

Integration of infinite systems of differential inequalities

by W. MŁAK and C. OLECH (Kraków)

In this paper we investigate infinite systems of ordinary differential inequalities of the form

$$D\varphi_i(t) \leq f_i(t, \varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t), \dots), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$$

$D\varphi(t)$ stands here for any of the Dini derivatives of the function $\varphi(t)$ at the point t . The first section of the paper deals with some simple linear differential inequalities. The second section concerns the non-linear case. We there introduce the right-hand maximum solution of a countable system of differential equations.

1. For the sake of clarity we will consider, in the following, inequalities with right-hand upper derivatives. Remember that the right-hand upper derivative denoted by $\bar{D}_+\varphi(t)$ is defined by

$$\bar{D}_+\varphi(t) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi(t+h) - \varphi(t)}{h}.$$

It can be remarked that our theorems remain true if \bar{D}_+ is replaced by any other Dini derivative.

We start with the following fundamental lemma:

LEMMA 1 (see [2]). *Let Ω be an open subset of the n -dimensional space of points (y_1, \dots, y_n) . Suppose that the functions $g_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are continuous on $\langle 0, a \rangle \times \Omega$, and satisfy there the condition:*

$$(W) \quad \text{If } \bar{y}_k \leq \bar{y}_k \text{ for } k \neq i \text{ then } g_i(t, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_{i-1}, y_i, \bar{y}_{i+1}, \dots, \bar{y}_n) \\ \leq g_i(t, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_{i-1}, y_i, \bar{y}_{i+1}, \dots, \bar{y}_n).$$

Let the continuous functions $\varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)$ satisfy in $(0, a)$ the inequalities

$$\bar{D}_+\varphi_i(t) \leq g_i(t, \varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Suppose that the right-hand maximum solution $\omega_1(t), \dots, \omega_n(t)$ ⁽¹⁾ of the

(1) For the definition and construction of the extremal solutions of finite systems of differential equations see [2].

system $y'_i = g_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) exists in $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ and $\varphi_i(0) \leq \omega_i(0)$. Then $\varphi_i(t) \leq \omega_i(t)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle$.

COROLLARY. If $\varphi_i(t)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) satisfy in $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ the inequalities $\bar{D}_+ \varphi_i(t) \geq g_i(t, \varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t))$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) then $\varphi_i(t) \geq \tau_i(t)$ where $\tau_i(t)$ are the components of the right-hand minimum solution of the system $y'_i = g_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that $\varphi_i(0) \geq \tau_i(0)$.

Consider an infinite matrix $\{a_{ik}\}$, $i, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, with real constants a_{ik} . Denote by $(\bar{u}_{is}(t))_n$ the fundamental matrix of the system of differential equations

$$z'_i = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} z_k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Notice that $\sum_{s=1}^n \bar{u}_{is}(0) = 1$. We will prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Suppose the elements of $\{a_{ik}\}$ satisfy $a_{ik} \geq 0$ for $i \neq k$. Assume that we are given a sequence of non-negative functions $\{\psi_k(t)\}$ which are continuous on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$. Let the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} \psi_k(t)$ be convergent for every $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and every $t \in (0, \alpha)$. Suppose that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} \psi_k(t) \leq \bar{D}_+ \psi_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad t \in (0, \alpha)$$

and $1 \leq \psi_i(0)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Under our assumptions we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \bar{u}_{is}(t) \leq \psi_i(t)$$

for arbitrary n and i , $t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle$.

Proof. It is a simple matter to verify that the functions $\bar{u}_i(t) = \sum_{s=1}^n \bar{u}_{is}(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, satisfy the system

$$\bar{u}'_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \bar{u}_k(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and $\bar{u}_i(0) = 1$. On the other hand $a_{ik} \geq 0$ for $i \neq k$ and $\psi_k(t) \geq 0$ for $i, k = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows then from (1) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \psi_k(t) \leq \bar{D}_+ \psi_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}[\psi_k(t) - \bar{u}_i(t)] \leq \bar{D}_+[\psi_i(t) - \bar{u}_i(t)], \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

But $0 \leq \psi_k(0) - \bar{u}_k(0)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. The assertion of the lemma follows from the last differential inequalities and from the above corollary.

Lemma 2 generalizes certain result of [1] (lemma 3, p. 249) where appeared the assumptions: $a_{ik} \geq 0$ for $i \neq k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} = 0$ for each i . However, the above equality shows that we can take in our lemma $\psi_k(t) \equiv 1$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\{a_{ik}\}$ be an infinite matrix of real constants such that*

$$(2) \quad a_{ik} \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq k.$$

Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\psi_k(t)\}$ of non-negative functions which are continuous in $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ and satisfy

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}\psi_k(t) \leq \bar{D}_+\psi_i(t) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad 0 < t < \alpha,$$

$$1 \leq \psi_i(0) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Suppose we are given a sequence $\{\varphi_i(t)\}$ of functions, which are continuous on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$. Assume that for every i the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}\varphi_k(t)$ is almost uniformly convergent on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$. Suppose that the functions $\varphi_i(t)$ satisfy the following inequalities

$$(4) \quad \bar{D}_+\varphi_i(t) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}\varphi_k(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle,$$

$$\varphi_i(0) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us assume that

$$(5) \quad \sigma_n(t) = \max_{k=1, \dots, n} \left| \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} a_{ks}\varphi_s(t) \right| \rightarrow 0$$

almost uniformly on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$.

Under our assumptions the inequalities

$$\varphi_i(t) \leq 0$$

hold for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle$.

Proof. Given a fixed n denote by $\lambda_i^n(t) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{ik}\varphi_k(t)$. Take now the system

$$y'_i = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}y_k + \lambda_i^n(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

and denote by $\gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_n(t)$ its solution such that $\gamma_i(0) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Observe that (4) implies

$$\bar{D}_+\varphi_i(t) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}\varphi_k(t) + \lambda_i^n(t), \quad \varphi_i(0) \leq \gamma_i(0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Applying (2) and lemma 1 we thus get that

$$\varphi_i(t) \leq \gamma_i(t) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad 0 \leq t < a.$$

On the other hand

$$\gamma_i(t) = \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik}(t-\tau) \lambda_k^n(\tau) d\tau.$$

Hence

$$\varphi_i(t) \leq \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik}(t-\tau) \lambda_k^n(\tau) d\tau, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Notice now, that by the corollary $u_{ik}(t-\tau) \geq 0$ and obviously $\lambda_k^n(\tau) \leq \sigma^n(\tau)$. Therefore

$$\varphi_i(t) \leq \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik}(t-\tau) \sigma_n(\tau) d\tau.$$

But (3) and lemma 2 imply that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik}(t-\tau) \leq \psi_i(t-\tau), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We have then

$$\varphi_i(t) \leq \int_0^t \psi_i(t-\tau) \sigma_n(\tau) d\tau, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The limit passage in the above inequalities together with (5) proves the assertion.

2. This section deals with non-linear inequalities. We assume in the following that the functions $f_i(t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are defined for $t \in \langle 0, a \rangle$ and for arbitrary real-valued sequences $y = \{y_k\}$. They are continuous in the following sense: for each i , if for every k , $y_k^r \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} y_k$ and $t^r \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} t$ then $f_i(t^r, y_1^r, y_2^r, \dots, y_n^r, \dots) \rightarrow f_i(t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots)$. The following condition generalizes the condition (W) of lemma 2:

(C) for every i , if $\bar{y}_k \leq \bar{y}_k$ for $k \neq i$ then

$$f_i(t, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_{i-1}, y_i, \bar{y}_{i+1}, \dots) \leq f_i(t, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_{i-1}, y_i, \bar{y}_{i+1}, \dots).$$

We begin with the following

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the functions $f_i(t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfy (C). We assume that there exist finite constants $M_i > 0$ such that*

$$(6) \quad |f_i(t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots)| \leq M_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

for $t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ and $y = \{y_k\}$ arbitrary. Suppose we are given a sequence of functions $\{\varphi_i(t)\}$ which are continuous on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ and satisfy on $(0, \alpha)$ the following inequalities

$$(7) \quad \bar{D}_+ \varphi_i(t) \leq f_i(t, \varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t), \dots).$$

Then there exists the solution $\{\omega_i(t)\}$ in $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ of the infinite system

$$(8) \quad \omega_i'(t) = f_i(t, \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \dots, \omega_n(t), \dots), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

such that $\omega_i(0) = \varphi_i(0)$ and

$$(9) \quad \varphi_i(t) \leq \omega_i(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle \quad \text{and } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Suppose that the continuous functions $\psi_i(t)$ satisfy on $(0, \alpha)$ the inequalities

$$(10) \quad \bar{D}_+ \psi_i(t) \leq f_i(t, \psi_1(t), \psi_2(t), \dots, \psi_n(t), \dots), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us consider the following differential equation

$$(11) \quad y' = F_i(t, y) \equiv f_i(t, \psi_1(t), \psi_2(t), \dots, \psi_{i-1}(t), y, \psi_{i+1}(t), \dots).$$

Obviously F_i is continuous in (t, y) . On the other hand (10) implies $\bar{D}_+ \psi_i(t) \leq F_i(t, \psi_i(t))$. Hence by lemma 1

$$(12) \quad \psi_i(t) \leq \psi_i^1(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle$$

where $\psi_i^1(t)$ is the right-hand maximum solution of (11) such that $\psi_i(0) = \psi_i^1(0)$. This maximum solution exists in the whole interval $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$. This is an immediate consequence of the boundedness of f_i . We have also

$$(13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \psi_i^1(t) = F_i(t, \psi_i^1(t)).$$

By (12), (13) and condition (C) we get

$$(14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \psi_i^1(t) \leq f_i(t, \psi_1^1(t), \psi_2^1(t), \dots, \psi_n^1(t), \dots), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

We see now that to every sequence $\{\psi_k(t)\}$ of functions which satisfy (10) there corresponds a sequence $\{\psi_k^1(t)\}$ such that the conditions (12), (13) and (14) hold. We have just to do with a transformation law which maps $\psi = \{\psi_k(t)\}$ on the sequence $\psi^1 = \{\psi_k^1(t)\}$. Denote this transformation by F .

Hence $F\psi = \psi^1$. It follows from (14) that we can apply F to ψ^1 , or more generally, that the sequence $\psi^{n+1} = F\psi^n$ is well defined. It is easy to prove that

$$(15) \quad \psi_i^n(t) \leq \psi_i^{n+1}(t)$$

and

$$(16) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \psi_i^n(t) = f_i(t, \psi_1^{n-1}(t), \dots, \psi_{i-1}^{n-1}(t), \psi_i^n(t), \psi_{i+1}^{n-1}(t), \dots).$$

The inequalities $|\psi_i^n(t)| \leq M_i t + |\psi_i(0)|$, $\left| \frac{d}{dt} \psi_i^n(t) \right| \leq M_i$ show that for a fixed i the sequence $\{\psi_i^n(t)\}$ is equibounded and equicontinuous on every compact contained in $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$. Hence, the limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_i^n(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega_i(t)$ exist and the convergence is almost uniform on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$. It follows from (16) that $\omega_i'(t) = f_i(t, \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \dots, \omega_n(t), \dots)$. By (15) we conclude that $\psi_i(t) \leq \omega_i(t)$ for $t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then assertion of our theorem follows if we put $\psi_i(t) = \varphi_i(t)$.

The supposed inequalities $|f_i| \leq M_i$ may be replaced by the following assumption: $|f_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots)| \leq g_i(t, |y_i|)$, $g_i(t, z)$ are continuous and for an arbitrary initial value $y_i^0 \geq 0$ the right-hand maximum solution of equation $y' = g_i(t, y)$ passing through the point $(0, y_i^0)$ exists in the whole interval $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$.

It is easy to see that the method used in the proof of theorem 2 does not need the assumption that the considered systems are countable. Hence, in theorem 2 the countable systems may be replaced by an arbitrary infinite systems. However, in the case of countable systems theorem 2 can be proved by using following arguments: suppose that the sequence $\{\varphi_i(t)\}$ satisfies (7) and consider the finite system

$$(17) \quad y_i' = F_i^n(t, y_1, \dots, y_n) \equiv f_i(t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \varphi_{n+1}(t), \varphi_{n+2}(t), \dots), \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Condition (C) implies that F_i^n satisfy condition (W) of lemma 1. Denote by $\overset{n}{\omega}_1(t), \dots, \overset{n}{\omega}_n(t)$ the right-hand maximum solution of (17) such that $\overset{n}{\omega}_i(0) = \varphi_i(0)$. The inequalities (7) imply the following inequalities

$$\bar{D}_+ \varphi_i(t) \leq F_i^n(t, \varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, by lemma 1

$$(18) \quad \varphi_i(t) \leq \overset{n}{\omega}_i(t) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle.$$

Observe that by (C) and (18)

$$\bar{D}_+ \varphi_{n+1}(t) \leq f_{n+1}(t, \overset{n}{\omega}_1(t), \dots, \overset{n}{\omega}_n(t), \varphi_{n+1}(t), \varphi_{n+2}(t), \dots) \\ = F_{n+1}^{n+1}(t, \overset{n}{\omega}_1(t), \dots, \overset{n}{\omega}_n(t), \varphi_{n+1}(t)).$$

This inequality and the definition of $\overset{n}{\omega}_i(t)$ imply by lemma 1

$$\overset{n}{\omega}_i(t) \leq \overset{n+1}{\omega}_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \varphi_{n+1}(t) \leq \overset{n+1}{\omega}_{n+1}(t).$$

Arguments similar to those used in the proof of theorem 2 show that the limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overset{n}{\omega}_i(t)$ are the components of a solution of system $y'_i = f_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots)$ and obviously $\varphi_i(t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overset{n}{\omega}_i(t)$.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that f_i satisfy (C) and $|f_i| \leq M_i < +\infty$. Then for every sequence $\overset{0}{y} = \{\overset{0}{y}_i\}$ there exists in $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ the right-hand maximum solution $\{\omega_i(t; \overset{0}{y})\}$ of the system*

$$(19) \quad y'_i = f_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

such that $\omega_i(0; \overset{0}{y}) = \overset{0}{y}_i$. If the functions $\varphi_i(t)$ are continuous on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ and satisfy on $(0, \alpha)$ the inequalities

$$\bar{D}_+ \varphi_i(t) \leq f_i(t, \varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t), \dots), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

then $\varphi_i(t) \leq \omega_i(t; \varphi(0))$ ($\varphi(0) = \{\varphi_i(0)\}$) for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle$.

Proof. The functions $\psi_i(t) = -M_i t + \overset{0}{y}_i$ satisfy (10). It follows from theorem 2 that there exists on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$ at least one solution $\{\omega_i(t)\}$ of (19) such that $\omega_i(0) = \overset{0}{y}_i$. Denote by Ω_i the set of i -th components of solutions of (19) passing through $(0, \overset{0}{y})$. We define now

$$(20) \quad \omega_i(t; \overset{0}{y}) = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega_i} \omega(t).$$

The functions $\omega \in \Omega_i$ are equibounded and equicontinuous in every compact subinterval of $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$. We get therefore that $\omega_i(t; \overset{0}{y})$ is continuous in t on $\langle 0, \alpha \rangle$. Let $\{\omega_i(t)\}$ be an arbitrary solution of (19) such that $\omega_i(0) = \overset{0}{y}_i$. We have

$$\omega_i(t) = f_i(t, \omega_1(t), \dots, \omega_n(t), \dots), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

and by (20)

$$(21) \quad \omega'_i(t) \leq f_i(t, \omega_1(t; \overset{0}{y}), \dots, \omega_{i-1}(t; \overset{0}{y}), \omega_i(t), \omega_{i+1}(t; \overset{0}{y}), \dots).$$

It follows from (21) that

$$(22) \quad \omega_i(t) \leq \sigma_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad t \in \langle 0, \alpha \rangle$$

where $\sigma_i(t)$ is the right-hand maximum solution of the equation

$$(23) \quad y' = f_i(t, \omega_1(t: \overset{0}{y}), \dots, \omega_{i-1}(t: \overset{0}{y}), y, \omega_{i+1}(t: \overset{0}{y}), \dots)$$

such that $\sigma_i(0) = \overset{0}{y}_i$. The inequality (22) holds for an arbitrary solution. We get therefore

$$(24) \quad \omega_i(t: \overset{0}{y}) \leq \sigma_i(t)$$

and consequently by (20) and (C)

$$\sigma'_i(t) \leq f_i(t, \sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_n(t), \dots).$$

By theorem 2 there exists a solution $\{\tau_i(t)\}$ of (19) such that $\tau_i(0) = \overset{0}{y}_i$ and

$$(25) \quad \sigma_i(t) \leq \tau_i(t).$$

But $\tau_i(t) \leq \omega_i(t: \overset{0}{y})$ and by (24) and (25) we derive $\tau_i(t) = \omega_i(t: \overset{0}{y})$. We have just proved that $\{\omega_i(t: \overset{0}{y})\}$ is a solution of (19). It follows from (20) that this solution is the right-hand maximum one. The second part of the assertion follows easily from theorem 2 and from (20).

References

[1] G. E. H. Reuter and W. Ledermann, *On the differential equations for the transition probabilities of Markov processes with enumerably many states*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 49 (2) (1953), p. 247-262.

[2] T. Ważewski, *Systèmes des équations et des inégalités différentielles ordinaires aux deuxièmes membres monotones et leurs applications*, Ann. Soc. Pol. Math. 23 (1950), p. 112-156.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 10. 1960