FASC. 1

MARCZEWSKI INDEPENDENCE IN MONO-UNARY ALGEBRAS

 \mathbf{BY}

JAMES S. JOHNSON (EL CERRITO, CAL.)

By a mono-unary algebra we mean a pair (A, f), where A is a non-empty set and f is a function from A into A. For (A, f) a mono-unary algebra and X a subset of A, we denote by $\operatorname{Sg} X$ the subuniverse of (A, f) generated by X, i.e. the smallest subset of A which contains X and is closed under f. By |X| we mean the cardinal number of X; the empty set is denoted by 0. For f a function and n a non-negative integer we define f^n recursively by $f^0x = x$ and $f^{n+1}x = ff^nx$. For R a relation on a set X and $x \in X$, we define x/R to be the set of all y such that $(x,y) \in R$.

Given an algebra (A, f), a set $X \subseteq A$ is called *independent* if and only if given any function g from X into A there is a homomorphism h from $(\operatorname{Sg} X, f)$ into (A, f) such that hx = gx for all $x \in X$. This notion is due to Marczewski; for a survey of the study of this notion of independence, the reader is referred to [2], where additional references may be found. The main result of this paper (1) is Theorem 2.1 in which we characterize the family of independent sets of a unary algebra.

In section 2 of [1], Marczewski gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a set X to be independent. In the case of a mono-unary algebra (A, f), this reduces to the following:

- (MC) For $X \subseteq A$ to be independent it is necessary and sufficient that
- (i) for any $x \in X$ and natural numbers m and n, if $f^m x = f^n x$, then $f^m a = f^n a$ for all $a \in A$, and
- (ii) for any two distinct elements x and y of X and any two natural numbers m and n, if $f^m x = f^n y$, then $f^m a = f^n b$ for all a and b in A.

From (MC) it follows that if *Ind* is the family of independent sets of a mono-unary algebra, then *Ind* satisfies

(F) $X \in Ind$ if and only if for every $Y \subseteq X$ with $|Y| \leq 2$, $Y \in Ind$.

⁽¹⁾ Research supported by National Aeronautics and Space Administration Traineeship and National Science Foundation Traineeship.

The author is indebted to Professor Donald Monk for making available some unpublished notes on this subject as well as for reading the first draft of this paper.

1. Independent sets in mono-unary algebras. Throughout this section we assume that (A, f) is a fixed but arbitrary mono-unary algebra and that Ind is the family of independent sets in A. Before proceeding, we need some special concepts.

Definition 1.1. For $x \in A$

- (i) lx (loop degree of x) is the smallest positive integer n for which there is a non-negative integer m with $f^m x = f^{m+n} x$. If no such n exists, then $lx = \infty$.
- (ii) hx (height of x) is the smallest non-negative integer m for which there is a positive integer n with $f^m x = f^{m+n} x$. If no such m exists, $hx = \infty$.
 - (iii) x is a loop element if and only if hx = 0.
- (iv) A is the least common multiple of $\{lx : x \in A\}$ if such a number exists, otherwise, $A = \infty$.
 - (v) hA is the (possibly infinite) least upper bound of $\{hx : x \in A\}$. Some basic properties of these concepts are given in

LEMMA 1.2. For all $x \in A$

- (i) 1x = 1fx.
- (ii) $hfx = max\{0, hx-1\}$. (Here $\infty 1 = \infty$.)
- (iii) $1x = \infty$ if and only if $hx = \infty$.
- (iv) $f^n x$ is a loop element if and only if $n \ge hx$.

Definition 1.3. (i) A subalgebra (B, f) of (A, f) is connected if and only if for every $x, y \in B$, there are natural numbers m and n with $f^m x = f^n y$.

(ii) A maximal connected subalgebra of (A, f) is called a *component*.

LEMMA 1.4. For $x \in A$, $\{x\} \in Ind$ if and only if hx = hA and lx = lA.

Proof. It immediately follows from (MC) that if hx = hA and lx = lA then $\{x\} \in Ind$. Now if hx < hA, there is a $y \in A$ with hy > hx. But then $f^{hx}x = f^{hx+lx}x$, while $f^{hx}y \neq f^{hx+lx}y$, whence, by (MC), $\{x\} \notin Ind$. A similar argument shows that if lx < lA, then $\{x\} \notin Ind$.

LEMMA 1.5. If x and y are distinct elements of A which belong to the same component of (A, f) and $\{x, y\} \in Ind$, then (A, f) is connected, 1A = 1, $f^m x = f^n y$ implies $m, n \ge hA$, and hA is finite.

Proof. Since x, y belong to the same component of A, there are natural numbers m and n with $f^m x = f^n y$. Then by (MC), $f^m a = f^n b$ for all $a, b \in A$, thus (A, f) is connected. Furthermore, $f^m a = f^n b = f^m f a = f^{m+1}a$, so 1A = 1. Now whenever $f^m x = f^n y$, we have $f^m x = f^n f y$, so $n \ge hy = hA$. The last statement follows from the others.

THEOREM 1.6. Suppose x and y are distinct elements of A. Then $\{x, y\}$ ϵ Ind if and only if hx = hy = hA, lx = ly = lA, and either (i) x and y belong to different components of (A, f), or (ii) A is connected, lA = 1, and $f^m x = f^n y$ implies $m, n \ge hA$.

Proof. The necessity of the conditions follows from 1.4 and 1.5. Conversely, suppose hx = hy = hA and lx = ly = lA. If (i) holds and $f^m w = f^n z$, where $\{w, z\} \subseteq \{x, y\}$, then w = z, thus it follows from 1.4 that (MC) holds for $\{x, y\}$. If (ii) holds, then $\{x, y\}$ clearly satisfies (MC).

Condition (F) together with 1.6 already gives us a fairly clear picture of the appearance of Ind. There is one more important condition on Ind which we must establish. Let U be the set of all x such that $\{x\} \in Ind$, and let R be the set of all pairs (x, y) with $x, y \in U$ and either x = y or $\{x, y\} \notin Ind$.

THEOREM 1.7. R is an equivalence relation with field U.

Proof. Obviously R is reflexive and symmetric and has field U. To show R is transitive, suppose $\{x,y\} \notin Ind$ and $\{x,z\} \in Ind$; we will show that $\{y,z\} \in Ind$. If (A,f) is not connected, x and z must belong to different components, while x and y belong to the same component. Thus y and z must belong to different components and so, by $1.6, \{y,z\} \in Ind$. Now suppose that (A,f) is connected; then, by 1.6, there are natural numbers m and n with $f^m x = f^n y$ and at least one of m and n is less than hA, say m < hA. Then $hf^n y = hf^m x = hx - m \neq 0$. Thus hy - n = hx - m; but hx = hy, so m = n. Now suppose that $\{y,z\} \notin Ind$; then by an argument similar to the one above, there is an s < hA with $f^s y = f^s z$. Letting $t = \max\{n, s\}$, we have $f^t x = f^t z$ and t < hA. This contradicts the assumption that $\{x,z\} \in Ind$, thus $\{y,z\} \in Ind$.

2. Characterization of the family of independent sets. If $0 < |A| \le 3$ and Ind is a family of subsets of A, it is easy to see that Ind is the family of independent sets of a mono-unary algebra (A, f) if and only if Ind contains a non-empty set and satisfies condition (F). Throughout the remainder of this section we assume that A has at least four elements, Ind is a family of subsets of A, and U is the set of all x such that $\{x\} \in Ind$. Further, we assume that R is the set of all pairs (x, y) with x and y elements of U and either x = y or $\{x, y\} \notin Ind$. We are now ready to state the main theorem of this paper.

THEOREM 2.1. Ind is the family of independent sets of a mono-unary algebra (A, f) if and only if Ind satisfies condition (F) and R is an equivalence satisfying at least one of the following three conditions:

- (i) For each $x \in U$, x/R is infinite.
- (ii) For all $x, y \in U$, |x/R| = |y/R|; if |x/R| = 1, then $|A \sim U| \leqslant 1$.
- (iii) $|\{x/R : x \in U\}| \leq |A \sim U|$.

Note that it is not claimed that (i), (ii), and (iii) are mutually exclusive; in fact, it is possible that all three hold simultaneously. The remainder of this section is devoted to establishing 2.1. First recall from section 1 that if Ind is the family of independent sets in a mono-unary algebra (A, f), then Ind satisfies condition (F) and R is an equivalence relation. The next three lemmata show that at least one of the three numbered conditions is also satisfied.

LEMMA 2.2. Assume Ind is the family of independent sets in a monounary algebra (A, f) and $hA = \infty$. Then for each $x \in U$, x/R is infinite.

Proof. Let $x \in U$. Then by 1.4, $hx = \infty$, and so for each positive n, $hf^n x = \infty$; thus $f^n x \in U$. Now for n positive, $x \neq f^n x$, so by 1.6, $\{x, f^n x\}$ $\notin Ind$. Therefore $f^n x \in x/R$. Finally, for $m \neq n$, $f^m x \neq f^n x$, so x/R is infinite.

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose Ind is the family of independent sets in a monounary algebra (A, f) and hA is positive but finite. Then $|\{x/R : x \in U\}| \le |A \sim U|$ or $|A \sim U| = 1$ and |x/R| = 1 for all $x \in U$.

Proof. Let X be a set containing exactly one element from each R class. By condition (F), $X \in Ind$. Since $hA \neq 0$, we have hfx < hx for $x \in X$, thus $fx \notin U$. Now if x and y are distinct elements of X and fx = fy, then by (MC), fz = fw for all z and w in A; it follows that (A, f) is connected and hA = 1. Applying 1.6, we obtain $|A \sim U| = 1$ and |x/R| = 1 for each $x \in U$. The only other possibility is that for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, $fx \neq fy$. In this case $|\{fx : x \in U\}| = |X| = |\{x/R; x \in U\}|$. But $\{fx : x \in U\} \subseteq A \sim U$; the lemma follows.

LEMMA 2.4. Suppose Ind is the family of independent sets in a monounary algebra (A, f) and hA = 0. Then for $x \in U$, |x/R| = 1A; furthermore, if 1A = 1, U = A.

Proof. If 1A = 1, then f is the identity on A, so the last statement holds. Now suppose 1A > 1. For $x \in U$, 1fx = 1x and 1fx = 0 = 1A, so by 1.4, $fx \in U$. Furthermore, by 1.6, $(x, f^n x) \in R$ for each natural number n. Now the sequence x, fx, f^2x, \ldots contains exactly 1A distinct elements, thus $|x/R| \ge 1A$. On the other hand, if $(x, y) \in R$, it follows from 1.6 that there is an integer n with $f^n x = y$, thus $|x/R| \le 1A$.

We have shown that if Ind is the family of independent sets in (A, f), then at least one of (i), (ii), (iii) of 2.1 holds. We now proceed in the other direction.

LEMMA 2.5. Suppose Ind satisfies condition (F), R is an equivalence relation, and at least one of (i), (ii), (iii) of 2.1 is satisfied. Then there is a mono-unary algebra (A, f) having Ind as its family of independent sets.

Proof. For each of the various posibilities we construct an algebra having *Ind* as its family of independent sets. The proofs that these examples do indeed have the proper family of independent sets are similar, so

by way of illustration, we shall do only the first one and leave the others to the reader. We will assume $U \neq 0$, the case where U = 0 being trivial.

Suppose condition (i) holds. For each R class, x/R, let t_x be a one-to-one function from the natural numbers into x/R. (If $(x,y) \in R$, then $t_x = t_y$.) Define f as follows; for $x \in U$, if there is n with $t_x n = x$, let $fx = t_x (n+1)$, otherwise let $fx = t_x 0$. For $x \notin U$, let fx = x. We claim that (A,f) has Ind as its family of independent sets. First observe that for $x \in A$, $x \in U$ if and only if $hx = \infty$, and by 1.4, this is equivalent to $\{x\}$ being independent. Now if x and y are distinct elements of U, $\{x,y\}$ is independent if and only if x and y belong to different components; this is equivalent to $(x,y) \notin R$, which in turn is equivalent to $\{x,y\} \in Ind$. Now by condition (F) for both Ind and the family of independent sets, Ind is the family of independent sets in (A,f).

Next suppose that (ii) holds and that for each $x \in U$, n = |x/R| is greater than one but finite. For each R class x/R, let t_x be a one-to-one function from $\{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ into x/R. Define f as follows: For $x \in U$, there is m with $t_x m = x$; for m < n-1, let $fx = t_x(m+1)$; for m = n-1, let $fx = t_x 0$. For $x \notin U$, let fx = x. Then (A, f) is the required algebra.

If (ii) holds and x/R has a single element for each $x \in U$, we have two cases to consider. First, suppose $|A \sim U| = 0$; then let f be the identity on A. Second, suppose $A \sim U = \{z\}$; then let fx = z for all $x \in A$. In either case, **Ind** is the family of independent sets in (A, f).

Finally, suppose (iii) holds. We may assume $|A \sim U| > 1$, for if $|A \sim U| = 1$, then either (i) or (ii) also holds. Thus let t be a one-to-one function from $\{x/R: x \in U\}$ into $A \sim U$. For $x \in U$, let fx = t(x/R); for $x \notin U$, let fx = x. Again (A, f) has **Ind** as its family of independent sets.

This completes the proof of 2.1.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Marczewski, Independence and homomorphisms in abstract algebras, Fundamenta Mathematicae 50 (1961), p. 45-61.

[2] — Independence in abstract algebras, Colloquium Mathematicum 14 (1966), p. 169-188.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO

Recu par la Rédaction le 6.1.1968