y) = \begin{cases} (4x - 4xy, 4y) & \text{for } 0 \leq x, y \leq 1/4, \\ (4x, 4y)(\text{mod } 1) & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

on the unit square I^2 satisfies the assumptions of our Theorem 2 and it is not of the form considered in the mentioned papers.

References

- [1] A. Avez, *Propriétés ergodiques des endomorphismes dilatants des variétés compactes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A-B 266 (1968), A610–A612.
- [2] H. Haller, *Rectangle exchange transformations*, Monatsh. Math. 91 (1981), 215–232.
- [3] M. Jabłoński, *On invariant measures for piecewise convex transformations*, Ann. Polon. Math. 32 (1976), 207–214.
- [4] —, *Invariant measures for piecewise C^2 -transformations*, ibidem 43 (1983), 185–195.
- [5] K. Krzyżewski and W. Szlenk, *On invariant measures for expanding differentiable mappings*, Studia Math. 33 (1969), 83–92.
- [6] A. Lasota and M. C. Mackey, *Probabilistic Properties of Deterministic Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1986.
- [7] A. Lasota and J. A. Yorke, *On the existence of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 186 (1973), 481–488.
- [8] —, —, *Exact dynamical systems and the Frobenius–Perron operator*, ibidem 273 (1982), 375–384.
- [9] G. Pianigiani and J. A. Yorke, *Expanding maps on sets which are almost invariant: decay and chaos*, ibidem 252 (1979), 351–365.
- [10] A. Rényi, *Representation for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 8 (1957), 477–493.
- [11] V. A. Rohlin, *Exact endomorphisms of Lebesgue spaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 25 (1971), 499–530; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 39 (1964), 1–36.
- [12] J. A. Walker, *Dynamical Systems and Evolution Equations*, Plenum Press, New York 1980.
- [13] M. S. Waterman, *Some ergodic properties of multidimensional F -expansions*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 16 (1970), 77–103.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 09.02.1987