

*THE EXISTENCE OF GLOBAL SOLUTIONS
OF A FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION*

BY

S. CZERWIK (KATOWICE)

1. Introduction. In the present paper we are concerned with the functional-differential equation of the form

$$(1) \quad \varphi'(x) = h(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f_1(x, \varphi(x))], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x, \varphi(x))]),$$

with the initial condition

$$(2) \quad \varphi(0) = y_0,$$

where φ is an unknown function, and h and f_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are known functions.

We consider the problem of the global existence of solutions of equation (1) in the interval $\langle 0, \infty$). We shall prove (under suitable assumptions) that the problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution defined in the interval $\langle 0, \infty$) which belongs to a certain function class G , defined in the sequel.

For the equation

$$\varphi'(x) = h(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)], u)$$

the corresponding problem (as well as the problem of uniqueness) has been investigated by the author in [2].

The problem of the local existence of solutions of equation (1) for $n = 1$ has been investigated by Oberg [4].

2. Existence theorem. In this section we establish a theorem asserting the existence of global solutions of the initial-value problem (1)-(2) in special class functions.

We assume that

(i) The functions $h: I \times R^{n+1} \rightarrow R$, $f_i: I \times R \rightarrow I$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), where $I = \langle 0, \infty$), $R = (-\infty, +\infty)$, are continuous in $I \times R^{n+1}$ and $I \times R$, respectively.

(ii) There exist continuous functions $L_i: I \rightarrow I$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$) and constants $\alpha \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, $\beta_i \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that for every

$x \in I$ and $z_i \in R$ ($i = 1, \dots, n+1$) we have

$$|h(x, z_1, \dots, z_{n+1})| \leq eL_0(x) + eL_1(x)|z_1|^\alpha + L_2(x)|z_2|^{\beta_1} + \dots + L_{n+1}(x)|z_{n+1}|^{\beta_n},$$

where e is the Euler number.

(iii) There exist continuous functions $g_i: I \rightarrow I$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that

$$f_i(x, t) \leq g_i(x), \quad x \in I, t \in R \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$\beta_i L[g_i(x)] \leq L(x) + e^{-1}, \quad x \in I \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where

$$(3) \quad L(x) = \int_0^x [L_0(s) + \dots + L_{n+1}(s)] ds.$$

Now we shall prove the main result.

THEOREM. *If (i)-(iii) are satisfied, then equation (1) with initial condition (2) ($y_0 \in R$) has at least one solution $\varphi: I \rightarrow R$ satisfying the condition*

$$(4) \quad |\varphi(x)| \leq a \exp(eL(x)), \quad x \in I,$$

where a is a real constant and

$$(5) \quad a \geq \max(1, |y_0|).$$

Proof. Let X be the space of all functions $\varphi: I \rightarrow R$ which are continuous in I and

$$\sup_{x \in I} (|\varphi(x)| \exp(-eL(x) - x)) < \infty.$$

One can verify that X with the norm

$$(6) \quad \|\varphi\| = \sup_{x \in I} (|\varphi(x)| \exp(-eL(x) - x))$$

is a Banach space (cf. [1]). We omit simple calculations.

Now define G as the space of these functions $\varphi \in X$ which satisfy the condition

$$(7) \quad |\varphi(x)| \leq a \exp(eL(x)), \quad x \in I,$$

where a satisfies (5).

One can easily verify that the set G is a nonempty, bounded, convex and closed subset of the space X (cf. also [3]).

Now, for $\varphi \in G$, we define the transformation $\Phi = T\varphi$ by the formula

$$(8) \quad \Phi(x) = y_0 + \int_0^x h(s, \varphi(s), \varphi[f(s, \varphi(s))]) ds, \quad x \in I,$$

where

$$\varphi [f(s, \varphi(s))] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\varphi [f_1(s, \varphi(s))], \dots, \varphi [f_n(s, \varphi(s))]).$$

We prove that (8) transforms G into itself. Indeed, let $\varphi \in G$. In view of (i), Φ is continuous in I . From (8), (ii), (iii), (3) and (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(x)| &\leq |y_0| + \int_0^x |h(s, \varphi(s), \varphi[f(s, \varphi(s))])| ds \\ &\leq |y_0| + \int_0^x \{eL_0(s) + ea^\alpha L_1(s) \exp(eaL(s)) + \\ &\quad + a^{\beta_1} L_2(s) \exp(e\beta_1 L[g_1(s)]) + \dots + a^{\beta_n} L_{n+1}(s) \exp(e\beta_n L[g_n(s)])\} ds \\ &\leq |y_0| + a \int_0^x e[L_0(s) + \dots + L_{n+1}(s)] \exp(eL(s)) ds \\ &\leq |y_0| + a [\exp(eL(x)) - 1] \leq a \exp(eL(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus T maps G into itself. Next we prove that T is a continuous transformation, i.e. $\|\varphi_m - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ implies $\|T\varphi_m - T\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\varphi_m, \varphi \in G$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) and let $\|\varphi_m - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $|\varphi_m(x) - \varphi(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $\langle 0, b \rangle$ for every $b > 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |T\varphi_m(x) - T\varphi(x)| &\leq \int_0^x |h(s, \varphi_m(s), \varphi_m[f(s, \varphi_m(s))]) - h(s, \varphi(s), \varphi[f(s, \varphi(s))])| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\varphi_m(x) - \varphi(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi_m[f(x, \varphi_m(x))] - \varphi[f(x, \varphi(x))]| \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $\langle 0, b \rangle$, it follows from the continuity of the function h that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an m_0 such that for $m \geq m_0$ and $x \in \langle 0, b \rangle$ we have

$$|h(x, \varphi_m(x), \varphi_m[f(x, \varphi_m(x))]) - h(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f(x, \varphi(x))])| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$|T\varphi_m(x) - T\varphi(x)| < \varepsilon b \quad \text{for } x \in \langle 0, b \rangle \text{ and } m \geq m_0.$$

Moreover,

$$|T\varphi_m(x) - T\varphi(x)| \exp(-eL(x) - x) \leq 2a \exp(-x) < \varepsilon$$

for all sufficiently large values of x . Arbitrariness of ε shows that $\|T\varphi_m - T\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and so T is a continuous transformation.

Now we prove that the set $G_1 = T(G)$ is compact. Let $\Phi_m \in G_1$ for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an arbitrary increasing sequence such that $b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = \infty$.

We have

$$|\Phi_m(x)| \leq a \exp(eL(b_1)), \quad x \in \langle 0, b_1 \rangle, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and, for $0 \leq z \leq x \leq b_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_m(x) - \Phi_m(z)| &\leq \int_z^x |h(s, \varphi_m(s), \varphi_m[f(s, \varphi_m(s))])| ds \\ &\leq ae \int_z^x [L_0(s) + \dots + L_{n+1}(s)] \exp(eL(s)) ds \\ &\leq ae \max_{s \in \langle 0, b_1 \rangle} [L_0(s) + \dots + L_{n+1}(s)] \exp(eL(b_1)) (x - z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is equibounded and equicontinuous in $\langle 0, b_1 \rangle$ and so there exists a subsequence $\{\Phi_m^1\}_{m=1}^\infty$ uniformly convergent in $\langle 0, b_1 \rangle$ to some function Φ^1 such that

$$|\Phi^1(x)| \leq a \exp(eL(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle 0, b_1 \rangle.$$

Let now $x \in \langle 0, b_2 \rangle$. Since $\{\Phi_m^1\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is equibounded and equicontinuous in $\langle 0, b_2 \rangle$, there exists a subsequence $\{\Phi_m^2\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of the sequence $\{\Phi_m^1\}_{m=1}^\infty$ uniformly convergent in $\langle 0, b_2 \rangle$ to some function Φ^2 such that

$$|\Phi^2(x)| \leq a \exp(eL(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle 0, b_2 \rangle.$$

Continuing in this fashion we produce sequences $\{\Phi_m^i\}_{m=1}^\infty$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) uniformly convergent in $\langle 0, b_i \rangle$ to functions Φ^i such that

$$|\Phi^i(x)| \leq a \exp(eL(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle 0, b_i \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

The diagonal sequence $\{\Phi_m^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is almost uniformly convergent in I to Φ and such that $\Phi(x) = \Phi^i(x)$ for $x \in \langle 0, b_i \rangle$ and $\Phi \in G$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, and let $b_i \geq \ln(2a/\varepsilon)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in I} (|\Phi_m^m(x) - \Phi(x)| \exp(-eL(x) - x)) \\ \leq \sup \left\{ \sup_{x \in \langle 0, b_i \rangle} (|\Phi_m^m(x) - \Phi(x)| \exp(-eL(x) - x)), \right. \\ \left. \sup_{x \geq b_i} (|\Phi_m^m(x) - \Phi(x)| \exp(-eL(x) - x)) \right\} \\ \leq \sup \left\{ \sup_{x \in \langle 0, b_i \rangle} (|\Phi_m^m(x) - \Phi(x)|), \sup_{x \geq b_i} (2a \exp(-x)) \right\} < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for m sufficiently large.

This shows that $T(G)$ is compact. On account of Schauder's fixed-point theorem there exists at least one solution $\varphi \in G$ of the problem (1)-(2). This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bielecki, *Une remarque sur la méthode de Banach-Cacciopoli-Tikhonov dans la théorie des équations différentielles ordinaires*, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques, 4 (1956), p. 261-264.
- [2] S. Czerwik, *On a differential equation with deviating argument*, Commentationes Mathematicae (to appear).
- [3] T. Dłotko, *Sur l'existence d'une solution d'une équation différentielle à argument avancé* (in Polish), Zeszyty Naukowe WSP w Katowicach, Sekcja Matematyki, 4 (1964), p. 79-83.
- [4] R. J. Oberg, *On the local existence of solutions of certain functional-differential equations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 20 (1969), p. 295-302.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
SILESIAN UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 28. 5. 1975
