Discussiones Mathematicae General Algebra and Applications 24(2004) 63–74

# DIRECT DECOMPOSITIONS OF DUALLY RESIDUATED LATTICE ORDERED MONOIDS

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK\*

Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Sciences, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic

e-mail:rachunek@inf.upol.cz

AND

## Dana Šalounová<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematical Methods in Economy, Faculty of Economics, VŠB-Technical University of Ostrava, Sokolská 33, 701 21 Ostrava, Czech Republic

e-mail: dana.salounova@vsb.cz

#### Abstract

The class of dually residuated lattice ordered monoids  $(DR\ell\text{-monoids})$  contains, in an appropriate signature, all  $\ell\text{-groups}$ , Brouwerian algebras, MV- and GMV-algebras, BL- and pseudo BL-algebras, etc. In the paper we study direct products and decompositions of  $DR\ell\text{-monoids}$  in general and we characterize ideals of  $DR\ell\text{-monoids}$  which are direct factors. The results are then applicable to all above mentioned special classes of  $DR\ell\text{-monoids}$ .

**Keywords:**  $DR\ell$ -monoid, lattice-ordered monoid, ideal, normal ideal, polar, direct factor.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 06F05; 06D35, 06F15, 03G10, 03G25, 20F60.

<sup>\*</sup>The first author was supported by the Council of Czech Government, J 14/98: 153100011.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger {\rm The}$  second author was supported by the Council of Czech Government, J 17/98: 275100015.

# 1. Introduction

Commutative dually residuated lattice-ordered monoids (in short:  $DR\ell$ monoids) were introduced and studied by K.L.N. Swamy in [20], [21], [22] as a common generalization of commutative lattice-ordered groups ( $\ell$ -groups) and Brouwerian algebras. The papers [23], [24], [9]–[15], [4] and the part of the thesis [5] engaged the further research of structure properties of commutative  $DR\ell$ -monoids. It was shown that MV-algebras (see [13]) and BLalgebras (see [14]) which are an algebraic counterpart of the Lukasiewicz infinite valued logic and Hájek basic fuzzy logic, respectively, can be understood as special cases of commutative  $DR\ell$ -monoids. General  $DR\ell$ -monoids (i.e., not necessarily commutative), the special case of which are also all  $\ell$ -groups, were introduced by Kovář in [5]. GMV-algebras were defined as a non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras in [16] and it was shown there that they are special cases of  $DR\ell$ -monoids. This fact was then used when studying GMV-algebras in [17] and [18]. Similarly, it was proved in [6] that pseudo BL-algebras (defined in [2] as a non-commutative generalization of *BL*-algebras) are also a special case of  $DR\ell$ -monoids.  $DR\ell$ -monoids were further studied in [8], [7] and [19].

In the paper we shall study direct products and direct decompositions of  $DR\ell$ -monoids. The general results are then applicable for all mentioned special cases of  $DR\ell$ -monoids.

# 2. Basic notions and notation

**Definition.** An algebra  $M = (M; +, 0, \lor, \land, \rightharpoonup, \leftarrow)$  of signature  $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$  is called a *dually residuated (non-commutative) lattice-ordered monoid* (a  $DR\ell$ -monoid) if

(M1)  $(M; +, 0, \lor, \land)$  is a lattice-ordered monoid ( $\ell$ -monoid), that is, (M; +, 0) is a (non-commutative) monoid,  $(M, \lor, \land)$  is a lattice, and for any  $x, y, u, v \in M$ , the following identities are satisfied:

$$u + (x \lor y) + v = (u + x + v) \lor (u + y + v),$$
  
 $u + (x \land y) + v = (u + x + v) \land (u + y + v);$ 

(M2) if  $\leq$  denotes the order on M induced by the lattice  $(M; \lor, \land)$ , then, for any  $x, y \in M$ , we have

 $x \rightarrow y$  is the least element  $s \in M$  such that  $s + y \ge x$ ,

 $x \leftarrow y$  is the least element  $t \in M$  such that  $y + t \ge x$ ;

(M3) M fulfils the identities

$$\begin{aligned} ((x \rightharpoonup y) \lor 0) + y &\leq x \lor y, \ y + ((x \leftarrow y) \lor 0) \leq x \lor y, \\ x \rightharpoonup x \geq 0, \ x \leftarrow x \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Commutative  $DR\ell$ -monoids (called  $DR\ell$ -semigroups) were introduced by K.L.N. Swamy in [20] as a common generalization of commutative  $\ell$ -groups and Brouwerian algebras. The present definition of a non-commutative extension of  $DR\ell$ -monoids is due to [5]. Also, for basic properties of noncommutative  $DR\ell$ -monoids see [5].

Let us denote by  $M^+ = \{x \in M : 0 \le x\}$  the set of all positive elements in M.

#### Examples.

- a) Let  $G = (G; +, 0, -(\cdot), \lor, \land)$  be an  $\ell$ -group. Set  $x \rightharpoonup y = x y$  and  $x \leftarrow y = -y + x$  for any  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $(G; +, 0, \lor, \land, \rightharpoonup, \leftarrow)$  is a  $DR\ell$ -monoid.
- b) Let G be an  $\ell$ -group and  $G^+$  be its positive cone, i.e.:  $G^+ = \{x \in G : 0 \le x\}$ . Set  $x \rightharpoonup y = (x y) \lor 0$  and  $x \leftarrow y = (-y + x) \lor 0$  for any elements  $x, y \in G^+$ . Then  $(G^+; +, 0, \lor, \land, \rightharpoonup, \leftarrow)$  is a  $DR\ell$ -monoid.
- c) Let  $B = (B; \lor, \land)$  be a Brouwerian algebra, i.e. a dually relative pseudo-complemented lattice with the largest element (that means, for any  $a, b \in B$ , there exists the smallest element  $x \in B$  such that  $b \lor x \ge a$ ). Let us denote by a - b this relative pseudocomplement x of the element b with respect to the element a. The lattice  $(B; \lor, \land)$  has the smallest element 0 and if we set  $a+b = a \lor b$  and  $a \rightharpoonup b = a - b = a - b$ for every  $a, b \in B$ , then  $(B; +, 0, \lor, \land, \rightharpoonup, \frown)$  is a commutative  $DR\ell$ monoid.
- d) Let  $A = (A; \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0, 1)$  be a GMV-algebra (see, e.g., [16]), i.e. a non-commutative generalization of an MV-algebra. For any  $x, y \in A$ , put  $x \odot y = \sim (\neg x \oplus \neg y), x \rightharpoonup y = \neg y \odot x$  and  $x \leftarrow y = x \odot \sim y$ . If we denote  $x \lor y = x \oplus (y \odot \sim x)$  and  $x \land y = x \odot (y \oplus \sim x)$ , then  $(A; \lor, \land)$  is a bounded distributive lattice and the algebra  $(A; \oplus, 0, \lor, \land, \rightharpoonup, \leftarrow)$  is

a (bounded)  $DR\ell$ -monoid. If the addition  $\oplus$  is commutative, then the negations  $\neg$  and  $\sim$  coincide, A is an MV-algebra, and the induced  $DR\ell$ -monoid is commutative.

Let M be a DR $\ell$ -monoid and  $x \in M$ . Then the absolute value of an element x is  $|x| = x \lor (0 \rightharpoonup x)$ .

### Definitions.

- a) If M is a  $DR\ell$ -monoid and  $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq M$ , then I is called an *ideal of* M if the following conditions are satisfied:
  - (1)  $x, y \in I \implies x + y \in I;$
  - (2)  $x \in I, y \in M, |y| \le |x| \implies y \in I.$
- b) An ideal I is said to be normal if for each  $x,\,y\in M$  the equivalence:  $x\rightharpoonup y\in I \Longleftrightarrow x \leftarrow y\in I$

is satisfied.

**Remark.** By [8], normal ideals are just kernels of  $DR\ell$ -homomorphisms.

It is proved in [8] that the set  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  of all ideals of an arbitrary  $DR\ell$ monoid M, ordered by set inclusion, is an algebraic Brouwerian lattice in which infima coincide with set intersections. Further, by Lemma 21 of [8], if I and J are normal ideals of a  $DR\ell$ -monoid M, then their join  $I \vee J$  in  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  is the following set:

$$I \lor J = \{x \in M : |x| \le a + b, \text{ for some } a \in I^+, b \in J^+\}.$$

### Definitions.

a) Let M be a  $DR\ell$ -monoid and  $X \subseteq M$ . Then the set

$$X^{\perp} = \{ y \in \mathcal{M} : |x| \land |y| = 0, \text{ for each } x \in X \}$$

is called the polar of X in M.

b) A subset  $X \subseteq M$  is a *polar in* M if there exists  $Y \subseteq M$  such that  $X = Y^{\perp}$ .

By [7], every polar in M belongs to  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  and it is a polar of some ideal of M. The polar of any ideal  $I \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  is its pseudocomplement in the lattice  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  and therefore the set  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  of all polars in M is a complete Boolean algebra with respect to set inclusion.

# 3. Direct products and decompositions

In this section we will study properties of direct products of  $DR\ell$ -monoids, in particular with respect to possibilities of introduction of inner direct products.

**Lemma 1.** Let M be a DR $\ell$ -monoid. Then for any  $v, w \in M$  we have  $v \rightharpoonup w = 0$  if and only if  $v \leftarrow w = 0$ .

**Proof.** If  $v \to w = 0$  and  $x \in M$ , then  $x + v \ge w$  if and only if  $x \ge 0$ . Hence  $w = 0 + w \ge v$ . Then also  $w + 0 \ge v$ , thus  $0 \ge v - w$ . At the same time  $w \ge v$  implies  $v - w \ge 0$ ; therefore, v - w = 0.

Let B and C be  $DR\ell$ -monoids and let  $M = B \times C$  be their direct product. Denote  $\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{C} \subseteq M$  such that

$$\widetilde{B} = \{ (x_1, 0) : x_1 \in B \},$$
  
 $\widetilde{C} = \{ (0, x_2) : x_2 \in C \}.$ 

The following proposition seems to be well-known as a folklore:

**Proposition 2.** If B and C are DR*l*-monoids and  $M = B \times C$  then  $\overline{B}$  and  $\widetilde{C}$  are normal ideals of DR*l*-monoid M and it holds:

- a)  $\widetilde{B} + \widetilde{C} = M$ ,  $\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{C} = \{0\};$
- b) x + y = x' + y' implies x = x', y = y' for each  $x, x' \in \widetilde{B}$  and  $y, y' \in \widetilde{C}$ .

**Proposition 3.** If  $M = B \times C$ , then

$$\widetilde{B} = \widetilde{C}^{\perp}$$
 and  $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{B}^{\perp}$ 

**Proof.** For any elements  $x_1 \in B$  and  $y_2 \in C$  it is satisfied

$$|(x_1,0)| \wedge |(0,y_2)| \in \widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{C} = \{(0,0)\}.$$

Therefore,  $\widetilde{B} \subseteq \widetilde{C}^{\perp}$  and  $\widetilde{C} \subseteq \widetilde{B}^{\perp}$ .

Conversely, let  $(z_1, z_2) \in \left(\widetilde{B}^{\perp}\right)^+$ . Then

$$(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, 0) + (0, z_2)$$
 and  $(z_1, 0) = (z_1, 0) \land (z_1, z_2) = (0, 0).$ 

Thus  $\left(\widetilde{B}^{\perp}\right)^{+} \subseteq \widetilde{C}$ , therefore also  $\widetilde{B}^{\perp} \subseteq \widetilde{C}$ , it means  $\widetilde{B}^{\perp} = \widetilde{C}$ .

Analogously,  $\widetilde{C}^{\perp} \subseteq \widetilde{B}$ .

Now we will deal with possibility of introduction of an inner direct decomposition of  $DR\ell$ -monoids.

At first, we will prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Let M be a  $DR\ell$ -monoid and let  $I, J \in C(M)$  be such that I + J = M and  $I \cap J = \{0\}$ . If  $a \in M$  and  $a_1 \in I$ ,  $a_2 \in J$  are such that  $a = a_1 + a_2$ , then  $a \ge 0$  if and only if  $a_1 \ge 0$  and  $a_2 \ge 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $0 \le a = a_1 + a_2$ . Then  $0 \rightharpoonup a_2 \le (a_1 + a_2) \rightharpoonup a_2$ . Since, by Lemma 1.1.19 of [5], it holds  $(p+q) \rightharpoonup r \le p + (q \rightharpoonup r)$  for any  $p, q, r \in M$ , in our case we obtain  $(a_1+a_2) \rightharpoonup a_2 \le a_1 + (a_2 \rightharpoonup a_2) = a_1$ . So  $0 \rightharpoonup a_2 \le a_1$ . Therefore,  $0 \le (0 \rightharpoonup a_2) \lor 0 \le a_1 \lor 0 \in I$ . Hence  $(0 \rightharpoonup a_2) \lor 0 \in I \cap J$ , that means  $(0 \rightharpoonup a_2) \lor 0 = 0$ . Thus  $0 \rightharpoonup a_2 \le 0$ . By Lemma 1.1.16 of [5],  $p \ge q$  if and only if  $q \rightharpoonup p \le 0$ , for any  $p, q \in M$ . Thus we have  $a_2 \ge 0$ . Similarly,  $a_1 \ge 0$ .

The converse implication is obvious.

### Definitions.

- a) An element y of a  $DR\ell$ -monoid M is called singular if  $0 \rightarrow y = 0$  (or equivalently, by Lemma 1,  $0 \leftarrow y = 0$ ).
- b) An element  $x \in M$  is called *invertible* if there exists an inverse element for it in the monoid (M; +, 0).

Denote by  $\operatorname{Sing}(M)$  the set of all singular elements in M and by  $\operatorname{Inv}(M)$  the set of all invertible elements in M.

**Remarks.** Kovář proved in [5] (see Theorem 1.2.16 and Lemma 1.2.11) that  $\operatorname{Sing}(M) \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ ,  $\operatorname{Sing}(M) \subseteq M^+$  and 0 is the least element in  $\operatorname{Sing}(M)$ . Further, by Theorems 1.2.1 and 1.2.4 of [5],  $\operatorname{Inv}(M)$  is also an ideal of M which is, moreover, an  $\ell$ -group. The ideals  $\operatorname{Sing}(M)$  and  $\operatorname{Inv}(M)$  play an important role in the study of structure properties of  $DR\ell$ -monoids

68

ŧ

because, by Theorem 1.3.6 of [5], each  $DR\ell$ -monoid M is isomorphic to the direct product of the  $DR\ell$ -monoids Sing(M) and Inv(M).

At the same time, extreme case can arise, because if M is an  $\ell$ -group, then  $\operatorname{Sing}(M) = \{0\}$  and  $\operatorname{Inv}(M) = M$ . If M is a Brouwerian algebra, then, conversely,  $\operatorname{Sing}(M) = M$  and  $\operatorname{Inv}(M) = \{0\}$ . Consequently, the cardinality of  $\operatorname{Sing}(M)$  determines the degree of dissimilarity of properties of a given  $DR\ell$ -monoid from properties of an  $\ell$ -group.

**Proposition 5.** If M is a DR $\ell$ -monoid and  $a, b \in M$  are orthogonal (i.e.  $|a| \wedge |b| = 0$ ), then a + b = b + a.

**Proof.** a) Assume  $a, b \in M^+$  and  $a \wedge b = 0$ . By Lemmas 1.1.5 and 1.1.9 of [5], for any  $x, y, z \in M$  it holds  $x \rightharpoonup x = 0$  and  $x \rightharpoonup (y \wedge z) = (x \rightharpoonup y) \lor (x \rightharpoonup z)$ , hence in our case we have

$$(a \rightharpoonup (a \land b)) + b = ((a \rightharpoonup a) \lor (a \rightharpoonup b)) + b = (0 \lor (a \rightharpoonup b)) + b = a \lor b,$$

therefore  $a + b = a \lor b = b + a$ , in our case.

b) Now, let a, b be arbitrary elements in M such that  $|a| \wedge |b| = 0$ . As mentioned in the previous remark, by Theorem 1.3.6 of [5], M is the direct product of its ideals  $\operatorname{Sing}(M)$  and  $\operatorname{Inv}(M)$ . Hence there are  $a', b' \in \operatorname{Sing}(M)$  and  $x_a, x_b \in \operatorname{Inv}(M)$  such that  $a = a' + x_a, b = b' + x_b$ . By [5],  $|a| = a' + |x_a|, |b| = b' + |x_b|$ . Therefore, the assumption  $|a| \wedge |b| = 0$  implies  $a' \wedge b' = 0$  and  $|x_a| \wedge |x_b| = 0$ .

By the part a), we obtain a' + b' = b' + a'. As Inv(M) is an  $\ell$ -group, it holds that  $|x_a| \wedge |x_b| = 0$  entails  $x_a + x_b = x_b + x_a$ . Moreover, since M is isomorphic to the direct product of Sing(M) and Inv(M), elements in Sing(M) commute with those in Inv(M). Thus

$$a + b = (a' + x_a) + (b' + x_b) = a' + b' + x_a + x_b =$$
$$= b' + a' + x_b + x_a = (b' + x_b) + (a' + x_a) = b + a.$$

**Theorem 6.** Let M be a  $DR\ell$ -monoid and  $I, J \in C(M)$ . Let the following conditions be satisfied:

- 1.  $I + J = M, \quad I \cap J = \{0\};$
- 2.  $\forall x, x' \in I, y, y' \in J; x + y = x' + y' \implies x = x', y = y'.$

If  $\overline{M} = I \times J$  is the direct product of the DR $\ell$ -monoids I and J, then M and  $\overline{M}$  are isomorphic.

**Proof.** The conditions 1 and 2 obviously yield that for every element  $a \in M$  there exist unique elements  $a_1 \in I$  and  $a_2 \in J$  such that  $a = a_1 + a_2$ . Hence the mapping  $f : a \longmapsto (a_1, a_2)$  is a bijection of M onto  $\overline{M}$ .

Let us suppose  $x \in I$  and  $y \in J$ . Then  $|x| \in I$ ,  $|y| \in J$  and  $|x| \wedge |y| \in I \cap J = \{0\}$ . It follows that x and y are orthogonal. Therefore, x + y = y + x by Proposition 5. For this reason it holds for any elements  $a, b \in M$ 

$$a + b = (a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2) = (a_1 + b_1) + (a_2 + b_2),$$

therefore

$$f(a + b) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2) = f(a) + f(b).$$

Assume again  $a = a_1 + a_2$ ,  $b = b_1 + b_2 \in M$ ,  $a_1, b_1 \in I$ ,  $a_2, b_2 \in J$ and let  $a \leq b$ . By Lemma 1.1.14 of [5], there exists  $x \in M^+$  such that a + x = b. Let  $x = x_1 + x_2$ , where  $x_1 \in I$ ,  $x_2 \in J$ . By Lemma 4, it holds  $x_1 \in I^+$  and  $x_2 \in J^+$ . From this we have  $(a_1 + x_1) + (a_2 + x_2) = b_1 + b_2$ , i.e.  $a_1 + x_1 = b_1$ ,  $a_2 + x_2 = b_2$ , where  $0 \leq x_1$ ,  $0 \leq x_2$ . As  $0 \leq x_1$ , it holds  $a_1 \leq a_1 + x_1 = b_1$ . Similarly,  $a_2 \leq b_2$ .

Hence, for any  $a, b \in M$ ,  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $f(a) \leq f(b)$ .

We have proved that f is an isomorphism of lattice-ordered monoids  $(M; +, 0, \lor, \land)$  and  $(\overline{M}; +, 0, \lor, \land)$ . Since the values of the operations  $\rightarrow$  and  $\leftarrow$  are uniquely determined in both the  $DR\ell$ -monoids  $M = (M; +, 0, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftarrow)$  and  $(\overline{M}; +, 0, \lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftarrow)$  in the same manner by means of the operation + and the order relation  $\leq$ ,  $DR\ell$ -monoids M = I + J and  $\overline{M} = I \times J$  are also isomorphic.

### Remarks.

- a) Let  $\widetilde{I} = \{(x,0); x \in I\}$  and  $\widetilde{J} = \{(0,y); y \in J\}$ . Since  $I \cong \widetilde{I}$  and  $J \cong \widetilde{J}$ , the ideals I and J are (by Proposition 2 and Theorem 6) normal in M.
- b) By Theorem 6 and Proposition 3, the set of all direct factors of a  $DR\ell$ monoid M is a subset of the set of all polars in M. In particular, Sing(M) and Inv(M) are polars in M. It holds

$$(\operatorname{Sing}(M))^{\perp} = \operatorname{Inv}(M) \text{ and } (\operatorname{Inv}(M))^{\perp} = \operatorname{Sing}(M).$$

If M is a  $DR\ell$ -monoid and  $I \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ , let us denote by D(I) the join of ideals I and  $I^{\perp}$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{C}(M)$ .

**Proposition 7.** If M is a  $DR\ell$ -monoid,  $I \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  and I is a direct factor of  $DR\ell$ -monoid D(I), then  $I + I^{\perp} \in \mathcal{C}(D(I))$  and  $I + I^{\perp} = D(I) = I \vee I^{\perp}$  (in the sense of  $\mathcal{C}(M)$ ).

**Proof.** Since I and  $I^{\perp}$  are normal ideals of D(I), by Lemma 21 of [8], it holds that

$$I \vee I^{\perp} = \{ x \in D(I); \ |x| \le a+b, \text{ where } a \in I, b \in I^{\perp} \}.$$

in  $\mathcal{C}(D(I))$  (consequently, also in  $\mathcal{C}(M)$ ).

By Proposition 5,  $a+b = b+a = a \lor b$ . By Theorem 1.1.23 of [5], the underlying lattice  $(M; \lor, \land)$  is distributive, therefore the lattice  $(D(I)^+; \lor, \land)$  is also distributive. For this reason, from the inequality  $|x| \le a+b$ , where  $a \in I^+$  and  $b \in (I^{\perp})^+$ , it follows the existence of elements  $0 \le a_1 \le a$ ,  $0 \le b_1 \le b$  in D(I) such that  $|x| = a_1 \lor b_1 = a_1 + b_1$ . At the same time  $a_1 \in I$ ,  $b_1 \in I^{\perp}$  and hence  $I \lor I^{\perp} = I + I^{\perp}$ .

**Corollary 8.** If M is a  $DR\ell$ -monoid and  $I \in C(M)$ , then  $DR\ell$ -monoids  $I + I^{\perp}$  and  $I \times I^{\perp}$  are isomorphic if and only if x + y = x' + y' implies x = x' and y = y', for any  $x, x' \in I$  and  $y, y' \in I^{\perp}$ .

By Proposition 15 of [8], for any ideal I of a  $DR\ell$ -monoid M (and hence also for each polar in M) it holds that its polar  $I^{\perp}$  is the pseudocomplement of I in  $\mathcal{C}(M)$ . We can specify this result for the direct factors of M.

**Proposition 9.** If an ideal I of a DR $\ell$ -monoid M is a direct factor in M, then the polar  $I^{\perp}$  is the complement of I in the lattice C(M).

Now we can prove the following proposition:

**Proposition 10.** If M is an arbitrary  $DR\ell$ -monoid, then ideals I of M, for which there exists an ideal  $J \in C(M)$  such that I and J satisfy condition 1 from Theorem 6, form a Boolean lattice. This lattice is a sublattice of C(M).

**Proof.** Let I and J satisfy the given assumptions. Then from distributivity of the lattice  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  we obtain

J

$$(I \lor J) \cap \left(I^{\perp} \cap J^{\perp}\right) = \left(I \cap I^{\perp} \cap J^{\perp}\right) \lor \left(J \cap I^{\perp} \cap J^{\perp}\right) = \{0\},\$$
$$(I \lor J) \lor \left(I^{\perp} \cap J^{\perp}\right) = \left(I \lor J \lor I^{\perp}\right) \cap \left(I \lor J \lor J^{\perp}\right) = M,$$

hence  $I \vee J$  and  $I^{\perp} \cap J^{\perp}$  satisfy condition 1.

The remaining part of the assertion follows from Proposition 9.

Let us consider the following condition of uniqueness of decomposition for  $DR\ell$ -monoids M:

(UD) If 
$$I, J \in \mathcal{C}(M), I \cap J = \{0\}, x, x' \in I, y, y' \in$$
  
and  $x + y = x' + y'$ , then  $x = x'$  and  $y = y'$ .

**Theorem 11.** If  $DR\ell$ -monoid M satisfies condition (UD), then the direct factors in M form a Boolean sublattice of the lattice C(M).

**Proof.** If condition (UD) holds in M, then  $I \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  is a direct factor if and only if I and  $J = I^{\perp}$  satisfy condition 1. Therefore, the theorem follows from Proposition 10.

**Remark.** If G is an  $\ell$ -group, then  $DR\ell$ -monoids G and  $G^+$  satisfy condition (UD). Hence their direct factors form a Boolean lattice.

#### References

- [1] R.L.O. Cignoli, I.M.L. D'Ottaviano and D. Mundici, *Foundations of Many-valued Reasoning*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 2000.
- [2] A. Di Nola, G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, Pseudo BL-algebras: Part I, Multiple-Valued Logic 8 (2002), 673–714.
- [3] P. Hájek, Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 1998.
- [4] M.E. Hansen, Minimal prime ideals in autometrized algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 44 (119) (1994), 81–90.
- [5] T. Kovář, A general theory of dually residuated lattice-ordered monoids, Ph.D. Thesis, Palacký Univ., Olomouc 1996.

- [6] J. Kühr, Pseudo BL-algebras and DRl-monoids, Math. Bohemica 128 (2003), 199–208.
- J. Kühr, Prime ideals and polars in DRl-monoids and pseudo BL-algebras, Math. Slovaca 53 (2003), 233-246.
- [8] J. Kühr, Ideals of noncommutative DRl-monoids, Czechoslovak Math. J. (to appear).
- [9] J. Rachůnek, Prime ideals in autometrized algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 37 (112) (1987), 65–69.
- [10] J. Rachůnek, Polars in autometrized algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 39 (114) (1989), 681–685.
- [11] J. Rachůnek, Regular ideals in autometrized algebras, Math. Slovaca 40 (1990), 117–122.
- [12] J. Rachůnek, DRl-semigroups and MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 48 (123) (1998), 365–372.
- [13] J. Rachůnek, MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to a class of DRlsemigroups, Math. Bohemica 123 (1998), 437–441.
- [14] J. Rachůnek, A duality between algebras of basic logic and bounded representable DRℓ-monoids, Math. Bohemica 126 (2001), 561–569.
- [15] J. Rachůnek, Polars and annihilators in representable DRl-monoids and MV-algebras, Math. Slovaca 51 (2001), 1–12.
- [16] J. Rachůnek, A non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 52 (127) (2002), 255–273.
- [17] J. Rachůnek, Prime ideals and polars in generalized MV-algebras, Multiple-Valued Logic 8 (2002), 127–137.
- [18] J. Rachůnek, Prime spectra of non-commutative generalizations of MValgebras, Algebra Univers. 48 (2002), 151–169.
- [19] D. Šalounová, Lex-ideals of DRl-monoids and GMV-algebras, Math. Slovaca 53 (2003), 321–330.
- [20] K.L.N. Swamy, Dually residuated lattice-ordered semigroups I, Math. Ann. 159 (1965), 105–114.
- [21] K.L.N. Swamy, Dually residuated lattice-ordered semigroups II, Math. Ann. 160 (1965), 64–71.
- [22] K.L.N. Swamy, Dually residuated lattice-ordered semigroups III, Math. Ann. 167 (1966), 71–74.

- [23] K.L.N. Swamy and N.P. Rao, *Ideals in autometrized algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 24 (1977), 362–374.
- [24] K.L.N. Swamy, and B.V. Subba Rao, Isometries in dually residuated latticeordered semigroups, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 8 (1980), 369–379.

Received 16 September 2003 Revised 18 February 2004