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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the existence of nontrivial solutions for a nonlinear boundary value problem posed on the half-line. Our approach is based on Ekeland's variational principle.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)=\lambda q(x) f(x, u(x)), \quad x \in[0,+\infty)  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u(+\infty)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f:[0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $\lambda$ is a positive parameter.

Because of the importance of second order differential equations in physics, existence and multiplicity of solutions to boundary value problems on the halfline were studied by many authors. These results were obtained using upper and lower solution techniques, fixed point theory and topological degree theory; see for example, $[6,7,8]$ and $[11]$. There are only a few papers on boundary value problems on the half-line using variational methods; see [3] and [4].

We assume the following are satisfied:
$\left(H_{0}\right)$ there exist constants $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
|f(x, u)| \leq a|u|^{\theta}+b, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \forall u \in \mathbb{R},
$$

$\left(H_{1}\right) p:[0,+\infty) \longrightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is continuously differentiable and bounded, $q$ : $[0,+\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, with $q \in L^{1}[0,+\infty) \cap L^{\infty}[0,+\infty), \frac{q}{p^{\theta}}, \frac{q}{p^{2}} \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$, $M_{0}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x)\left(\int_{x}^{+\infty} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right) d x<+\infty$, and $M=\max \left(\|p\|_{L^{2}},\left\|p^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)<+\infty$.
$\left(H_{2}\right) f(x, 0)=0, \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, u)}{u}=+\infty$ and $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, u)}{u}=0$, uniformly for $x \in[0,+\infty)$.

Let the space $H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ be defined by

$$
H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)=\left\{u \text { measurable : } u, u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0,+\infty), u(0)=u(+\infty)=0\right\}
$$

endowed with its natural norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} u^{2}(x) d x+\int_{0}^{+\infty} u^{\prime 2}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

associated with the scalar product

$$
(u, v)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} u(x) v(x) d x+\int_{0}^{+\infty} u^{\prime}(x) v^{\prime}(x) d x
$$

Note that if $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$, then $u(0)=u(+\infty)=0$, (see [2], Corollary 8.9). Let

$$
C_{l, p}[0,+\infty)=\left\{u \in C([0,+\infty), \mathbb{R}): \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} p(x) u(x) \text { exists }\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\infty, p}=\sup _{x \in[0,+\infty)} p(x)|u(x)| .
$$

Consider the space

$$
L_{q}^{2}(0,+\infty)=\left\{u:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { measurable such that } \sqrt{q} u \in L^{2}(0,+\infty)\right\}
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}=\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) u^{2}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

We need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1.1 [10]. $H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ embeds continuously in $C_{l, p}[0,+\infty)$.
Lemma 1.2 [10]. The embedding $H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty) \hookrightarrow C_{l, p}[0,+\infty)$ is compact.
Lemma 1.3. $C_{l, p}[0,+\infty)$ is continuously embedded in $L_{q}^{2}(0,+\infty)$.
Proof. For all $u \in C_{l, p}[0,+\infty)$ we have

$$
\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}^{2}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) u^{2}(x) d x=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{q(x)}{p^{2}(x)} p^{2}(x) u^{2}(x) d x \leq c\|u\|_{\infty, p}^{2},
$$

where $c=\left\|\frac{q}{p^{2}}\right\|_{L^{1}}$. Then $\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}} \leq \sqrt{c}\|u\|_{\infty, p}$.
Corollary 1.1. $H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ is compactly embedded in $L_{q}^{2}(0,+\infty)$.
We consider the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the linear problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x) & =\lambda q(x) u(x), \quad x \geq 0  \tag{2}\\
u(0)=u(+\infty) & =0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

namely

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{2}}{\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}^{2}} .
$$

Lemma 1.4. $\lambda_{1}$ is positive and is achieved for some positive function $\varphi_{1} \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty) \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. We proceed as in [1]. For $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$, let $I_{1}(u)=\|u\|^{2}, I_{2}(u)=$ $\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}^{2}$, and define the quotient functional $Q: H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty) \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
Q(u)=\frac{I_{1}(u)}{I_{2}(u)} .
$$

Then

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1} \backslash\{0\}} Q(u) .
$$

Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$. From Corollary 1.1, we have that $\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{1}{\|p\|_{L^{\infty} M_{0}}}>0$. Indeed, for $x>0$, note

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x)|^{2} & =\left|\int_{x}^{+\infty} u^{\prime}(s) d s\right|^{2}=\left|\int_{x}^{+\infty} \sqrt{p(s)} u^{\prime}(s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{p(s)}} d s\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{x}^{+\infty} p(s) u^{\prime 2}(s) d s\right)\left(\int_{x}^{+\infty} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} p(s) u^{\prime 2}(s) d s\right)\left(\int_{x}^{+\infty} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
q(x) u(x)^{2} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} p(s) u^{\prime 2}(s) d s\right)\left(q(x) \int_{x}^{+\infty} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)
$$

which yields

$$
\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}^{2} \leq\|p\|_{L^{\infty}} M_{0}\|u\|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{2}}{\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{\|p\|_{L^{\infty} M_{0}}}>0
$$

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a minimizing sequence. Since $\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)$ is a minimizing sequence for $Q$, we may suppose that $u_{n}(x) \geq 0$, for $x \in[0,+\infty)$. Moreover the functional $Q$ satisfies $Q(\alpha u)=Q(u)$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. By setting $\widetilde{u_{n}}=\frac{u_{n}}{\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}}$, for every $n$, we can assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{q}^{2}}=1$. Note $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} Q\left(u_{n}\right)=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1} \backslash\{0\}} Q(u)=\lambda_{1}$, so the sequence $\left(Q\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$ is bounded. From this and since $Q\left(u_{n}\right)=\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}$, we deduce that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}$. ¿From Lemma 1.2 and the reflexivity and separability of $H_{0}^{1}$, there exists a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that, as $k \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \bar{u}, & \text { in } H_{0}^{1} \\ u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow \bar{u}, & \text { in } C_{l, p}\end{cases}
$$

so $u_{n_{k}}(x) \rightarrow \bar{u}(x)$, for all $x \in[0,+\infty)$. ¿From Lemma 1.3, $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)$ converges in norm to $\bar{u}$ in $L_{q}^{2}$. Thus $\|\bar{u}\|_{L_{q}^{2}}=1$ and $\bar{u}(x) \geq 0$, for $x \in[0,+\infty)$. Finally, the weak lower semi-continuity of the norm guarantees that

$$
Q(\bar{u})=I_{1}(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf _{k} I_{1}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)=\liminf _{k} Q\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)=\lambda_{1}
$$

so $\bar{u} \in H_{0}^{1} \backslash\{0\}$ and $Q(\bar{u})=\lambda_{1}$.

To prove our main result, we need the following variational principle.
Theorem 1.1 ([9]). (Weak Ekeland variational principle) Let (E,d) be a complete metric space and let $J: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a functional that is lower semi-continuous, bounded from below. Then, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in E$ with

$$
J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \inf _{E} J+\varepsilon
$$

and whenever $w \in E$ with $w \neq u_{\varepsilon}$, then

$$
J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<J(w)+\varepsilon d\left(u_{\varepsilon}, w\right) .
$$

## 2. Main Result

We denote by $F$ the primitive of $f$ with respect to its second variable, i.e., $F(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(x, s) d s$. The functional corresponding to (1) is
$J(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(u^{\prime 2}(x)+u^{2}(x)\right) d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) F(x, u(x)) d x, \quad u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$.
Proposition 2.1. Suppose that condition $\left(H_{0}\right)$ holds. Then the functional $J$ is continuously differentiable. The Fréchet derivative of J has the form

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x)+u(x) \varphi(x)\right) d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f(x, u(x)) \varphi(x) d x
$$

Proof. First we show $J$ is Gâteaux-differentiable. Indeed, for all $v \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$, and for any $t>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u+t v)-J(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\left|(u+t v)^{\prime}\right|^{2}+|u+t v|^{2}\right) d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) F(x, u+t v) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right) d x+\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) F(x, u) d x \\
& =\frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}|v|^{2} d x+t \int_{0}^{+\infty} u^{\prime} v^{\prime} d x \\
& +t \int_{0}^{+\infty} u v d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x)[F(x, u+t v)-F(x, u)] d x \\
& =\frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}|v|^{2} d x+t \int_{0}^{+\infty} u^{\prime} v^{\prime} d x \\
& +t \int_{0}^{+\infty} u v d x-t \lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f(x, u+t \theta v) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\theta<1$ (from the mean value theorem). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{J(u+t v)-J(u)}{t} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}|v|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{+\infty} u^{\prime} v^{\prime} d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{+\infty} u v d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f(x, u+t \theta v) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $t \rightarrow 0$. Note assumption $\left(H_{0}\right)$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem guarantees that

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+u v\right) d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f(x, u) v d x, \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)
$$

Next we show $J^{\prime}$ is continuous. Indeed, let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$, where $u_{n} \rightarrow u$, when $n \rightarrow+\infty$. It follows from $\left(H_{0}\right)$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(x)\left|f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right)\right| & \leq a q(x)|u(x)|^{\theta}+b q(x) \\
& \leq a \sup _{x \in[0,+\infty)}|(p u)(x)|^{\theta}\left|\frac{q(x)}{p^{\theta}(x)}\right|+b q(x) \\
& =a\|u\|_{\infty, p}^{\theta}\left|\frac{q(x)}{p^{\theta}(x)}\right|+b q(x) \in L^{1}(0,+\infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) d x=\int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f(x, u(x)) d x
$$

so, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle & =\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(u_{n}^{\prime} v^{\prime}+u_{n} v\right) d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v d x \\
& -\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+u v\right) d x+\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f(x, u) v d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[\left(u_{n}^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}+\left(u_{n}-u\right) v\right] d x \\
& -\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x)\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit in $\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle$ when $n \rightarrow+\infty$, using assumption $\left(H_{0}\right)$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $J^{\prime}(u)$, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Definition 2.1. We say that $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ is a weak solution of problem (1) if for any $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ we have

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(u^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x)+u(x) \varphi(x)\right) d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f(x, u(x)) \varphi(x) d x=0 .
$$

Remark 1. Since the nonlinear term $f$ is continuous, then a weak solution of problem (1) is a classical solution.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $\left(H_{0}\right),\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Then problem (1) possesses at least one solution $u_{\lambda}$ for every $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{1}{\|p\|_{L} \infty M_{0}}\right)$.

Proof. It follows from $\left(H_{2}\right)$ that $\exists \delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
|F(x, u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} u^{2}, \quad \text { for all }|u|>\delta_{1} ;
$$

and from $\left(H_{0}\right)$ that $\exists M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
|F(x, u)| \leq M_{1}, \text { for all } u \in\left[-\delta_{1}, \delta_{1}\right] \text { and } x \in(0,+\infty)
$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x, u)| \leq M_{1}+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}, \text { for all } u \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } x \in[0,+\infty) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (3) together with $\left(H_{1}\right)$ (also note the continuous embedding of $H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ in $L_{q}^{2}(0,+\infty)$ (i.e., note $\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}^{2} \leq\|p\|_{L^{\infty}} M_{0}\|u\|^{2}$ for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$, see Lemma 1.4)) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) F(x, u(x)) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x)\left(M_{1}+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(x)\right) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda M_{1} \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) d x-\frac{\lambda}{2}\|u\|_{L_{q}^{2}}^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\lambda\|p\|_{L^{\infty}} M_{0}\right)\|u\|^{2}-\lambda M_{1}\|q\|_{L^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there exist $\rho>\left(\frac{2 \lambda M_{1}\|q\|_{L_{1}}}{1-\lambda\|p\|_{L} \infty M_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}>0$ with

$$
J(u)>0 \quad \text { if }\|u\|=\rho, \quad \text { and then } \inf _{u \in \partial \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}} J(u)>0
$$

and $J(u) \geq-C_{2}$ if $\|u\| \leq \rho$, where $C_{2}=\lambda M_{1}\|q\|_{L^{1}}$. Then the functional $J$ is bounded from below on $\overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$. Let $\varphi_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ be defined as in Lemma 1.4. Fix $\lambda$ in $\left(0, \frac{1}{\|p\|_{L \infty} M_{0}}\right)$, and let $M_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda}$. From $\left(H_{2}\right)$, there exists $\delta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, u) \geq M_{2}|u|^{2}, \quad \text { for all }-\delta_{2}<u<\delta_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\varphi_{1}$ is continuous on $[0,+\infty)$ (note $\varphi_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ ) and $\varphi_{1}(0)=$ $\varphi_{1}(+\infty)=0$ so $\sup _{x \in[0,+\infty)} \varphi_{1}(x) \leq c^{\star}$ for some $c^{\star}>0$. Hence for every $0<t<$ $\frac{\delta_{2}}{c^{\star}}$, and (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) & =\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) F\left(x, t \varphi_{1}(x)\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}-\lambda M_{2} t^{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) \varphi_{1}^{2}(x) d x \\
& =\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda M_{2} t^{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}=\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}-t^{2}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}=-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, when $t \rightarrow 0$, we have $J\left(t \varphi_{1}\right)<0$. Then we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{u \in \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}} J(u)<0<\inf _{u \in \partial \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}} J(u) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Ekeland's variational principle (Theorem 1.1) in the complete metric space $\overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$, there is a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$ such that

$$
J\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \inf _{u \in \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}} J(u)+\frac{1}{n}, \quad J\left(u_{n}\right) \leq J(w)+\frac{1}{n}\left\|w-u_{n}\right\|, \quad \forall w \in \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}
$$

From (5), $u_{n} \notin \partial \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$. Thus, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, u_{n} \in B_{\rho}(0)$ and if we put, $w=u_{n}+t h$, for all $t>0, \quad h \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $w=u_{n}+t h$ belongs to the open ball $B_{\rho}(0)$ when $t \rightarrow 0$, and then $J\left(u_{n}\right) \leq J\left(u_{n}+t h\right)+\frac{1}{n} t\|h\|$, so

$$
\frac{J\left(u_{n}\right)-J\left(u_{n}+t h\right)}{t} \leq \frac{1}{n}\|h\|
$$

and we have

$$
-\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), h\right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{n}\|h\|, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

If we put $w=u_{n}-t h$, then we obtain $\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), h\right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{n}\|h\|, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Thus

$$
\sup _{\|h\| \leq 1}\left|\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), h\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \text {, for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left\|J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0, \text { and } J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

where $c_{\lambda}$ stands for the infimum of $J(u)$ on $\overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded and $\overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$ is a closed convex set, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$, and there exists $u_{\lambda} \in \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \subset H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda} \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty) ; \\
u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u_{\lambda}(x) \text { for } x \text { in }(0,+\infty) ; \\
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda} \text { strongly in } C_{l, p}[0,+\infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consequently, passing to the limit in $\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle$, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, we have using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}(x)+u_{\lambda}(x) \varphi(x)\right) d x-\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x) f\left(x, u_{\lambda}(x)\right) \varphi(x) d x=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$. That is, $\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), \varphi\right\rangle=0$ for all $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(0,+\infty)$. Thus $u_{\lambda}$ is a critical point of the functional $J$, which is a classical solution of our problem.

## 3. Example

Let $f(x, u)=u^{\frac{1}{5}}, q(x)=e^{-k x}, p(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{3} k x}$, where $k>0$ is a constant. Then we get

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}:|f(x, u)|=\left|u^{\frac{1}{5}}\right| \leq|u|^{\theta}+1, \quad \text { where } \quad \theta \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)
$$

Also

$$
\left(\frac{q}{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)(x)=e^{-\frac{5}{6} k x},\left(\frac{q}{p^{2}}\right)(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{3} k x} \in L^{1}, \text { and } q \in L^{1}[0,+\infty) \cap L^{\infty}[0,+\infty) .
$$

Note conditions $\left(H_{0}\right),\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Theorem 2.1 can now be applied.
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