

A representation theorem for $(X_1-1)(X_2-1)\dots(X_n-1)$ and its applications

by D. Ž. DJOKOVIĆ (Waterloo, Canada)

1. Introduction. The representation which we shall establish is given by formula (1). The proof is constructive. For instance, if $n = 2$ we obtain by this method the following representation:

$$2(X-1)(Y-1) = (XY-1)^2 - Y^2(X-1)^2 - 2X(Y-1)^2 + (Y-1)^2.$$

We apply representation (1) to the difference operator and obtain an analogous representation of the iterated difference operator. Finally we apply this result to the difference functional equation

$$\Delta_u^n f(x) = 0$$

and obtain the generalizations of some recent results of McKiernan [2].

In this paper, by definition, a *monomial* in the indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_n is any expression of the form

$$X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n},$$

where i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n are non-negative integers. Note that there is no scalar coefficient in this expression.

2. Representation theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a commutative ring R with unity 1. Then there exists a non-negative integer s such that the polynomial*

$$(n!)^{2s} \prod_{i=1}^n (X_i - 1)$$

belongs to the ideal I generated by the polynomials

$$(X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k} - 1)^n \quad (1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n).$$

In other words we have a representation

$$(1) \quad (n!)^{2^s} \prod_{i=1}^n (X_i - 1) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} P_{i_1 \dots i_k} (X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k} - 1)^n$$

where $P_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

For the proof we need the following

LEMMA 1. If X_1, \dots, X_n are elements of a commutative ring with unity 1, then we have the identities

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k} - 1)^n \\ = \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^m \binom{n}{m} \prod_{i=1}^n (X_i^m - 1),$$

and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k} + 1)^n \\ = -2^n + (-1)^n \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n}{m} \prod_{i=1}^n (X_i^m - 1).$$

Proof. Let us perform all multiplications on both sides of (2) and (3). By inspection we conclude that all these four sides contain only the monomials of the form

$$(4) \quad (X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k})^m,$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$. If $m > 0$ the coefficient of monomial (4) on both sides of (2) is equal to

$$(-1)^k (-1)^{n-m} \binom{n}{m},$$

and the corresponding coefficient on both sides of (3) is equal to

$$(-1)^k \binom{n}{m}.$$

It remains to verify the equality of constant terms in (2) and (3). By this what we already proved we know that the difference of the left-hand side and the right-hand side in (2) and also in (3) is a constant. In order to prove that these constants are zero it is sufficient to verify (2) and (3) for some particular values of X_1, \dots, X_n . It is convenient to take

$X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_n = 1$. Then in (2) both sides vanish and (3) reduces to the identity

$$2^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} = -2^n.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let P be the polynomial which is equal to both sides of identity (2). Identity (2) implies that $P \in I$ and also that P is divisible by $(X_1-1)(X_2-1)\dots(X_n-1)$. We have

$$(5) \quad P = Q \prod_{i=1}^n (X_i - 1),$$

where

$$Q = \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^m \binom{n}{m} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} X_i^r \right).$$

From this formula we obtain

$$(6) \quad Q(1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^m \binom{n}{m} m^n = (-1)^n n!,$$

where we made use of a well-known identity (cf., for instance [1], Chapter II, § 12, Exercise 16). Let us introduce the new indeterminates $Y_i = X_i - 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. From (5) and (6) we infer that

$$P = (-1)^n Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_n (n! - Q'),$$

where Q' is a polynomial in Y_1, \dots, Y_n with zero constant term. Multiplying both sides by

$$S = \prod_{r=0}^{s-1} [(n!)^{2^r} + (Q')^{2^r}]$$

we obtain

$$(-1)^n SP = Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_n [(n!)^{2^s} - (Q')^{2^s}].$$

It follows that

$$(7) \quad (n!)^{2^s} Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_n = (-1)^n SP + Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_n (Q')^{2^s}.$$

Since Q' has zero constant term we can choose s so large that every term in the expansion of

$$(Q')^{2^s}$$

is divisible by at least one of the polynomials Y_i^{n-1} , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Since $P \in I$ and obviously $Y_i^n \in I$ for all i , formula (7) implies that also $(n!)^{2'} Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_n \in I$. This is equivalent to (1). The proof is completed.

3. Applications to difference operator. Let A be an abelian semigroup and M an abelian group, both written additively. We shall denote the set of all mappings $f: A \rightarrow M$ by M^A . We define the shift operator

$$E_u: M^A \rightarrow M^A \quad (u \in A)$$

as follows: the image of $f \in M^A$ under E_u is the mapping $E_u f \in M^A$ which is defined by

$$(8) \quad (E_u f)(x) = f(x + u) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

The identity operator $1: M^A \rightarrow M^A$ maps each $f \in M^A$ onto itself. The zero operator $0: M^A \rightarrow M^A$ maps each $f \in M^A$ onto the zero function $0 \in M^A$ which is defined by $0(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$. The zero on the right-hand side of the last equation is, of course, the neutral element of M . The context will always make clear in what sense we use the symbols 1 and 0.

If m and m_i are integers and $u_i \in A$, we define the operator

$$(9) \quad E = m \cdot 1 + \sum m_i \cdot E_{u_i}$$

by equality

$$(E f)(x) = m f(x) + \sum m_i f(x + u_i)$$

which holds for all $x \in A$ and all $f \in M^A$. If

$$E' = m' \cdot 1 + \sum m'_i \cdot E_{u'_i}, \quad E'' = m'' \cdot 1 + \sum m''_j \cdot E_{u''_j},$$

are two operators of form (9) we define their sum $E' + E''$, and their product $E' E''$ in a natural way:

$$E' + E'' = (m' + m'') \cdot 1 + \sum m'_i \cdot E_{u'_i} + \sum m''_j \cdot E_{u''_j},$$

$$E' E'' = m' m'' \cdot 1 + \sum_i m'' m'_i \cdot E_{u'_i} + \sum_j m' m''_j \cdot E_{u''_j} + \sum_{i,j} m'_i m''_j \cdot E_{u'_i} E_{u''_j}.$$

One can easily check that, with respect to these operations of addition and multiplication, the set of all operators of form (9) has the structure

of a commutative ring with unity 1. In the sequel we denote this ring by E . If A has neutral element 0, then we can strike out the term $m \cdot 1$ in (9) since in that case $\underset{0}{E} = 1$.

The difference operator $\underset{u}{\Delta}$ ($u \in A$) is defined by

$$\underset{u}{\Delta} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \underset{u}{E} - 1.$$

We recall that E is commutative and in particular we have

$$(10) \quad \underset{u}{E} \underset{u}{\Delta} = \underset{u}{\Delta} \underset{u}{E}, \quad \underset{u}{\Delta} \underset{v}{\Delta} = \underset{v}{\Delta} \underset{u}{\Delta}.$$

We define the powers of an operator $E \in E$ in usual way: $E^0 = 1$, $E^k = E E^{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be an abelian semigroup and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in A$. Then we have a representation*

$$(11) \quad (n!)^{2s} \underset{t_1}{\Delta} \underset{t_2}{\Delta} \dots \underset{t_n}{\Delta} = \sum_k m_k \cdot \underset{u_k}{E} \underset{v_k}{\Delta}^n,$$

where $s \geq 0$ and m_k are integers and $u_k, v_k \in A$ depend on t_1, \dots, t_n .

Proof. Let R be the ring of integers and let $P(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Further, let us define the mapping of $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ into E which maps

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow P(\underset{t_1}{E}, \dots, \underset{t_n}{E}).$$

This mapping is a ring homomorphism. By applying this homomorphism, representation (1) gives rise to representation (11).

An example. The formula from the introduction gives rise to the following representation

$$2 \underset{u}{\Delta} \underset{v}{\Delta} = \underset{u+v}{\Delta}^2 - \underset{2u}{E} \underset{u}{\Delta}^2 - 2 \underset{u}{E} \underset{v}{\Delta}^2 + \underset{v}{\Delta}^2.$$

COROLLARY 1. *Let A be an abelian semigroup and M an abelian group satisfying the condition: $(n!) \omega = 0$ ($\omega \in M$) implies $\omega = 0$. Then the equations*

$$(12) \quad \underset{u}{\Delta}^n f = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in A$$

and

$$(13) \quad \underset{t_1}{\Delta} \underset{t_2}{\Delta} \dots \underset{t_n}{\Delta} f = 0 \quad \text{for all } t_i \in A,$$

are equivalent to each other.

Proof. (13) implies (12) by putting $t_1 = \dots = t_n = u$. (12) implies (13) by force of representation (11).

4. A functional equation. Let A, M have the same meaning as in the preceding section. A function $f_n: A^n \rightarrow M$ is said to be *additive in the first variable* if

$$f_n(x'_1 + x''_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f_n(x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + f_n(x''_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

holds for all $x'_1, x''_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$. Similarly we can define additivity in other variables. We say that f_n is *symmetric* if

$$f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f_n(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n})$$

holds for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and for all permutations i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n of the sequence $1, 2, \dots, n$.

We define the diagonalization f_n^* of f_n to be the mapping $A \rightarrow M$ defined by

$$f_n^*(x) = f_n(x, x, \dots, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

LEMMA 2. *If $f_n: A^n \rightarrow M$ is symmetric and additive in each variable, then*

$$(14) \quad \Delta_{u_1 u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_p} f_n^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p > n, \\ n! f_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) & \text{if } p = n. \end{cases}$$

Here 0 denotes the zero mapping which maps each $x \in A$ onto $0 \in M$, and $n! f_n(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ denotes the constant mapping which maps each $x \in A$ onto $n! f_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in M$.

Proof. (i) Let first $p > n$.

If $n = 1$, then $f_1^* = f_1$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{u_1 u_2} f_1^*)(x) &= ((E_{u_1+u_2} - E_{u_1} - E_{u_2} + 1)f_1^*)(x) \\ &= f_1^*(x + u_1 + u_2) - f_1^*(x + u_1) - f_1^*(x + u_2) + f_1^*(x) \\ &= f_1(x + u_1 + u_2) - f_1(x + u_1) - f_1(x + u_2) + f_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

By additivity property of f_1 the right-hand side reduces to zero. This proves the first part of (14) for $n = 1$.

Now we use induction. We assume that the first part of (14) is true for smaller values of n . We define $f_{n,k,u}: A^k \rightarrow M$ by

$$f_{n,k,u}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_k, u, \dots, u).$$

Making use of the symmetry and additivity properties of f_n we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{u_p} f_n^*)(x) &= f_n^*(x + u_p) - f_n^*(x) \\ &= f_n(x + u_p, \dots, x + u_p) - f_n(x, \dots, x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} f_n(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_k, \underbrace{u_p, \dots, u_p}_{n-k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} f_{n,k,u_p}^*(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for each $x \in A$ we get

$$(15) \quad \Delta_{u_p} f_n^* = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} f_{n,k,u_p}^*.$$

By induction hypothesis

$$(16) \quad \Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_{p-1}} f_{n,k,u_p}^* = 0$$

for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Applying the operator

$$\Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_{p-1}}$$

on both sides of (15) and using (16) we obtain

$$\Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_p} f_n^* = 0.$$

(ii) Now, let $p = n$.

If $n = 1$ we get

$$(\Delta_{u_1} f_1^*)(x) = (\Delta_{u_1} f_1)(x) = f_1(x + u_1) - f_1(x) = f_1(u_1).$$

Hence $\Delta_{u_1} f_1^*$ is a constant function which maps each $x \in A$ onto $f_1(u_1)$, i.e., the second part of (14) is true for $n = 1$. Assume that the assertion of the second part of (14) is true for smaller values of n . Formula (15) is applicable in this case also:

$$\Delta_{u_n} f_n^* = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} f_{n,k,u_n}^*.$$

Applying the operator

$$\Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_{n-1}}$$

on both sides and using the result proved in (i) we get

$$(17) \quad \Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f_n^* = n \Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_{n-1}} f_{n,n-1,u_n}^* .$$

By induction hypothesis

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_{n-1}} f_{n,n-1,u_n}^* &= (n-1)! f_{n,n-1,u_n}(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \\ &= (n-1)! f_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) . \end{aligned}$$

From (17) and (18) we deduce

$$\Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f_n^* = n! f_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) .$$

Now, we can prove the following

THEOREM 3. *Let A be an abelian semigroup and M an abelian group satisfying the condition: for each $a \in M$ the equation $(n!) \omega = a$ has unique solution $\omega = a/(n!)$. If $f: A \rightarrow M$ satisfies the functional equation*

$$(19) \quad \Delta_u^{n+1} f = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in A ,$$

then

$$(20) \quad f = \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^* ,$$

where g_0^* is a constant mapping and $g_k: A^k \rightarrow M$ are symmetric and additive in each variable.

Conversely, any function having form (20) satisfies the functional equation (19).

Proof. The second assertion of the theorem follows immediately from Lemma 2. Let us assume that f satisfies (19). By Corollary 1 equation (19) implies that

$$(21) \quad \Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_{n+1}} f = 0$$

for all $u_1, \dots, u_{n+1} \in A$. It follows that the function

$$\Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f$$

is a constant function. Let us define the function $g_n: A^n \rightarrow M$ by

$$(22) \quad g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n!} (\Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f)(x) .$$

We remind again that the right-hand side is independent of $x \in A$.

The function g_n is symmetric since by (10) the difference operators commute each to other. We shall prove that g_n is also additive in each

variable. By symmetry, it is sufficient to prove that g_n is additive in the first variable. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (n!)[g_n(u'_1 + u''_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) - g_n(u'_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) - g_n(u''_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)] \\ = (\Delta_{u'_1 + u''_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f)(x) - (\Delta_{u'_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f)(x) - (\Delta_{u''_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f)(x) \\ = ((\Delta_{u'_1 + u''_1} - \Delta_{u'_1} - \Delta_{u''_1}) \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f)(x) \\ = (\Delta_{u'_1} \Delta_{u''_1} \Delta_{u_2} \dots \Delta_{u_n} f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is zero by force of (21). Hence, g_n is additive in each variable.

The theorem is evidently true for $n = 0$. Now let $n \geq 1$ and assume that the theorem is true for smaller values of n . Introducing the function $h = f - g_n^*$ we get

$$\Delta_u^n h = \Delta_u^n f - \Delta_u^n g_n^*.$$

Since by Lemma 2

$$\Delta_u^n g_n^* = n! g_n^*(u)$$

and by (22)

$$n! g_n^*(u) = \Delta_u^n f,$$

we get

$$\Delta_u^n h = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in A.$$

By inductive hypothesis

$$h = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k^*,$$

where $g_k: A^k \rightarrow M$ are symmetric and additive in each variable. Finally, we obtain

$$f = h + g_n^* = \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^*.$$

The proof is finished.

COROLLARY 2. *The equation*

$$(23) \quad \Delta_u^n f = g(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in A$$

has a solution in $f \in M^A$ if and only if there exist $g_n: A^n \rightarrow M$ which is symmetric and additive in each variable and such that $g = n! g_n^*$. The general solution of (23) is then $f = g_n^* + h$, where h is the general solution of $\Delta_u^n h = 0$.

Proof. (23) implies that $\Delta_u^{n+1}f = 0$. By Theorem 4

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^*.$$

By Lemma 2: $\Delta_u^n f = n!g_n^*(u)$. Hence, $g = n!g_n^*$.

Conversely, if $g = n!g_n^*$, then $f = g_n^*$ is a solution of (23) by force of Lemma 2.

COROLLARY 3. *A necessary and sufficient condition that $f: A \rightarrow M$ has the form $f = g_n^*$, where $g_n: A^n \rightarrow M$ is symmetric and additive in each variable is that*

$$(24) \quad \Delta_u^n f = n!f(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in A.$$

Proof. If $f = g_n^*$, then Lemma 2 implies (24). Conversely, if (24) holds, then $f = g_n^*$ by Corollary 2.

Remarks 1. Corollary 1 of Theorem 2 for the case when A is also an abelian group was proved earlier by Van der Lijn [3].

2. If $A = M = \text{real numbers}$ and if we additionally assume that the function f in (19) is measurable, then formula (22) implies that g_n is also measurable. In that case the additive property of g_n implies that $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = cx_1x_2\dots x_n$ consequently $g_n^*(x) = cx^n$. Hence, f is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$.

References

- [1] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, vol. I, second edition.
- [2] M. A. McKiernan, *On vanishing n -th ordered differences and Hamel basis*, Ann. Polon. Math. 19 (1967), pp. 331-336.
- [3] G. Van der Lijn, *La définition fonctionnelle des polynômes dans les groupes abéliens*, Fund. Math. 33 (1939), pp. 42-50.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 5. 1968
