

The Ritt order of the derivative of an entire function

by Q. I. RAHMAN (Evanston, Ill.)

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad \sqrt[n]{|c_n|} \rightarrow 0,$$

be the Maclaurin series of an entire function and let $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$.

Since the sequence $\{a_n\}$ determines the function completely, it should in principle be possible to discover all the properties of the function by examining the coefficients. Thus the order ρ is given by (for references see [2], pp. 9-12)

$$(1) \quad \rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log(1/|a_n|)},$$

and if $0 < \rho < \infty$ then the type by

$$T = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^\rho} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{e^\rho} |a_n|^{e^n}.$$

From the above it follows that the order of a function is the same as the order of its derivative. The same remark holds about the type of a function of finite non-zero order.

If the entire function $f(z)$ ($z = x + iy$) is defined by an everywhere absolutely convergent Dirichlet series

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(\lambda_n z) \quad (0 < \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty)$$

and $m(x, f) = \text{l.u.b.}_{-\infty < y < \infty} |f(x + iy)|$, then

$$(4) \quad \rho_{R,f} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log m(x, f)}{x}$$

is called the *Ritt order* of $f(z)$ and for $0 < \rho_{R,f} < \infty$ the *type* $T_{R,f}$ has been defined as

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log m(x, f)}{\exp(x \rho_{R,f})}.$$

Improving upon a theorem of Ritt, it has recently been proved by Azpeitia ([1]) that if

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n \log \lambda_n}{\log n} = \infty$$

then

$$(6) \quad \varrho_{R,f} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n \log \lambda_n}{\log(1/|a_n|)}.$$

On the other hand, it is also known ([4], p. 71) that if

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\log n} = \infty$$

then

$$(8) \quad T_{R,f} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{e^{\varrho_{R,f}}} |a_n|^{\varrho_{R,f}/\lambda_n}.$$

Thus, subject to the restrictions (5) and (7) respectively we have

$$(9) \quad \varrho_{R,f'} = \varrho_{R,f}$$

and

$$(10) \quad T_{R,f'} = T_{R,f}.$$

The purpose of this note is to show that (9) and (10) are true in general. We shall in fact prove that for a function of finite Ritt order

$$(11) \quad \log m(x, f') \sim \log m(x, f) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is known ([2], p. 13) that

$$\frac{M(r, f) - |f(0)|}{r} \leq M(r, f') \leq \frac{M(2r, f)}{r},$$

and our proof of (11) depends on obtaining an analogous relationship between $m(x, f)$ and $m(x, f')$.

Now let $f(x)$ be analytic in the half plane $x \leq X$ and defined there by an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series (3). Then $\log m(x, f)$ is ([3]) an increasing downward convex function of x for $x \leq X$. For $\text{Re} z_0 = x_0 \leq x \leq X$ we have

$$(12) \quad f(z) = \int_{z_0}^z f'(z) dz + f(z_0).$$

Let $\text{Im} z_0 = y$ and consider the integral along the straight line joining z_0 and z . If $m(x, f)$ is attained at a finite point of the vertical line of abscissa x then we can choose z such that $|f(z)| = m(x, f)$. If, however, $m(x, f)$ is not attained at a finite point of the vertical line $\text{Re} z = x$, we

can still find a point z of the line such that $|f(z)| > m(x, f) - \varepsilon$ for a given $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence it follows from (12) that

$$(13) \quad m(x, f) - \varepsilon < (x - x_0)m(x, f') + |f(z_0)|.$$

On the other hand, let z^* be a finite point of $\operatorname{Re} z = x < X$ for which $|f'(z^*)| > m(x, f') - \varepsilon'$, $\varepsilon' > 0$. By Cauchy's theorem on the derivative applied to the point z^* and the circle $\Gamma: |z - z^*| < \delta \leq X - \operatorname{Re} z^*$, we get

$$(14) \quad m(x, f') - \varepsilon' < \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z - z^*)^2} dz \right| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} (\text{maximum of } |f(z)| \text{ on } \Gamma) \\ \leq \frac{1}{\delta} m(x + \delta, f).$$

Hereafter, let $f(z)$ be entire and of finite Ritt order $\varrho_{R,f}$. Since $\log m(x, f)$ is an increasing convex function of x , we have

$$(15) \quad \log m(x, f) = \log m(x_0, f) + \int_{x_0}^x w(t) dt,$$

where $x_0 < x$ and $w(t)$ is a non-decreasing function of t . If ε is a fixed positive number then for sufficiently large x

$$\int_x^{x+2} w(t) dt < \log m(x+2, f) < \exp\{(x+2)(\varrho_{R,f} + \varepsilon)\}$$

and since $w(t)$ is non-decreasing, we get

$$2w(x) < \exp\{(x+2)(\varrho_{R,f} + \varepsilon)\}.$$

As ε is arbitrary, we can even write

$$(16) \quad w(x) < \exp\{x(\varrho_{R,f} + \varepsilon)\}$$

for sufficiently large x .

Equality (15) then gives

$$\log m(x + \delta, f) = \log m(x, f) + \int_x^{x+\delta} w(t) dt,$$

where the integral is smaller than

$$\exp\{(x + \delta)(\varrho_{R,f} + \varepsilon)\} \delta,$$

and if we take

$$\delta = \exp\{-x(\varrho_{R,f} + \varepsilon)\}$$

which tends to zero with $1/x$, we obtain

$$\log m(x + \delta, f) < \log m(x, f) + e,$$

provided x is sufficiently large. Putting this value of δ and the corresponding value of $m(x+\delta, f)$ in (14) we see that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large x

$$(17) \quad m(x, f') \leq m(x, f) \exp\{x(\rho_{R,f} + \varepsilon)\}.$$

The inequalities (13) and (17) show that, for every entire function of finite Ritt order,

$$\log m(x, f') \sim \log m(x, f).$$

References

- [1] A. G. Azpeitia, *A remark on the Ritt order of entire functions defined by Dirichlet series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), pp. 722-723.
- [2] R. P. Boas, Jr., *Entire functions*, New York 1954.
- [3] G. Doetsch, *Über die obere Grenze des absoluten Betrages einer analytischen Funktion auf Geraden*, Math. Zeitschr. 8 (1920), pp. 237-240.
- [4] Yu Chia-Yung, *Sur les droites de Borel de certaines fonctions entières*, Ann. École Norm. 68 (1951), pp. 65-104.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY
EVANSTON, ILLINOIS, USA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 8. 1963
