

ON THE NUMBER OF POLYNOMIALS OF A UNIVERSAL ALGEBRA IV

BY

J. PŁONKA (WROCLAW)

Let \mathfrak{A} be an algebra. By $p_n(\mathfrak{A})$ we denote the number of essentially n -ary polynomials in \mathfrak{A} (i.e., polynomials depending on each variable) different from the trivial operation $e_1^{(1)}(x) = x$. We say (see [1]) that a sequence a_0, a_1, \dots of non-negative integers is *representable* if there exists an algebra \mathfrak{A} such that $p_n(\mathfrak{A}) = a_n$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. There are sequences non-representable, e.g., $0, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots$, because if in an algebra \mathfrak{A} without constant algebraic operations there exists a binary symmetrical operation, then, by Theorem 1 in [4], $p_n(\mathfrak{A}) \geq 1$ for $n = 3, 4, \dots$. If $a_0 > 0$, then the sequence is representable (see [1]). Thus the examination of representable sequences splits into two cases: $p_0 = 0, p_1 = 0$, and $p_0 = 0, p_1 > 0$. Concerning the first case some results can be found in [5]. In this paper we study the second case. In [1] it was proved that any sequence $0, a_1, a_2, \dots$, in which $a_n > 0$ for $n \geq 1$, is representable.

Our result is: if the sequence $0, a_1, a_2, \dots$, in which $a_m = 0$ for some odd m exceeding 2, is representable, then n divides a_n for each $n > 0$. Moreover, if $a_1 > 0$, then this divisibility gives necessary and sufficient condition for representability. For $n = 3$ this theorem was proved in [2] by using another methods. By the way we obtained Theorem 1 which seems to be interesting for itself and is a generalisation of Theorem 1 in [3]. If $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an n -ary operation, we denote by $S(f)$ the group of symmetry of f , i.e., the group of permutations σ of variables of f which do not change the value of f :

$$\sigma \in S(f) \text{ iff } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

We say that $S(f)$ is *movable* if for any index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists $\sigma \in S(f)$ such that $\sigma(i) \neq i$. We denote $f^0(x) = x, f^{k+1}(x) = f(f^k(x), \dots, f^k(x))$

LEMMA 1. *If in an algebra \mathfrak{A} , n does not divide p_n for some $n \geq 2$, then there exists in \mathfrak{A} an essentially n -ary polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $S(f)$ is movable.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary, that for any essentially n -ary polynomial $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, there exists a fixed variable, say x_1 , such that every permutation moving x_1 from its place changes the value of g . Then putting x_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) on the first place, we would get $n \cdot k$ different operations for any g , and thus k would divide $(n-1)!$, a contradiction.

LEMMA 2. *If $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where $n \geq 3$, is a polynomial in \mathfrak{A} such that $S(f)$ is movable, and $g(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ is a polynomial in \mathfrak{A} such that for some $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ the polynomial $g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}f^k(x_i), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s)$ ($k \geq 1$) is essentially s -ary, then either*

(a) *there exists in \mathfrak{A} an essentially $(s+2)$ -ary operation being of one of the forms:*

- (1) $g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(y), f^{k-1}(z), \dots, f^{k-1}(z)), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s)$,
- (2) $g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(x), \dots, f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(y), f^{k-1}(z), \dots, f^{k-1}(z)), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s)$,

or

(b) *there exists in (\mathfrak{A}) an essentially $(s+1)$ -ary operation which is of the form*

- (3) $g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(y), \dots, f^{k-1}(y)), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s)$,

where x and y can be interchanged without changing its value of it.

Proof. Consider operations

$$g_r = g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(y), \dots, \dots, f^{k-1}(y), f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(y), \dots, f^{k-1}(y)), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s),$$

where $f^{k-1}(x)$ stands in the r -th place in f . Each g_r depends on variables $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s$ and on at least one of variables x, y , for otherwise, identifying x and y , we would get a contradiction.

Suppose first that no g_r is $(s+1)$ -ary. Then each g_r depends only either on x or on y . If g_r depends on x and does not depend on y , then we can assume $r = 1$ and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(y), \dots, f^{k-1}(y)), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s) \\ &= g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(x), \dots, f^{k-1}(x)), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is movable, there exists $r' \neq 1$ such that $g_{r'}$ has the same property. We can assume $r' = 2$. Then, as can be checked by putting $z = x$ or $z = y$, operation (1) is $(s+2)$ -ary. If no g_r depends on x , consider operations

$$g^{(t)} = g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \underbrace{f(f^{k-1}(x), \dots, f^{k-1}(x))}_{t \text{ times}}, f^{k-1}(y), \dots, f^{k-1}(y), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s).$$

Observe that $g^{(1)}$ depends on y and $g^{(n-1)}$ depends on x . Thus there exists t_0 such that $g^{(t_0)}$ depends on y and $g^{(t_0+1)}$ depends on x . It is easy to show that operation (2) in which $f^{k-1}(y)$ appears, for some t_0 , in the (t_0+1) -th place, depends on $s+2$ variables.

If g_r is $(s+1)$ -ary, then we can assume $r = 1$. But f is movable and therefore there exists r' such that $g_{r'}$ is also $(s+1)$ -ary. We can assume $r' = 2$. Consider operation of the form (1). If it depends on z , we are ready. If it is not, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(z), \dots, f^{k-1}(z)), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s) \\ = g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(x), \dots, f^{k-1}(x)), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_s). \end{aligned}$$

If there exists $r \notin \{1, 2\}$ such that g_r is $(s+1)$ -ary, we can assume $r = 3$. Then, by (4), it is easy to check that operation of the form (2), where $f^{k-1}(x)$ appears two times, is essentially $(s+2)$ -ary. If no g_r ($r > 2$) is essentially $(s+1)$ -ary, then x and y commute in (1), because f is movable. Thus we have (3).

LEMMA 3. *If $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where $n \geq 3$, is a movable polynomial in \mathfrak{A} , $g(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ is a polynomial in \mathfrak{A} , and there exist two indices $i < j$ such that the operation*

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f^k(x_i), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, f^l(x_j), x_{j+1}, \dots, x_s)$$

is essentially s -ary ($k, l \geq 1$), then there exists in \mathfrak{A} an essentially $(s+2)$ -ary operation being of one of the forms (1) or (2) or of the form

$$(5) \quad g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(y), \dots, f^{k-1}(y)), x_{i+1}, \dots, \\ x_{j-1}, f(f^{l-1}(v), \dots, f^{l-1}(v), f^{l-1}(u), f^{l-1}(v), \dots, f^{l-1}(v)), x_{j+1}, \dots, x_s).$$

Proof. First consider index i and forget about j . By lemma 2, we obtain either $(s+2)$ -ary operation of the form (1), (2), or $(s+1)$ -ary operation (3). Now in the same way consider index j . If considering i we get operation of the form (3) and considering j we get $(s+1)$ -ary operation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f^k(x_i), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, f(f^{l-1}(y), \dots \\ \dots, f^{l-1}(y), f^{l-1}(x), f^{l-1}(y), \dots, f^{l-1}(y)), x_{j+1}, \dots, x_s), \end{aligned}$$

then an operation of the form (5) is essentially $(s+2)$ -ary. Putting $x = y$ we get dependence on u and v . Putting $u = v$ dependence on x and y .

THEOREM 1. *If in an algebra \mathfrak{A} without algebraic constants there exists an n -ary algebraic operation f , where $n \geq 2$, such that $S(f)$ is movable, then, for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists in \mathfrak{A} an essentially $(2m+1)$ -ary operation.*

Proof. If $n = 2$, then f is symmetrical and, by Theorem 1 in [4], there exist essentially j -ary operations for each $j = 3, 4, \dots$. Suppose $n \geq 3$. We shall construct essentially $(2m+1)$ -ary operation. Take for g the operation $e_1^{(1)}(x) = x$ and put $k = m$ in Lemma 2.

By lemma 2, either there exists in \mathfrak{A} an essentially binary symmetrical operation of the form (3) (then we are ready as above), or there exists an essentially ternary operation being of one of the forms

$$(6) \quad f(f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(y), f^{k-1}(z), f^{k-1}(z), \dots, f^{k-1}(z)),$$

$$(7) \quad f(f^{k-1}(x), \dots, f^{k-1}(x), f^{k-1}(y), f^{k-1}(z), \dots, f^{k-1}(z)).$$

If $m = 1$, we are ready. If $m > 1$, we can use Lemma 2 or 3 by taking $k = l = m - 1$.

THEOREM 2. *If in an algebra \mathfrak{A} there is $p_0 = 0$ and $p_{2m+1} = 0$ for some $m \geq 1$, then n divides p_n for $n > 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $n \nmid p_n$ for some $n > 1$. By Lemma 1, there exists in \mathfrak{A} an operation $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $S(f)$ is movable. By Theorem 1, $p_{2m+1} > 0$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$ a contradiction.

It was proved in Theorem 1 of [1] that if $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 \geq 1$, and n divides a_n for each n , then the sequence a_0, a_1, \dots is representable. Hence we have

COROLLARY. *A sequence a_0, a_1, \dots , in which $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 \geq 1$, and $a_{2m_0+1} = 0$ for some $m_0 \geq 1$, is representable if and only if n divides a_n for each n .*

Remark. For idempotent algebras ($p_1 = 0$) this divisibility condition is only a necessary one. In fact, the sequence $0, 0, 4, 0, 0, \dots$ is not representable, because $p_3(\mathfrak{A}) = 0$ implies $p_2(\mathfrak{A}) = 0$ or $p_2(\mathfrak{A}) = 2$ (see Urbanik [5]).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Grätzer, J. Płonka, A. Sekanina, *On the number of polynomials of a universal algebra I*, Colloquium Mathematicum 22 (1970), p. 1-7.
- [2] G. Grätzer, J. Płonka, *On the number of polynomials of a universal algebra II*, ibidem 22 (1970), p. 13-19.
- [3] J. Płonka, *On the number of polynomials of a universal algebra III*, ibidem 22 (1971), p. 177-180.
- [4] — *On the number of independent elements in finite abstract algebras having a binary operation*, ibidem 14 (1966), p. 189-201.
- [5] K. Urbanik, *On algebraic operations in idempotent algebras*, ibidem 13 (1965), p. 129-157.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 1. 1971