

On the behavior of solutions of parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients

by T. KURODA, and L. S. CHEN (Sendai)

1. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of the n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n and let t be a non-negative number. The distance of the point $x \in R^n$ from the origin of R^n is denoted by $|x|$. Denote by Ω_T a strip $R^n \times (0, T)$ in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional half space $R^n \times (0, +\infty)$, where $T < +\infty$. A point in Ω_T is represented by its coordinate (x, t) .

Consider a parabolic differential equation

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} + k^2(|x|^2 + 1)u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad k > 0$$

in $R^n \times (0, +\infty)$. Krzyżański and Szybiak [4] proved the existence of the fundamental solution of this equation. By using this fundamental solution, we can see that the solution $u(x, t)$ of the above equation with the Cauchy data $u(x, 0) = e^{-\mu|x|^2}$ ($\mu > 0$) is uniquely determined in $\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4k}}$ and is given by

$$u(x, t) = \left(\frac{k}{2\mu \sin 2kt + k \cos 2kt} \right)^{n/2} \exp \left\{ - \frac{k(2\mu \cos 2kt - k \sin 2kt)}{2(2\mu \sin 2kt + k \cos 2kt)} |x|^2 + k^2 t \right\}.$$

So, if $0 \leq t < t_0 = \frac{1}{2k} \tan^{-1} \frac{2\mu}{k}$, then $u(x, t)$ decays exponentially as

$|x|$ tends to infinity, and $u(x, t_0)$ is equal to a positive constant and further,

if $t_0 < t < \frac{\pi}{4k}$, then $u(x, t)$ grows exponentially as $|x|$ tends to infinity

(cf. Kusano [5]). This fact leads us to a question whether the similar situation to the above holds or not for solutions of general parabolic equations of unbounded coefficients with a suitable Cauchy data.

2. The following result of Chen [2] gives us an answer to the question in part:

Let

$$(2) \quad Lu \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + cu - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0$$

be a parabolic equation in Ω_T , where coefficients a_{ij} ($= a_{ji}$), b_i and c are functions defined in Ω_T such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} 0 < \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq K_1 (|x|^2 + 1)^{1-\lambda} |\xi|^2 \\ \text{for any real vector } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \neq 0, \\ |b_i| \leq K_2 (|x|^2 + 1)^{1/2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ c \leq K_3 (|x|^2 + 1) \end{cases}$$

in Ω_T for some positive constants K_1, K_2, K_3 and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. Assume that $K = 4K_1 K_3 - [K_2 n - 2(\lambda - 1)K_1]^2 > 0$. If the solution $u(x, t)$ of equation (2) in Ω_T satisfies $|u(x, t)| \leq K_4 \exp\{\mu_0 (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$ in Ω_T and $|u(x, 0)| \leq K_5 \exp\{-\mu (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$ for some positive constants K_4, K_5, μ_0 and μ and if

$$T_0 = \text{Min} \left(T, \frac{1}{\lambda \sqrt{K}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\lambda \sqrt{K}}{-4\lambda(\lambda - 1)K_1 + 2\lambda K_2 n + 2K_3 \mu^{-1}} \right),$$

then there exists a positive constant $\tilde{\mu}$ such that

$$|u(x, t)| \leq K_5 \exp\{-\tilde{\mu} (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$$

in $\overline{\Omega_{T'}}$ for any fixed T' ($< T_0$).

In this article we shall deal with the question stated in Section 1 under a somewhat stronger condition for coefficients and give an affirmative answer.

3. The following minimum principle due to Bodanko [1] plays an essential role in the later treatment.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that coefficients of L in (2) satisfy condition (3) in Ω_T and that $u = u(x, t)$ continuous in $\overline{\Omega_T} = R^n \times [0, T]$ satisfies $Lu \leq 0$ and $u(x, t) \geq -K_4 \exp\{\mu_0 (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$ in Ω_T for some positive constants K_4 and μ_0 . If $u(x, 0) \geq 0$, then $u(x, t) \geq 0$ throughout $\overline{\Omega_T}$.

Using this minimum principle, we can prove the following which is a general form of Krzyżański's theorem [3].

LEMMA 2. Assume that coefficients of L in (2) satisfy

$$0 < \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq K_1(|x|^2+1)^{1-\lambda} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for any real vector } \xi \neq 0,$$

$$(4) \quad |b_i| \leq K_2(|x|^2+1)^{1/2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

$$k_3(|x|^2+1)^\lambda \leq c$$

for some positive constants K_1, K_2, k_3 and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. Let $u = u(x, t)$ continuous in $\overline{\Omega_T}$ satisfy $Lu \leq 0$ and $u(x, t) \geq -K_4 \exp\{\mu_0(|x|^2+1)^\lambda\}$ in Ω_T for positive constants K_4 and μ_0 . If there exists a positive constant K_5 such that $u(x, 0) \geq K_5$, then it holds that

$$u(x, t) \geq K_5 \exp\{\mu^*(|x|^2+1)^\lambda t\}$$

in $\overline{\Omega_T}$ for a positive constant μ^* .

Proof. Take μ^* as such as

$$0 < \mu^* \leq \frac{k_3}{[4\lambda(1-\lambda)K_1 + 2\lambda n K_2]T + 1}$$

and put

$$v(x, t) = K_5 \exp\{\mu^*(|x|^2+1)^\lambda t\}.$$

Then, from (4) we see easily that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Lv}{v} &= [4\mu^{*2}\lambda^2(|x|^2+1)^{2\lambda-2}t^2 + 4\mu^*\lambda(\lambda-1)(|x|^2+1)^{\lambda-2}t] \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j + \\ &\quad + 2\mu^*\lambda(|x|^2+1)^{\lambda-1}t \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} + b_i x_i) + c - \mu^*(|x|^2+1)^\lambda \\ &\geq 4\mu^*\lambda(\lambda-1)TK_1 - 2\mu^*\lambda(|x|^2+1)^\lambda TK_2 n + (k_3 - \mu^*)(|x|^2+1)^\lambda \\ &\geq (|x|^2+1)^\lambda [\mu^*(4\lambda(\lambda-1)TK_1 - 2\lambda TK_2 n - 1) + k_3] \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

in Ω_T . Putting $w(x, t) = u(x, t) - v(x, t)$ and applying Lemma 1 to this function $w(x, t)$, we have $w(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\overline{\Omega_T}$, that is, $u(x, t) \geq v(x, t)$ in $\overline{\Omega_T}$, which proves the lemma.

4. Now we assume that the coefficients of L in (2) satisfy the condition

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} k_1(|x|^2+1)^{1-\lambda} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq K_1(|x|^2+1)^{1-\lambda} |\xi|^2 \\ |b_i| \leq K_2(|x|^2+1)^{1/2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ k_3(|x|^2+1)^\lambda \leq c \leq K_3(|x|^2+1)^\lambda \end{cases} \quad \text{for any real vector } \xi,$$

in Ω_T for positive constants k_1, k_3, K_1, K_2, K_3 and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

Let $u = u(x, t)$ continuous in $\bar{\Omega}_T$ satisfy $Lu \leq 0$ and $u(x, t) \geq -K_4 \exp\{\mu_0(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$ in Ω_T and $u(x, 0) \geq K_5 \exp\{-\mu(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$ for positive constants K_4, K_5, μ_0 and μ . Suppose that these constants fulfil the inequality

$$(6) \quad -2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1} > 0.$$

We introduce a parameter $\varrho (> 1)$ and put

$$v(x, t) = K_5 \exp \left\{ -\mu(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda e^{-\gamma_0 t} - \frac{2\lambda K_1 n}{\lambda_0 \log \varrho} \mu (1 - e^{-\gamma_0 t}) - \frac{2\lambda^2 k_1}{\gamma_0 \log \varrho} \mu^2 (1 - e^{-2\gamma_0 t}) \right\},$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = (4\lambda^2 k_1 \mu \varrho^{-1} - 2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1}) (\log \varrho)^{-1}.$$

From (6) we see $\gamma_0 > 0$. Since $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Lv}{v} &= 4\mu^2 \lambda^2 e^{-2\gamma_0 t} (|x|^2 + 1)^{2\lambda-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j - \\ &\quad - 4\mu \lambda (\lambda - 1) e^{-\gamma_0 t} (|x|^2 + 1)^{\lambda-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j - \\ &\quad - 2\mu \lambda e^{-\gamma_0 t} (|x|^2 + 1)^{\lambda-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} + b_i x_i) + c - \\ &\quad - [\mu(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \gamma_0 e^{-\gamma_0 t} \log \varrho - (4\lambda(\lambda - 1)k_1 + 2\lambda K_1 n) \mu e^{-\gamma_0 t}] \\ &\geq (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \mu e^{-\gamma_0 t} [4\lambda^2 k_1 \mu \varrho^{-\gamma_0 t} - 2\lambda n K_2 + k_3 (\mu e^{-\gamma_0 t})^{-1} - \lambda_0 \log \varrho]. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq t < \gamma_0^{-1}$, then

$$4\lambda^2 k_1 \mu \varrho^{-\gamma_0 t} - 2\lambda n K_2 + k_3 (\mu e^{-\gamma_0 t})^{-1} - \gamma_0 \log \varrho \geq 0.$$

Hence it follows that $Lv \geq 0$ provided that $0 < t < \gamma_0^{-1}$. In the following we assume $\gamma_0^{-1} \leq T$. By putting $w(x, t) = u(x, t) - v(x, t)$, we see easily $w(x, 0) \geq 0$, $Lw \leq 0$ in $\Omega_{\gamma_0^{-1}}$ and $w(x, t) \geq -K'_4 \exp\{\mu_0(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$ in $\Omega_{\gamma_0^{-1}}$ for a suitable positive constant K'_4 . Therefore Lemma 1 implies $w(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{\gamma_0^{-1}}$, so $u(x, t) \geq v(x, t)$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{\gamma_0^{-1}}$. Hence we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, \gamma_0^{-1}) &\geq v(x, \gamma_0^{-1}) \\ &= K_5 \exp \left\{ -\mu e^{-1} (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda - \frac{2\lambda K_1 n}{\gamma_0 \log \varrho} \mu (1 - e^{-1}) - \frac{2\lambda^2 k_1}{\gamma_0 \log \varrho} \mu^2 (1 - e^{-2}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider $t = \gamma_0^{-1}$ as to be the initial time and (7) as to be the initial condition for u . Repeating the above procedure, we obtain

$$u(x, t) \geq K'_5 \exp \left\{ -\mu \varrho^{-1} (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \varrho^{-\gamma_1(t-\gamma_0^{-1})} - \frac{2\lambda K_1 n}{\gamma_1 \log \varrho} \mu \varrho^{-1} (1 - \varrho^{-\gamma_1(t-\gamma_0^{-1})}) - \frac{2\lambda^2 k_1}{\gamma_1 \log \varrho} \mu^2 \varrho^{-2} (1 - \varrho^{-2\gamma_1(t-\gamma_0^{-1})}) \right\}$$

in $R^n \times [\gamma_0^{-1}, \gamma_0^{-1} + \gamma_1^{-1}]$, where

$$\gamma_1 = (4\lambda^2 k_1 \mu \varrho^{-2} - 2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1} \varrho) (\log \varrho)^{-1}$$

and

$$K'_5 = K_5 \exp \left\{ -\frac{2\lambda K_1 n}{\gamma_0 \log \varrho} \mu (1 - \varrho^{-1}) - \frac{2\lambda^2 k_1}{\gamma_0 \log \varrho} \mu^2 (1 - \varrho^{-2}) \right\},$$

provided that $\gamma_0^{-1} + \gamma_1^{-1} < T$. Hence

$$u(x, \gamma_0^{-1} + \gamma_1^{-1}) \geq K_5 \exp \left\{ -\frac{2\lambda K_1 n}{\log \varrho} \mu (1 - \varrho^{-1}) (\gamma_0^{-1} + \varrho^{-1} \gamma_1^{-1}) - \frac{2\lambda^2 k_1}{\log \varrho} \mu^2 (1 - \varrho^{-2}) (\gamma_0^{-1} + \varrho^{-2} \gamma_1^{-1}) \right\} \exp \{ -\mu \varrho^2 (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \}.$$

In general, if $\gamma_0^{-1} + \dots + \gamma_j^{-1} < T$, then it holds that

$$(8) \quad u(x, \gamma_0^{-1} + \dots + \gamma_j^{-1}) \geq K_5 \exp \left\{ -\frac{2\lambda K_1 n}{\log \varrho} \mu (1 - \varrho^{-1}) (\gamma_0^{-1} + \varrho^{-1} \gamma_1^{-1} + \dots + \varrho^{-j} \gamma_j^{-1}) - \frac{2\lambda^2 k_1}{\log \varrho} \mu^2 (1 - \varrho^{-2}) (\gamma_0^{-1} + \varrho^{-2} \gamma_1^{-1} + \dots + \varrho^{-2j} \gamma_j^{-1}) \right\} \exp \{ -\mu \varrho^{-j-1} (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \},$$

where

$$\gamma_j = (4\lambda^2 k_1 \mu \varrho^{-j-1} - 2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1} \varrho^j) (\log \varrho)^{-1}.$$

Now we suppose

$$\sigma(\varrho) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{-1} < T.$$

First we estimate the sum $\sigma(\varrho)$ from above and below. For the brevity we put $4\lambda^2 k_1 \mu = f$, $-2\lambda K_2 n = g$ and $k_3 \mu^{-1} = h$. Then

$$\sigma(\varrho) = \log \varrho \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f \varrho^{-j-1} + g + h \varrho^j}.$$

The function $(f \varrho^{-\tau-1} + g + h \varrho^\tau)^{-1}$ of $\tau \in (-\infty, \infty)$ has its maximum at $\tau = \tau_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log_e \frac{f}{h \varrho}$. Assume that

$$(9) \quad 4fh - g^2 = 4\lambda^2 [4k_1 k_3 - K_2^2 n^2] > 0.$$

There are two cases: (i) $f > h$ and (ii) $f \leq h$.

In the case (i), we can find a number $e_0 (> 1)$ such that $e_0 > e > 1$ implies $f > he$ and such that $4fhe^{-1} - g^2 > 0$. For such a number e it is evident that $\tau_0 > 0$ and there exists an integer $p (\geq 0)$ satisfying $p < \tau_0 \leq p+1$. So, if $e_0 > e > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e) &\geq \log e \left[\int_0^p \frac{d\tau}{fe^{-\tau-1} + g + he^\tau} + \int_{p+1}^\infty \frac{d\tau}{fe^{-\tau-1} + g + he^\tau} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{4fhe^{-1} - g^2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{4fhe^{-1} - g^2} [4fhe^{-1} - g^2 + (2he^p + g)(2h + g) + 2h(e^p - 1)(2he^{p+1} + g)]}{(2he^{p+1} + g)[4fhe^{-1} - g^2 + (2he^p + g)(2h + g)] - 4fhe^{-1} - g^2} 2h(e^p - 1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $T_1(e)$ the right-hand side of the above. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e) &\leq \log e \left[\int_0^p \frac{d\tau}{fe^{-\tau-1} + g + he^\tau} + \int_{p+1}^\infty \frac{d\tau}{fe^{-\tau-1} + g + he^\tau} \right] + \gamma_p^{-1} + \gamma_{p+1}^{-1} \\ &\leq T_1(e) + \log e \left(\frac{1}{fe^{-p-1} + g + he^p} + \frac{1}{fe^{-p-2} + g + he^{p+1}} \right) \quad (1 < e < e_0). \end{aligned}$$

In the case (ii), it is obvious that $\tau_0 \leq 0$ for any $e > 1$. As in the case (i), there is a $e_0 (> 1)$ such that $4fhe^{-1} - g^2 > 0$ for any e satisfying $e_0 > e > 1$. So for such a e we get

$$\sigma(e) \geq \log e \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{fe^{-\tau-1} + g + he^\tau} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{4fhe^{-1} - g^2}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{4fhe^{-1} - g^2}}{2h + g}.$$

Denoting the right-hand side of the above by $T_2(e)$, we see easily

$$\sigma(e) \leq T_2(e) + \frac{\log e}{fe^{-1} + g + h} \quad (1 < e < e_0).$$

Therefore, in both cases (i) and (ii), we have

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow 1} \sigma(e) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{4fh - g^2}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{4fh - g^2}}{2h + g}$$

from the supposition (6).

Next we estimate the sum of the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-j} \gamma_j^{-1}.$$

It is easy to see from (6) that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varrho^{-j} \gamma_j^{-1} = \log \varrho \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varrho^{-j}}{4\lambda^2 k_1 \mu \varrho^{-j-1} - 2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1} \varrho^j} \\ \leq \log \varrho \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varrho^j} \frac{1}{-2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1}} = \frac{1}{-2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1}} \frac{\log \varrho}{1 - \varrho^{-1}}.$$

By the same reasoning as the above, it follows that

$$(11) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varrho^{-2j} \gamma_j^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{-2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1}} \frac{\log \varrho}{1 - \varrho^{-2}}.$$

5. Now we can prove the following

THEOREM. *Let*

$$L \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + c - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

be a parabolic differential operator in Ω_T , where coefficients a_{ij} ($= a_{ji}$), b_i and c satisfy condition (5) in Ω_T for positive constants k_1, k_3, K_1, K_2, K_3 and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. Let $u(x, t) \geq -K_4 \exp\{\mu_0(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$ in Ω_T for some positive constants K_4 and μ_0 and $u(x, 0) \geq K_5 \exp\{-\mu(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}$ for positive constants K_5 and μ . Assume that conditions (6) and (9) are valid.

If

$$T_0^* = \frac{1}{\lambda \sqrt{4k_1 k_3 - K_2^2 n^2}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{4k_1 k_3 - K_2^2 n^2}}{-\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1}} < T,$$

then there exists a positive constant K_6 such that $u(x, T_0^*) \geq K_6$. Further if $T_0^* < t < T$, then there exists a positive constant μ^* such that

$$u(x, t) \geq K_6 \exp\{\mu^*(t - T_0^*)(|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 it suffices to show the existence of a constant K_6 in our Theorem. As was shown already, the function $\sigma(\varrho)$ in Section 4 satisfies

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 1} \sigma(\varrho) = T_0^*.$$

So, given any positive number ε , we can find ϱ_0 (> 1) such that if $\varrho_0 > \varrho > 1$, then $u(x, T_0^*) > u(x, \sigma(\varrho)) - \varepsilon/2$. On the other hand, there exists an integer N_0 (> 0) such that $N \geq N_0$ implies $u(x, \sigma(\varrho)) > u(x, \sum_{j=0}^N \gamma_j^{-1}) - \varepsilon/2$. Therefore it holds that $u(x, T_0^*) > u(x, \sum_{j=0}^N \gamma_j^{-1}) - \varepsilon$. Hence (8), (10) and (11) yield

$$u(x, T_0^*) > K_5 \exp \left\{ - \frac{2\lambda K_1 n \mu + 2\lambda^2 k_1 \mu^2}{-2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1}} \right\} \exp \{ -\mu \varrho^{-N-1} (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \} - \varepsilon.$$

We fix $x \in R^n$ arbitrary. Letting N tend to infinity and ε to zero, we get

$$u(x, T_0^*) \geq K_5 \exp \left\{ - \frac{2\lambda K_1 n \mu + 2\lambda^2 k_1 \mu^2}{-2\lambda K_2 n + k_3 \mu^{-1}} \right\}.$$

Taking K_6 equal to the right-hand term of the above, we have $u(x, T_0^*) \geq K_6$ at every point $x \in R^n$.

Thus we have the theorem.

6. In our Theorem we assume $Lu = 0$, $|u(x, t)| \leq K_4 \exp \{ \mu_0 (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \}$ in Ω_T and $u(x, 0) = K_5 \exp \{ -\mu (|x|^2 + 1)^\lambda \}$. Then the assertions of our theorem and of Chen's result stated in Section 2 are both valid. Thus, if $t < T_0$, then $u(x, t)$ decays exponentially as $|x|$ tends to infinity and, if $T_0^* < t < T$, then $u(x, t)$ grows exponentially as $|x|$ tends to infinity. It would be a hard problem to determine the behavior of $u(x, t)$ for $t \in (T_0, T_0^*)$ as $|x|$ tends to infinity.

For equation (1), we may take $k_1 = K_1 = 1$, $k_3 = K_3 = k^2$ and $\lambda = 1$ and further K_2 can be taken as small as we want. So, in this case, it is clear that $T_0 = T_0^* = \frac{1}{2k} \tan^{-1} \frac{2\mu}{k}$ and we can conclude the property of the solution $u(x, t)$ stated in Section 1 without use of the fundamental solution of (1).

References

- [1] W. Bodanko, *Sur le problème de Cauchy et les problèmes de Fourier pour les équations paraboliques dans un domaine non borné*, Ann. Polon. Math. 19 (1966), pp. 79-94.
- [2] L. S. Chen, *On the behavior of solutions for large $|x|$ of the Cauchy problem of parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients for large $|x|$* , Tohoku Math. J. 20, pp. 589-595.
- [3] M. Krzyżański, *Une propriété des solutions de l'équation linéaire du type parabolique à coefficients non borné*, Ann. Polon. Math. 12 (1962), pp. 209-211.
- [4] M. Krzyżański et A. Szybiak, *Construction et étude de la solution fondamentale de l'équation linéaire du type parabolique dont le dernier coefficient est non borné I, II*, Atti. Acad. Naz. Lincei 27 (1959), pp. 26-30, 113-117.
- [5] T. Kusano, *On the decay for large $|x|$ of solutions of parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients*, Publ. Research Inst., Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ., A3 (1967), pp. 203-210.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 8. 1968