

On Nevanlinna's proximity functions of a meromorphic function

by P. K. JAIN* (Delhi) and P. K. KAMTHAN** (Waterloo, Can.)

1. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in the entire complex plane \mathbb{C} . For the sake of brevity we do not mention the explicit meanings of the symbols $m(r, f)$, $m(r, 1/f)$; $n(r, f)$, $n(r, 1/f)$; $N(r, f)$, $N(r, 1/f)$; $T(r, f)$, etc. occurring frequently in the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions (see Hayman [1]). If φ denotes any of the auxiliary functions, we write $\varphi(r) = \varphi(r, f) + \varphi(r, 1/f)$. Our purpose in this paper is to investigate the estimations of the $m(r)$ relative to $n(r)$ and $r^{\rho(r)}$, where $\rho(r)$ is a proximate order of $f(z)$, ρ being the usual order of $f(z)$ in terms of $T(r, f)$.

2. In this section we collect the necessary lemmas of which we make use in our investigations.

LEMMA A. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < 1$). Then*

$$(2.1) \quad m(r) \leq \int_0^r \frac{n(x)}{x} dx + r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^2} dx + \\ + \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2m+1} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^{2m}} \int_0^r \frac{n(x)}{x^{-2m+1}} dx + r^{2m+2} \int_r^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^{2m+3}} dx \right\} + O(1).$$

The proof of Lemma A follows by putting $p = 0$ in a result obtained earlier by one of us (Kamthan [3], Theorem C).

From lemma A we deduce

* Research work of this author was partially supported by University Grants Commission, India.

** Research work of this author was supported by the National Research Council, Grant No. A-4751.

LEMMA B. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < 1$) and let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \frac{m(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt &\leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt + \frac{r^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \int_r^\infty \frac{N(t)}{t^2} dt + \\ &+ \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{(2m+\alpha)(2m+2-\alpha)} \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt + \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{2m+1} \left[\frac{m}{(2m+\alpha)r^{2m+\alpha}} \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1-2m}} dt + \frac{m+1}{2m+2-\alpha} r^{2m+2-\alpha} \int_r^\infty \frac{N(t)}{t^{2m+3}} dt \right] \right\} + \\ &+ O(\bar{r}^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where the last term $O(r^{-\alpha})$ is to be written as $O(\log r)$ when $\alpha = 0$.

Proof of Lemma B. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad \int_0^r \frac{m(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt &\leq \int_0^r \frac{dt}{t^{1+\alpha}} \int_0^r \frac{n(x)}{x} dx + \int_0^r \frac{dt}{t^\alpha} \int_t^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^2} dx + \\ &+ \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2m+1} \left\{ \int_0^r \frac{dt}{t^{2m+1+\alpha}} \int_0^t \frac{n(x)}{x^{-2m+1}} dx + \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_0^r t^{2m+1-\alpha} dt \int_t^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^{2m+3}} dx \right\} + \int_0^r O(t^{-1-\alpha}) dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2m+1} (I_3 + I_4) + I_5 \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$I_1 = \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt.$$

Now, as the order ρ is less than 1, one has

$$I_2 = \int_0^r \frac{dt}{t^\alpha} \left\{ -\frac{N(t)}{t} + \int_t^\infty \frac{N(x)}{x^2} dx \right\} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt + \frac{r^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \int_r^\infty \frac{N(t)}{t^2} dt.$$

Again, changing the order of integration in the integrals in I_3 , we have

$$I_3 = \frac{\alpha}{2m+\alpha} \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt + \frac{2m}{2m+\alpha} \int_0^r \frac{t^{2m-1}}{r^{2m+\alpha}} N(t) dt.$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_4 &= - \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt + (2m+2) \int_0^r t^{2m+1-\alpha} dt \int_t^\infty \frac{N(x)}{x^{2m+3}} dx \\
 &= - \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt + (2m+2) \left\{ \int_0^r \frac{N(x)}{x^{2m+3}} dx \int_0^x t^{2m+1-\alpha} dt + \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + \int_r^\infty \frac{N(x)}{x^{2m+3}} dx \int_0^r t^{2m+1-\alpha} dt \right\} \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{2m+2-\alpha} \int_0^r \frac{N(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt + \frac{2(m+1)}{2m+2-\alpha} r^{2m+2-\alpha} \int_r^\infty \frac{N(t)}{t^{2m+3}} dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_5 = \begin{cases} O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right) & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \\ O(\log r) & \text{if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

The proof of the lemma follows on substituting the values of I_1 - I_5 in (2.2).

LEMMA C. *This is Lemma B for $\alpha = 0$.*

3. We now proceed to state and prove the results we promised in section 1 of this paper.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < 1$). Then*

$$(3.1) \qquad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r)}{n(r)} \leq A(\rho),$$

where

$$A(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho(1-\rho)} + \frac{2}{1-\rho} \cot \frac{\pi\rho}{2}.$$

Proof. If $\eta > 0$, then it is clear that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(t)}{t^{\rho-\eta}} = \infty, \qquad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(t)}{t^{\rho+\eta}} = 0.$$

Therefore, by a lemma on Pólya-peaks (Hayman [1], p. 101), there exist arbitrarily large values of r , say $\{r_n\}$, $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$(3.2) \qquad \frac{N(t)}{t^{\rho-\eta}} \leq \frac{N(r_n)}{r_n^{\rho-\eta}} \quad (0 \leq t \leq r_n),$$

$$(3.3) \qquad \frac{N(t)}{t^{\rho+\eta}} \leq \frac{N(r_n)}{r_n^{\rho+\eta}} \quad (t \geq r_n).$$

Hence on making use of Lemma C, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r_n} \frac{m(t)}{t} dt &\leq N(r_n) \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n^{\rho-\eta}} \int_0^{r_n} t^{\rho-\eta-1} dt + \frac{1}{r_n^{\rho+\eta-1}} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} t^{\rho+\eta-2} dt + \right. \\ &+ \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2m+1} \left[\frac{1}{r_n^{2m+\rho-\eta}} \int_0^{r_n} t^{2m-1+\rho-\eta} dt + r_n^{2m+2-\rho-\eta} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} t^{-2m-3+\rho+\eta} dt \right] \Big\} + \\ &+ O(\log r_n) \\ &= N(r_n) \left\{ \frac{1-2\eta}{(\rho-\eta)(1-\rho-\eta)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2m+1} \cdot \frac{2m+1-2\eta}{(2m+\rho-\eta)(2m+2-\rho-\eta)} \right\} + O(\log r_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since η is arbitrary, therefore, letting $\eta \rightarrow 0$, one finds

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^{r_n} \frac{m(t)}{t} dt \leq A(\rho) N(r_n) (1 + o(1)).$$

Now, for $t \geq t_0$ let

$$m(t) > A(\rho) n(t) (1 + o(1)).$$

Then

$$\int_0^r \frac{m(t)}{t} dt > A(\rho) N(r) (1 + o(1)),$$

for all large r , which contradicts (3.4), and so for arbitrarily large values of r

$$m(r) \leq A(\rho) n(r) (1 + o(1)),$$

and this completes the result.

Remark. The above result is not necessarily true for functions of integral order. Take for instance $f(z) = e^z$. Then $m(r) = 2r/\pi$ for all $r > 0$, $n(r) = 0$ for all r . Hence the left-hand expression in (3.1) is ∞ .

Finally we prove

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of proximate order $\rho(r)$, order ρ ($0 < \rho < 1$). Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r^{\rho(r)}} &= \delta, \quad 0 < \delta < \infty; \\ \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r^{\rho(r)}} &= \sigma, \quad 0 < \sigma < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then
$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r)}{r^\varrho} \leq (2\varrho\sigma - \delta)A(\varrho),$$

where $A(\varrho)$ means the quantity in Theorem 1.

Proof. Following the lines of proof of Theorem 7 in [2], p. 12, we obtain for $k > 1$ the quantity

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r^\varrho} \leq \frac{2\varrho\sigma k^\varrho - \delta}{\varrho \log k}.$$

Therefore, (2.1) gives

$$m(r) \leq B \left\{ \int_{r_0}^r x^{e(x)-1} dx + r \int_r^\infty x^{e(x)-2} dx + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2m+1} \left[\frac{1}{r^{2m}} \int_{r_0}^r x^{2m-1+e(x)} dx + r^{2m+2} \int_r^\infty x^{-2m-3+e(x)} dx + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + O(r^{-2m}) \right] \right\} + O(1),$$

for $r \geq r_0$, where

$$B = \frac{2\varrho\sigma k^\varrho - \delta}{\varrho \log k} + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Hence
$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r)}{r^\varrho} \leq A(\varrho) \frac{2\varrho\sigma k^\varrho - \delta}{\varrho \log k},$$

which, on putting $\log k = 1/\varrho$, yields the result.

Finally, the authors wish to thank Professor W. H. J. Fuchs, Cornell University (U.S.A.) for his encouraging comments in this paper. The authors are also thankful to the reviewer for a very helpful suggestion.

References

[1] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford 1964.
 [2] P. K. Kamthan, *Minimum modulus of entire functions*, Math. Japon. 10 (1965), p. 1-16.
 [3] — *Growth of a meromorphic function*, Collectanea Math. 19 (1968), p. 35-49.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 HANS RAJ COLLEGE DELHI UNIVERSITY
 Delhi, India

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO
 Waterloo, Ont., Canada

and
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 Kanpur, India

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 1. 1970