

Non-negative solutions of some non-linear integral equations

by WOJCIECH OKRASIŃSKI (Wrocław)

Abstract. We consider non-negative solutions u of the non-linear convolution equation

$$W \circ u = K * u,$$

where the kernel K is a locally bounded non-negative measurable function vanishing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and W is a convex function ($W(0) = 0$) satisfying certain additional conditions.

We give theorems concerning the existence and uniqueness of locally bounded measurable solutions vanishing on $(-\infty, 0]$ and positive on $(0, +\infty)$.

1. Introduction. In papers [2], [3] and [4] are considered non-negative solutions u of the equation

$$(1.1) \quad u^\alpha(x) = \int_0^x K(x-\tau)u(\tau)d\tau \quad (\alpha > 1),$$

where K is a non-negative function satisfying certain additional conditions. The solvability of (1.1) is inspected in the function class M_0 defined as the set of all locally bounded measurable functions vanishing on the non-positive half-line and positive on the positive half-line. The existence and uniqueness of solutions u in M_0 is showed in [2], [3] and [4]. The proofs are based on the Banach fixed point theorem.

Here we consider the more general equation

$$(1.2) \quad W(u(x)) = \int_0^x K(x-\tau)u(\tau)d\tau,$$

where W is a convex function satisfying certain additional conditions. The kernel K may be of a more general form than in (1.1). Proofs of the existence and uniqueness of solutions in M_0 will be given without use of the Banach fixed point theorem.

We impose the following assumptions on the kernel K :

(K₁) $K: R \rightarrow R_+$ is a locally bounded non-negative measurable function ($R_+ = \{x \in R: x \geq 0\}$),

(K₂) $K(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$,

(K₃) there exist numbers $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $C_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that $k(x) \geq C_1 x^{\alpha_1}$ for $x \in [0, \varepsilon_1]$.

As regards W , we assume:

(W₁) $W: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ is differentiable on R_+ ,

(W₂) $W(0) = W'(0) = 0$,

(W₃) W' is a strictly increasing continuous function,

(W₄) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} W'(x) = +\infty$,

(W₅) there exist numbers $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 > 1$ such that $W(x) \leq C_2 x^{\alpha_2}$ for $x \in [0, \varepsilon_2]$.

Under these assumptions we will state our results.

2. Some auxiliary lemmas. We introduce certain auxiliary functions and examine their properties.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let*

$$(2.1) \quad H_1(x) = \begin{cases} W(x)x^{-1} & \text{for } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then H_1 is a strictly increasing continuous function from R_+ onto R_+ .

Proof. We have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} W(x)x^{-1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} W'(x) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} W(x)x^{-1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} W'(x) = +\infty.$$

By (W₃) we get that $W(x)x^{-1}$ is strictly increasing. Hence our lemma is true.

COROLLARY 2.1. *There exists the strictly increasing function $F: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ inverse to H_1 . The function F is continuous.*

Remark 2.1. By (W₅) we have

$$F(x) \geq [x/C_2]^{1/(\alpha_2-1)} \quad \text{for } x \in [0, C_2 \varepsilon_2^{\alpha_2}].$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let*

$$(2.2) \quad H_2(x) = \int_0^x W(\tau)\tau^{-1} d\tau.$$

Then H_2 is a strictly increasing continuous function from R_+ onto R_+ .

The proof of this lemma results from Lemma 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.2. *There exists the strictly increasing continuous function $G: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ inverse to H_2 .*

Now we give an a priori estimate of the solution u of (1.2).

LEMMA 2.3. If $u \in M_0$ is a solution of (1.2), then

$$(2.3) \quad u(x) \leq F \left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau \right),$$

where F denotes the function defined in Corollary 2.1.

Proof. Let $x > 0$. Since

$$W(u(s)) \leq \left[\sup_{s \in I_x} u(s) \right] \int_0^s K(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{for } s \in I_x,$$

where $I_x = [0, x]$, then

$$W\left(\sup_{s \in I_x} u(s)\right) \leq \sup_{s \in I_x} u(s) \int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau.$$

From the last inequality, by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\sup_{s \in I_x} u(s) \leq F \left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau \right).$$

Hence (2.3) is true.

Remark 2.2. Let

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0, \\ F \left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau \right) & \text{for } x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then φ is a strictly increasing continuous function belonging to M_0 .

Remark 2.3. By (K_3) and Remark 2.1

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi(x) \geq \left[\frac{C_1}{C_2} \right]^{1/(\alpha_2 - 1)} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \right]^{1/(\alpha_2 - 1)} x^{(\alpha_1 + 1)/(\alpha_2 - 1)}$$

for $x \in J$, where

$$(2.6) \quad J = \left[0, \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1} (\alpha_1 + 1) \varepsilon_2^{\alpha_2} \right)^{1/(\alpha_1 + 1)} \right].$$

3. Existence of solutions. We define the following operator:

$$(3.1) \quad T(f) = W^{-1}(K * f) \quad \text{for } f \in M_0,$$

where W^{-1} is inverse to W .

Remark 3.1. For $f_1 \leq f_2$ we have $T(f_1) \leq T(f_2)$.

Remark 3.2. If $f \in M_0$ is a non-decreasing function, then $T(f)$ is a non-decreasing function belonging to M_0 .

We will need certain auxiliary theorems in the proof of the existence of non-negative solutions.

THEOREM 3.1. *The function φ defined by (2.4) satisfies*

$$(3.2) \quad T(\varphi) \leq \varphi.$$

Proof. For $x > 0$ inequality (3.2) is equivalent to the following one

$$(3.3) \quad (K * \varphi)(x) \leq W(\varphi(x)).$$

We shall prove inequality (3.3). By Remark 2.2 we have

$$(3.4) \quad (K * \varphi)(x) \leq \int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau F\left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau\right).$$

From (3.4) we get

$$(3.5) \quad (K * \varphi)(x) \leq H_1\left(F\left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau\right)\right) F\left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau\right).$$

By Definition 2.1 we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad H_1\left(F\left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau\right)\right) F\left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau\right) = W\left(F\left(\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau\right)\right).$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we get (3.2).

We first examine equation (1.2) in a particular form. We denote by x_+^α the following function:

$$(3.7) \quad x_+^\alpha = \begin{cases} x^\alpha & \text{for } x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

This function is in M_0 .

THEOREM 3.2. *The equation*

$$(3.8) \quad u^{\alpha_2}(x) = \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2} x_+^{\alpha_1} * u\right)(x)$$

has a solution in M_0 .

Proof. The following formula is known:

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{x_+^{\mu_1}}{\Gamma(\mu_1 + 1)} * \frac{x_+^{\mu_2}}{\Gamma(\mu_2 + 1)} = \frac{x_+^{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1}}{\Gamma(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 2)} \quad (\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0),$$

where Γ is the Euler function (see [1]). Let us note that

$$(3.10) \quad u_0(x) = \left[\frac{C_1}{C_2} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)}\right]^{1/(\alpha_2 - 1)} x_+^{(\alpha_1 + 1)/(\alpha_2 - 1)}$$

is a solution of (3.7). The theorem is proved.

We denote by φ_0 the function

$$\varphi_0(x) = \left[\frac{C_1}{C_2(\alpha_1 + 1)}\right]^{1/(\alpha_2 - 1)} x_+^{(\alpha_1 + 1)/(\alpha_2 - 1)}.$$

Then inequality (2.5) may be written as

$$(3.11) \quad \varphi(x) \geq \varphi_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in J.$$

We now prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.3. *The sequence (f_n) of functions from M_0 defined by*

$$(3.12) \quad f_0 = \varphi_0, \quad f_{n+1} = T_1(f_n) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad T_1(f) = \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2} x_+^{\alpha_1} * f \right)^{1/\alpha_2} \quad (f \in M_0),$$

is convergent to u_0 , where u_0 is given by formula (3.10).

Proof. Let

$$(3.14) \quad A = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)}.$$

By (3.9) we may write

$$(3.15) \quad f_n(x) = \left[\frac{C_1}{C_2} \right]^{1/(\alpha_2 - 1)} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \right]^{1/(\alpha_2 - 1)\alpha_2^n} A^{\sum_{k=1}^n 1/\alpha_2^k} x_+^{(\alpha_1 + 1)/(\alpha_2 - 1)}.$$

Since $\alpha_2 > 1$, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \left[\frac{C_1}{C_2} A \right]^{1/(\alpha_2 - 1)} x_+^{(\alpha_1 + 1)/(\alpha_2 - 1)}.$$

The theorem is proved.

Now we give the theorem about the existence of non-trivial non-negative solutions of (1.2).

THEOREM 3.4. *Equation (1.2) has a solution in the class M_0 .*

Proof. We define the sequence (g_n) of functions from M_0 :

$$(3.17) \quad g_0 = \varphi, \quad g_{n+1} = T(g_n) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since $\varphi(x) \geq \varphi_0(x)$ for $x \in J$, then, by (K_3) and (W_5) we have

$$(3.18) \quad T(g_n)(x) \geq T_1(f_n)(x) \quad \text{for } x \in J \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where T_1 is defined by (3.13) and f_n by (3.12). By Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad T^{n+1}(\varphi) \leq T^n(\varphi) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$(3.20) \quad g_{n+1} \leq g_n \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x)$. We denote this function by $u(x)$. Since (g_n) is a

sequence of non-decreasing functions, $u(x)$ is a non-decreasing function. By (3.18) we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad u(x) \geq u_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in J.$$

Since u is non-decreasing, then, by (3.21), we see that $u \in M_0$. The existence of the solution is proved.

Remark 3.1. Every solution $u \in M_0$ of (1.2) is a continuous function.

4. Uniqueness of non-negative solutions. We prepare the following lemma:

LEMMA 4.1. *If $M > 0$ is a number such that $\varphi(x) \leq M$ for $x \in I_\delta$, where $I_\delta = [0, \delta]$ and $\delta > 0$, then*

$$(4.1) \quad T(M)(x) \leq M \quad \text{for } x \in I_\delta.$$

Proof. From our assumptions we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau \leq W(M) M^{-1} \quad \text{for } x \in I_\delta.$$

By the definition of T we get

$$(4.3) \quad T(M)(x) = W^{-1} \left(M \int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau \right).$$

Since W^{-1} is an increasing function, then, by (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$(4.4) \quad T(M)(x) \leq W^{-1}(W(M)).$$

From the last inequality we see that the lemma is true.

Now we state the following theorem:

THEOREM 4.1. *If $\varphi(x) \leq M$ for $x \in I_\delta$, then the sequence (h_n) of functions defined by*

$$(4.5) \quad h_0 = M, \quad h_{n+1} = T(h_n),$$

is convergent to a solution v of (1.2) on I_δ such that $v(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, \delta]$.

Proof. Since, by assumptions,

$$u(x) \leq M \quad \text{on } I_\delta,$$

where $u \in M_0$ is the solution of (1.2) as in Theorem 3.4, we have

$$(4.6) \quad u(x) \leq h_0(x) \quad \text{on } I_\delta.$$

From (4.5) and (4.6) we get

$$(4.7) \quad u(x) \leq h_n(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I_\delta \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad T^{n+1}(h_0)(x) \leq T^n(h_0)(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I_\delta \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The last inequality is equivalent to the following one:

$$(4.9) \quad h_{n+1}(x) \leq h_n(x).$$

From (4.7) and (4.9) we infer that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x)$ exists. We denote this function by $v(x)$. The function v satisfies (1.2) on I_δ and, by (4.6), $v(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, \delta]$.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $m < M$ and $v(\delta_1) = m$, where $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta)$. Let*

$$(4.10) \quad k_0(x) = \begin{cases} v(x) & \text{for } x \in I_{\delta_1}, \\ m & \text{for } x \in (\delta_1, \delta]. \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence (k_n) of functions defined by $k_{n+1} = T(k_n)$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is convergent to v on I_δ .

Proof. Since $T(k_0)(x) = v(x)$ for $x \in I_{\delta_1}$ and $T(k_0)$ is a non-decreasing function, we have

$$T(k_0)(x) \geq T(k_0)(\delta_1) = m \quad \text{for } x \in (\delta_1, \delta].$$

Hence

$$(4.11) \quad T(k_0)(x) \geq k_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I_\delta.$$

From (4.11) we get

$$(4.12) \quad k_n(x) \leq k_{n+1}(x).$$

But

$$(4.13) \quad k_0(x) \leq M \quad \text{for } x \in I_\delta.$$

We have by (4.13)

$$(4.14) \quad k_n(x) \leq h_n(x) \leq M \quad \text{for } x \in I_\delta \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By (4.12) and (4.14) the sequence (k_n) converges to a function v satisfying equation (1.2) such that

$$(4.15) \quad v(x) = v(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I_{\delta_1}$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad v(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (\delta_1, \delta].$$

Let $x \in (\delta_1, \delta]$. Then by the mean value theorem

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} v(x) - v(x) &= T(v)(x) - T(v)(x) \leq (K * (v - v))(x) [W'(W^{-1}((K * v)(x)))]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.15) we have

$$(4.18) \quad (K * (v - \vartheta))(x) [W'(W^{-1}((K * \vartheta)(x)))]^{-1} \\ \leq \int_{\delta_1}^x K(x-\tau) [v(\tau) - \vartheta(\tau)] d\tau [W'(v(\delta_1))]^{-1}$$

for $x \in (\delta_1, \delta]$. Let $M_1 = \sup_{x \in I_\delta} K(x)$ and

$$(4.19) \quad d(v, \vartheta) = \sup_{\tau \in (\delta_1, \delta)} e^{-\beta\tau} [v(\tau) - \vartheta(\tau)],$$

where $\beta > 0$. We obtain

$$(4.20) \quad \int_{\delta_1}^x K(x-\tau) [v(\tau) - \vartheta(\tau)] d\tau \leq d(v, \vartheta) \int_{\delta_1}^x K(x-\tau) e^{\beta\tau} d\tau.$$

From (4.20) we get

$$(4.21) \quad \int_{\delta_1}^x K(x-\tau) [v(\tau) - \vartheta(\tau)] d\tau \leq d(v, \vartheta) \int_0^x K(x-\tau) e^{\beta\tau} d\tau.$$

By the commutativity of convolution we have

$$(4.22) \quad \int_0^x K(x-\tau) e^{\beta\tau} d\tau = e^{\beta x} \int_0^x K(\tau) e^{-\beta\tau} d\tau.$$

From (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22) we get

$$(4.23) \quad \int_{\delta_1}^x K(x-\tau) [v(\tau) - \vartheta(\tau)] d\tau \leq e^{\beta x} M_1 \beta^{-1} (1 - e^{-\beta x}) d(v, \vartheta).$$

From (4.23) we obtain

$$(4.24) \quad \int_{\delta_1}^x K(x-\tau) [v(\tau) - \vartheta(\tau)] d\tau \leq M_1 \beta^{-1} e^{\beta x} d(v, \vartheta)$$

for $x \in (\delta_1, \delta]$. Now we assume that

$$(4.25) \quad \beta \geq 2M_1 [W'(v(\delta_1))]^{-1}.$$

From (4.17), by (4.18), (4.23) and (4.25), we obtain

$$(4.26) \quad v(x) - \vartheta(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{\beta x} d(v, \vartheta) \quad \text{for } x \in (\delta_1, \delta].$$

From the last inequality we infer that

$$d(v, \vartheta) = 0.$$

Hence $v(x) = \vartheta(x)$ for $x \in (\delta_1, \delta]$, too. The theorem is proved.

Remark 4.1. If a sequence of functions $l_n(x)$ is convergent to a solution $l(x)$ of (1.2), then the sequence $l_n(x-\eta)$ is convergent to the function $l(x-\eta)$, which is a solution of (1.2).

THEOREM 4.3. Equation (1.2) has the unique solution in M_0 .

Proof. Let $u_1, u_2 \in M_0$ be two solutions of (1.2). Let $\delta > 0$ be any number and $M = \varphi(\delta)$. Let $\eta > 0$ be any number such that $0 < \eta < \delta$ and

$$(4.27) \quad m = \min \left\{ \min_{[\eta, \delta]} u_1, \min_{[\eta, \delta]} u_2 \right\}.$$

Let

$$(4.28) \quad k_0^\eta(x) = v(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I_\delta$$

in the case where $v(x) < m$ for $x \in I_{\delta-\eta}$, and let

$$(4.29) \quad K_0^\eta(x) = \begin{cases} v(x-\eta) & \text{for } x \in [\eta, \delta_1], \\ m & \text{for } x \in (\delta_1, \delta], \end{cases}$$

when $v(\delta_1 - \eta) = m$. Then

$$(4.30) \quad k_0^\eta(x) \leq u_i(x) \leq M \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Hence

$$(4.31) \quad k_n^\eta(x) \leq u_i(x) \leq h_n(x) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

where $k_{n+1}^\eta = T(k_n^\eta)$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (4.31), by Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and Remark 4.1, we obtain

$$(4.32) \quad v(x-\eta) \leq u_i(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \text{ and } x \in I_\delta.$$

Let $\eta \rightarrow 0+$. By the continuity of solutions (see Remark 3.1) we get

$$v(x) \leq u_i(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

The uniqueness is proved.

5. Final remarks. For the proof of the uniqueness assumption (W_5) is not needed. Assumption (K_3) may be replaced by a more general one, namely:

(K'_3) For each $x > 0$ the integral

$$\int_0^x K(\tau) d\tau$$

is a positive number.

Under this assumption the uniqueness may be showed.

References

- [1] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Distributions. Théorie et problèmes*, Masson et C^{ie}, Paris 1973.
- [2] J. Goncerzewicz, H. Marcinkowska, W. Okrański, K. Tabisz, *On the percolation of water from a cylindrical reservoir into the surrounding soil*, Appl. Math. 16 (1978), 249–261.
- [3] W. Okrański, *On a non-linear convolution equation occurring in the theory of water percolation*, Ann. Polon. Math. 37 (1980), 223–229.
- [4] —, *On nonnegative solutions of some nonlinear convolution equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 26 (1978), 15–18.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 11.12.1980
