

P R O B L È M E S

P 209, R 1. J. H. Conway and Richard K. Guy sent the following solution:

The answer is "yes". Define

$$(1) \quad u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1, u_{n+1} = 2u_n - u_{n-r} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where r is the nearest integer to $\sqrt{2n}$, and

$$(2) \quad a_i = u_{k+2} - u_{k+2-i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq k+2).$$

For $k \geq 21$, $a_{k+2} \leq 2^k$, and it can be shown that the sums of the subsets of $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k+2}\}$ are distinct, at least for $k \leq 40$. We conjecture, but are so far unable to prove, that this property of distinctness of sums holds for all k . Even if this were not true, the original question can still be answered in the affirmative for $k \geq 21$, since, from a set of $k+2$ numbers with the required property we can derive a set of $k+l+2$ such numbers, by multiplying each of the original set by 2^l and adjoining the numbers 2^{j-1} ($1 \leq j \leq l$).

V. 1, p. 119.

Letter of April 1, 1968.

P 372, R 1. In the case of f monotone the answer is positive ⁽¹⁾.
IX. 2, p. 240.

⁽¹⁾ Gordon G. Johnson, *Concerning commuting functions*, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* (3) 16 (1968), p. 19-24.

P 526, R 2. Another counterexample has been found ⁽²⁾.
XIV. p. 355, et XVII, p. 367.

⁽²⁾ David M. Clark, *Varieties with isomorphic free algebras*, ce fascicule, p. 187.

P 531, R 1. A starting point for a solution has been proposed ⁽³⁾.

XIV, p, 355.

⁽³⁾ D. A. Higgs, *Matroids and duality*, ce fascicule, p. 215.

P 555, R 1. The answer is negative even if the relation is a closed partial order ⁽⁴⁾.

XV. 2, p. 220.

⁽⁴⁾ E. D. Tymchatyn and L. E. Ward, Jr., *On three problems of Franklin and Wallace concerning partially ordered spaces*, ce fascicule, p. 229-236.

P 556, R 1. If (X, R) is a topological lattice the answer is positive, but in general it is not ⁽⁴⁾.

XV. 2, p. 220.

P 557, R 1. E. D. Tymchatyn and L. E. Ward have given ⁽⁴⁾ some sufficient and necessary conditions for a compact quasi-ordered Hausdorff space to contain a closed chain equivalent partial order. A variety of related information is also supplied there.

XV. 2, p. 221.

P 627, R 1. C. Ryll-Nardzewski sent the following solution:

The answer is negative. Modifying slightly the example of Mycielski mentioned there we produce a chain $\mathfrak{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_2 \rightarrow \dots$ of countable atomic compact relational structures such that $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathfrak{A}_n$ is not atomic

compact. Let $+$ denote the ordinal addition of linear orders. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ be countable ordinal numbers such that if $R_\alpha = \langle \alpha, \leq \rangle$ and $R_\beta = \langle \beta, \leq \rangle$, then $R_\alpha \rightarrow R_\alpha + R_\beta$. We put $R_{\beta,0} = \langle 1, \leq \rangle$, $R_{\beta,n+1} = R_\beta + R_{\beta,n}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_n = R_\alpha + R_{\beta,n}$. Hence \mathfrak{A}_{n+1} is obtained from \mathfrak{A}_n by inserting a segment of type β right after the initial segment of type α of \mathfrak{A}_n . Hence $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathfrak{A}_n$

is not well ordered and not atomic compact, while \mathfrak{A}_n are of course countable and atomic compact and the relation $\mathfrak{A}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{n+1}$ is easy to check (extending a segment of a linear order \mathfrak{A} by an elementary extension of this segment always produces an elementary extension of \mathfrak{A}).

XIX. 1, p. 34.

Letter of May 25, 1968.

P 654, R 1. A positive answer has been given ⁽⁵⁾.

XX, p. 126.

⁽⁵⁾ W. Żelazko, *On m -convex B_0 -algebras of type ES* , ce fascicule, p. 299-304.

S. FAJTLOWICZ et E. MARCZEWSKI (WROCLAW)

P 665-P 667. Formulés dans la communication *On some properties of the family of independent sets in abstract algebras*.

Ce fascicule, p. 190 et 191.

D. A. HIGGS (WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA)

P 668. Formulé dans la communication *Matroids and duality*.

Ce fascicule, p. 220.

A. C. SHERSHIN (TAMPA, FLORIDA)

P 669 and P 670. Formulés dans la communication *Algebraic results concerning Green's \mathcal{H} -slices*.

Ce fascicule, p. 225.

A. R. BEDNAREK (GAINSVILLE, FLORIDA)

P 671. Formulé dans la communication *A note on the least element map*.

Ce fascicule, p. 228.

L. FILIPCZAK (ŁÓDŹ)

P 672. Formulé dans la communication *Exemple d'une fonction continue privée de dérivée symétrique partout*.

Ce fascicule, p. 253.

S. J. TAYLOR (LONDON)

P 673. A set $E \subset R^n$ is called *polar* for a Markov process $X(t, \omega)$ if $P_x \{[t > 0; X(t, \omega) \in E] = \emptyset\}$ is zero for all $x \in R^n$. When $X(t, \omega)$ is a process with independent increments with a symmetric stable distribution of order α , it is known that E is polar if and only if E has zero Riesz capacity of order $n - \alpha$. Are the polar sets the same for all stable distributions of order α in R^n ?

Z. CIESIELSKI (SOPOT)

P 674. Take a partition $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = 1$ and consider the set X_n of all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ which are linear in every interval (t_{i-1}, t_i) . Suppose p and q satisfy the conditions $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \delta_{i+1}/\delta_i \leq 1$, where $\delta_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Choose in X the orthonormal Franklin basis (f_i) , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ⁽⁶⁾, and show that for linear transformations $T: X_n \rightarrow X_n$ for which $Tf_i = \pm f_i$ there exist constants $A(p, q)$ and $B(p, q)$ such that for $x \in X_n$

$$A(p, q) \int_0^1 |Tx(t)|^p dt \leq \int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt \leq B(p, q) \int_0^1 |Tx(t)|^p dt.$$

New Scottish Book, Probl. 819, 9. V. 1968.

⁽⁶⁾ Z. Ciesielski, *Properties of the orthonormal Franklin system*, *Studia Mathematica* 23 (1963), p. 141-157; especially p. 143.

J. SICIĄK (KRAKÓW)

P 675. Let E be a compact subset of the complex plane with the positive logarithmic capacity. Does there exist a compact subset F of E which is not thin (in the sense of the theory of potential) at any point of F ?

New Scottish Book, Probl. 820, 1. VI. 1968.

W. MŁAK (KRAKÓW)

P 676. Let T_1, \dots, T_n be contractions (i.e. $\|T_i\| \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) in a complex Hilbert space and let T be a given polynomial $w(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ of variables z_1, \dots, z_n . Is it true that if $T_i T_k = T_k T_i$ for $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\|w(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \sup_{\substack{|z_j| \leq 1 \\ j=1, \dots, n}} |w(z_1, \dots, z_n)|^?$$

For $n = 1, 2$ the answer is positive⁽⁷⁾.

New Scottish Book, Probl. 817, 8. V. 1968.

⁽⁷⁾ B. Sz. -Nagy et C. Foiaş, *Analyse harmonique des opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert*, Budapest 1967; see chapters I and III.