

BOUNDEDNESS OF SET-VALUED STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS

MICHAŁ KISIELEWICZ

Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics
University of Zielona Góra
Prof. Z. Szafrana 4a, 65–516 Zielona Góra, Poland
e-mail: M.Kisielewicz@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Abstract

The paper deals with integrably boundedness of Itô set-valued stochastic integrals defined by E.J. Jung and J.H. Kim in the paper [4], where has not been proved that this integral is integrably bounded. The problem of integrably boundedness of the above set-valued stochastic integrals has been considered in the paper [7] and the monograph [8], but the problem has not been solved there. The first positive results dealing with this problem due to M. Michta, who showed (see [11]) that there are bounded set-valued \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative mappings having unbounded Itô set-valued stochastic integrals defined by E.J. Jung and J.H. Kim. The present paper contains some new conditions implying unboundedness of the above type set-valued stochastic integrals.

Keywords: set-valued mapping, Itô set-valued integral, set-valued stochastic process, integrably boundedness of set-valued integral.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60H05, 28B20, 47H04.

1. INTRODUCTION

The paper is devoted to the integrably boundedness problem of Itô set-valued stochastic integrals defined by E.J. Jung and J.H. Kim in the paper [4] as some set-valued random variables. The first Itô set-valued stochastic integrals, defined as subsets of the spaces $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{X})$, have been considered by F. Hiai and M. Kisielewicz (see [1, 5, 6]), where \mathcal{X} is a Hilbert space. Unfortunately, such defined integrals do not admit their representations by set-valued random variables with values in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathcal{X} , because they are not decomposable subsets

of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{X})$, respectively. J.Jung and J.H. Kim (see [4]) defined the Itô set-valued stochastic integral as a set-valued random variable determined by a closed decomposable hull of the set-valued stochastic functional integral defined in [5]. Unfortunately, the proof of the result dealing with integrably boundedness of such integrals, presented in the paper [4] is not correct. Later on, integrably boundedness of such defined set-valued stochastic integrals has been considered in the paper [7] and the monograph [9]. However the problem has not been solved there. The first positive results dealing with this problem due to M. Michta, who showed in [11], that there are bounded set-valued \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative mappings having unbounded Itô set-valued stochastic integrals defined by E.J. Jung and J.H. Kim. The present paper contains some new conditions implying unboundedness of the above type set-valued stochastic integrals.

In what follows we shall assume that we have given a filtered probability space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ with a filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ satisfying the usual conditions and such that there are real stochastically independent \mathbb{F} -Brownian motions $B^j = (B_t^j)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, defined on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$. By $\|\cdot\|$ and $|\cdot|$ we denote the norms of the spaces $\mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ and $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively, where $\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes the σ -algebra of all \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative subsets of $[0, T] \times \Omega$. For a given set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ by $\text{dec}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \Lambda$ and $\overline{\text{dec}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \Lambda}$ we denote a decomposable and a closed decomposable hull of Λ , respectively, i.e., the smallest decomposable and closed decomposable set containing Λ . Let us recall that a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ is said to be decomposable if for every $u, v \in \Lambda$ and $D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ one has $\mathbb{1}_D u + \mathbb{1}_{D^c} v \in \Lambda$, where $D^c = ([0, T] \times \Omega) \setminus D$. In a similar way for a given set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ decomposable sets $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda$ and $\overline{\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda}$ are defined.

The Fréchet-Nikodym metric space $(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{F}}, \lambda)$ corresponding to a measure space $([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mu)$, with $\mu = dt \times P$, is defined by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{F}} = \{[C] : C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\}$, where $[C] = \{D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}} : \mu(C \Delta D) = 0\}$ for $C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\lambda([A], [B]) = \mu(A \Delta B)$ for $A, B \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$, $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$. It is a complete metric space homeomorphic with the set $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} \subset \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} = \{\mathbb{1}_D \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}) : D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\}$. Indeed, for every $A, B \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ we have $\lambda([A], [B]) = \mu(A \Delta B) = \int \int_{A \Delta B} dP dt = E \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{A \Delta B} dt = E \int_0^T |\mathbb{1}_A - \mathbb{1}_B|^2 dt = \|\mathbb{1}_A - \mathbb{1}_B\|^2$.

Let \mathcal{J}_T^j denotes the isometry on the space $\mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $\mathcal{J}_T^j(g) = \int_0^T g_t dB_t^j$. It can be proved that $\mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is not an integrably bounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ (see [11], Corollary 3.14). It implies (see [11], Theorem 2.2) that $\overline{\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})}$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$, where the closure is taken with respect to the norm topology of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. Hence it follows that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ and $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\text{co } \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ are unbounded subsets of this space, because they contain an unbounded subset $\mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$. Therefore, $\overline{\text{co}}[\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})]$ is unbounded, which by

virtue of ([9], Proposition 3.1) implies that $\overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\text{co} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. Finally let us observe that for every $\alpha > 0$ a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\alpha \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, because $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\alpha \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) = \alpha \cdot \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$. Hence the following basic results of the paper follows.

Lemma 1. *If $\alpha > 0$ and $h \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R})$ are such that $h_t(\omega) \geq \alpha$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ then the set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.*

Proof. Let $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ be fixed and let us observe that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} = \text{dec}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \{0, 1\}$. Hence it follows that $\overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} = \overline{\text{co}}[\text{dec}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \{0, 1\}] = \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}}[\text{co} \{0, 1\}] = \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}}[\overline{\text{co}} \{0, 1\}]$. Therefore, $\alpha \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} = \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}}[\overline{\text{co}} \{0, \alpha\}]$ and $h \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} = \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}}[\overline{\text{co}} \{0, h\}]$. But $\overline{\text{co}} \{0, \alpha\} = [0, \alpha] \subset [0, h_t(\omega)] = \overline{\text{co}} \{0, h_t(\omega)\}$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$. Then $\alpha \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} = \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}}[\overline{\text{co}} \{0, \alpha\}] \subset \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}}[\overline{\text{co}} \{0, h\}] = h \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Therefore, $\overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\alpha \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) \subset \overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$, which implies $\overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\alpha \cdot \text{co} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) \subset \overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h \cdot \text{co} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$. But $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\alpha \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, a set $\overline{\text{co}}[\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\alpha \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})]$ is unbounded, which implies that $\overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h \cdot \text{co} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is unbounded, because by ([8], Remark 3.6 of Chap. 2) we have $\overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h \cdot \text{co} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) = \overline{\text{co}}[\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})]$. Finally, unboundedness of $\overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h \cdot \text{co} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ implies that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is unbounded. ■

Lemma 2. *Let $C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ be a set of positive measure $\mu = dt \times P$ such that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. If $\alpha > 0$ and $h \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R})$ are such that $\mathbb{1}_C h \geq \mathbb{1}_C \alpha$ and $\mathbb{1}_{C^c} h = 0$ then $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$, where $C^c = ([0, T] \times \Omega) \setminus C$.*

Proof. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 1 we get $\overline{\text{co}} \{0, \alpha\} = [0, \alpha] \subset [0, h_t(\omega)] = \overline{\text{co}} \{0, h_t(\omega)\}$ for every $(t, \omega) \in C$. Then $\overline{\text{co}} \{0, \mathbb{1}_C \alpha\} \subset \overline{\text{co}} \{0, \mathbb{1}_C h\}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{1}_C \alpha \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{1}_C \alpha \cdot \overline{\text{co}}[\text{dec}_{\Sigma} \{0, 1\}] = \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma}[\overline{\text{co}} \{0, \mathbb{1}_C \alpha\}] \subset \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma}[\overline{\text{co}} \{0, \mathbb{1}_C h\}] = \mathbb{1}_C h \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}$. But $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C \alpha \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) = \alpha \cdot \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ and $\mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is unbounded. Then $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C h \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ contains an unbounded subset $\mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C \alpha \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$. Thus $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C h \cdot \overline{\text{co}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. Hence, similarly as in the proof of Lemma 1, it follows that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is unbounded. ■

Let us recall (see [4]) that for an m -dimensional \mathbb{F} -Brownian motion $B = (B^1, \dots, B^m)$ defined on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and a given \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative set-valued process $\Phi = (\Phi_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ defined on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with values in the space $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ of all nonempty closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^t \Phi_{\tau} dB_{\tau}$ is defined on $[0, t] \subset [0, T]$ to be a set-valued random variable such that a set $S_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\int_0^t \Phi_{\tau} dB_{\tau})$ of all \mathcal{F}_t -measurable selectors of $\int_0^t \Phi_{\tau} dB_{\tau}$ covers with a closed decomposable hull $\overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi))$ of the set $\mathcal{J}_t(S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi))$, where $S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi)$ denotes the set of all square

integrable \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative selectors of Φ and $\mathcal{J}_t(f)(\omega) = \int_0^t f_\tau(\omega) dB_\tau$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $f \in S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi)$. A set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^t \Phi_\tau dB_\tau$ is said to be integrably bounded if there exists a square integrably bounded random variable $m : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\rho(\int_0^t \Phi_\tau dB_\tau, \{0\}) \leq m$ a.s., where ρ is the Hausdorff metric defined on the space $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of all nonempty closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^d . Immediately from ([2], Theorem 3.2) it follows that $\int_0^t \Phi_\tau dB_\tau$ is integrably bounded if and only if $S_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\int_0^t \Phi_\tau dB_\tau)$ is a bounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, which by virtue of the above definition of $\int_0^t \Phi_\tau dB_\tau$ is equivalent to boundedness of the set $\overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_t(S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi))$. But $\sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \overline{\text{dec}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_t(S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi))\} = \sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_t(S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi))\}$. Therefore, $\int_0^t \Phi_\tau dB_\tau$ is integrably bounded if and only if $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_t(S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi))$ is a bounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

The idea of the proof of the main result of the paper is based on the following properties of measurable and integrably bounded multifunctions. For a given square integrably bounded \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative set-valued multifunction $G : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ such that $G_t(\omega) = \text{cl}\{g_t^n(\omega) : n \geq 1\}$ for $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ we have that $S_{\mathbb{F}}(G) = \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \{g^n : n \geq 1\}$ (see [8], Remark 3.6 of Chap. 2). Hence, it follows that for every arbitrarily taken $f, g \in \{g^n : n \geq 1\}$ and a multifunction $\Phi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ defined by $\Phi_t(\omega) = \{f_t(\omega), g_t(\omega)\}$ for $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$, we have $S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi) = \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \{f, g\} \subset \overline{\text{dec}}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \{g^n : n \geq 1\} = S_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$. Then $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi)) \subset \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(S_{\mathbb{F}}(G))$. Therefore, for the proof that $\sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(S_{\mathbb{F}}(G))\} = \infty$ it is enough only to verify that there are $f, g \in \{g^n : n \geq 1\}$ such that $\sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}(S_{\mathbb{F}}(\Phi))\} = \infty$.

2. BOUNDEDNESS OF $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ FOR MATRIX-VALUED PROCESSES

We shall consider here properties of the set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}$ defined above and $h \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$, where $\mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is defined by vector valued Itô integral of $d \times m$ -matrix processes with respect to an m -dimensional \mathbb{F} -Brownian motion $B = (B^1, \dots, B^m)$. Let us recall that for $h \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ and $h^{ij} \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $h_t(\omega) = (h_t^{ij}(\omega))_{d \times m}$ for every $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$, the norm $\|h\|$ is defined by $\|h\|^2 = E \int_0^T |h_t|^2 dt$, where $|h_t|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m |h_t^{ij}|^2$. By $\Pi(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ we denote the family of all finite \mathcal{F} -measurable partitions of Ω .

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *For every $h \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i \cdot j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ for every $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$, where $h^{ij} \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times$*

$\Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}$) are such that $h_t(\omega) = (h_t^{ij}(\omega))_{d \times m}$ for every $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let us observe that for every $D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ one has

$$\mathcal{J}_T(\mathbb{1}_D h) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_D h^{1,j}), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_D h^{d,j}) \right)^*,$$

where $\mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_D h^{i,j}) = \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_D h_t^{i,j} dB_t^j$ for every $D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$, and u^* denotes the transpose of a matrix $u \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})\} = \sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}}\{\mathcal{J}_T(\mathbb{1}_D h) : D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\}\} \\ & = \sup_{N \geq 1} \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d E \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{A_k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_{D_k} h^{i,j}) \right|^2 : (A_k)_{k=1}^N \in \Pi(\Omega, \mathcal{F}), (D_k)_{k=1}^N \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that if $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ for every $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ then there is a positive random variable $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $|\mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_{D_k} h^{i,j})| \leq \varphi$ a.s. for $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$, $N \geq 1$, every $k = 1, \dots, N$ and every family $(D_k)_{k=1}^N \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$. Thus $\sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})\} \leq m^2 d \|\varphi\|^2 < \infty$.

Suppose a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and there is $I \times J \subset \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$, such that a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is unbounded for every $(i, j) \in I \times J$ and is bounded for $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus (I \times J)$. But boundedness of $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ implies that $\sum_{j=1}^m \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is bounded for every $i = 1, \dots, d$, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is bounded. Indeed, suppose $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is bounded and there is $\bar{i} \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that we have $\sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}}\{\sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_D h^{\bar{i},j}) : D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\}\} = \infty$. But

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_D h^{\bar{i},j}) : D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}} \right\} \right\} \\ & = \sup_{N \geq 1} \sup \left\{ E \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{A_k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_{D_k} h^{\bar{i},j}) \right|^2 : (A_k)_{k=1}^N \in \Pi(\Omega, \mathcal{F}), (D_k)_{k=1}^N \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}} \right\} \\ & \leq \sup_{N \geq 1} \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d E \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{A_k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_{D_k} h^{i,j}) \right|^2 : (A_k)_{k=1}^N \in \Pi(\Omega, \mathcal{F}), (D_k)_{k=1}^N \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}} \right\} \\ & = \sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\sup\{E|u|^2 : u \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})\} = \infty$. A contradiction. Thus $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}}\{\sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_D h^{i,j}) : D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\} = \sum_{j=1}^m \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is bounded for every $i =$

$1, \dots, d$, which implies that a set $\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is bounded. Let us observe now that

$$(1) \quad \left\| \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) \right\|^2 \\ \leq 2 \left\| \sum_{(i,j) \in (I \times J)^\sim} \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) \right\|^2 + 2 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) \right\|^2,$$

where $(I \times J)^\sim = \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus (I \times J)$ and $\|\Lambda\| = \sup\{\|u\| : u \in \Lambda\}$ for $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. Indeed, for every $u \in \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ and every $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ there is $u_{i,j} \in \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ such that $u = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m u_{i,j}$. But $\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m u_{i,j} = \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} u_{i,j} + \sum_{(i,j) \in (I \times J)^\sim} u_{i,j}$. Therefore, $\sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} u_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m u_{i,j} - \sum_{(i,j) \in (I \times J)^\sim} u_{i,j}$, which implies that

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} u_{i,j} \in \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) + (-1) \cdot \sum_{(i,j) \in (I \times J)^\sim} \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}).$$

Then for every $v = \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} u_{i,j} \in \sum_{(i,j) \in (I \times J)} \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ one has

$$\|v\|^2 \leq 2 \sup \left\{ \|u\|^2 : u \in \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) \right\} \\ + 2 \sup \left\{ \|u\|^2 : u \in (-1) \cdot \sum_{(i,j) \in (I \times J)^\sim} \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) \right\}.$$

But $\sup\{\|u\|^2 : u \in (-1) \cdot \sum_{(i,j) \in (I \times J)^\sim} \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})\} = \sup\{\|u\|^2 : u \in \sum_{(i,j) \in (I \times J)^\sim} \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})\}$. Therefore, from the above inequality, the inequality (1) follows, which implies that $\sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is a bounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. A contradiction. Then boundedness of a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ implies that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(h^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ for every $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. \blacksquare

Corollary 1. *For every matrix-valued process $h = (h^{ij})_{d \times m} \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ if there exist $(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ and a set $C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ of positive measure $\mu = dt \times P$ such that $|(h_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega))| > 0$ for $(t, \omega) \in C$, $h_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega) = 0$ for $(t, \omega) \in C^\sim$ and $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^{\bar{j}}(\mathbb{1}_C h^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$, where $C^\sim = [0, T] \times \Omega \setminus C$.*

Proof. Immediately from Lemma 3 it follows that a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(h \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is unbounded if there exists a pair $(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^{\bar{j}}(h^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. It is clear that a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^{\bar{j}}(\mathbb{1}_D h^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) = \{0\}$ for every $D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $|(h_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega))| = 0$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in D$ and $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^{\bar{j}}(h^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) = \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^{\bar{j}}(\mathbb{1}_{D^{\sim}} h^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$, where $D^{\sim} = [0, T] \times \Omega \setminus D$. Then by unboundedness of a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^{\bar{j}}(h^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ there is a set $C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ of positive measure $\mu = dt \times P$ such that $|(h_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega))| > 0$ for $(t, \omega) \in C$, $h_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega) = 0$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in C^{\sim}$ and $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^{\bar{j}}(\mathbb{1}_C h^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. ■

3. UNBOUNDEDNESS OF ITÔ SET-VALUED STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS

Let $B = (B^1, \dots, B^m)$ be an m -dimensional \mathbb{F} -Brownian motion defined on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $G = (G_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative square integrably bounded set-valued stochastic process with values in the space $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ of all nonempty closed subsets of the space $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$. We will show that if G possesses an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation $(g^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that there are $\alpha > 0$ and $f, g \in \{g^n : n \geq 1\}$ such that there exist $(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ and real-valued processes $f^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ and $g^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, elements of matrix-valued processes f and g , respectively such that $|f_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega) - g_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega)| \geq \alpha$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ then a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T G_t dB_t$ is not integrably bounded.

We begin with the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. *Let $G = (G_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative square integrably bounded set-valued stochastic process with values in the space $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ possessing an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation $(g^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that there are $\alpha > 0$ and $f, g \in \{g^n : n \geq 1\}$ such that there exist $(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ and real-valued processes $f^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ and $g^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, elements of matrix-valued processes f and g , respectively and such that $|f_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega) - g_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega)| \geq \alpha$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$. There are an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation $(\tilde{g}^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of G and matrix-valued processes $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \{\tilde{g}^n : n \geq 1\}$ possessing elements $\tilde{f}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = (\tilde{f}_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ and $\tilde{g}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = (\tilde{g}_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, respectively and such that $\tilde{f}_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega) - \tilde{g}_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega) \geq \alpha$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$.*

Proof. Let a set-valued process G , a Castaing's representation $(g^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of G , and $f, g \in \{g^n : n \geq 1\}$ be such as above. For simplicity assume that $|f_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega) - g_t^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\omega)| \geq \alpha$ is satisfied for every $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ and let us denote processes $f^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ and $g^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ by ϕ, ψ , respectively. We have $\phi_t(\omega) \neq \psi_t(\omega)$ for every $(t, \omega) \in$

$[0, T] \times \Omega$. Let $A = \{(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega : \phi_t(\omega) > \psi_t(\omega)\}$. If $A = [0, T] \times \Omega$ then $f_t^{i\bar{j}}(\omega) - g_t^{i\bar{j}}(\omega) = |f_t^{i\bar{j}}(\omega) - g_t^{i\bar{j}}(\omega)| \geq \alpha$ for every $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$.

Suppose $0 < \mu(A^\sim) < T$, where $A^\sim = ([0, T] \times \Omega) \setminus A$, let $\tilde{\phi} = \mathbb{I}_A \phi + \mathbb{I}_{A^\sim} \psi$ and $\tilde{\psi} = \mathbb{I}_A \psi + \mathbb{I}_{A^\sim} \phi$. It is clear that $\{\phi_t(\omega), \psi_t(\omega)\} = \{\tilde{\phi}_t(\omega), \tilde{\psi}_t(\omega)\}$ for every $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$. Furthermore, for every $(t, \omega) \in A$ we have $\tilde{\phi}_t(\omega) - \tilde{\psi}_t(\omega) = \phi_t(\omega) - \psi_t(\omega) = |\phi_t(\omega) - \psi_t(\omega)| \geq \alpha$. Similarly for $(t, \omega) \in A^\sim$ we get $\tilde{\phi}_t(\omega) - \tilde{\psi}_t(\omega) = \psi_t(\omega) - \phi_t(\omega) = -(\phi_t(\omega) - \psi_t(\omega)) = |\phi_t(\omega) - \psi_t(\omega)| \geq \alpha$. Taking $\tilde{f}^{i\bar{j}} = \tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}} = \tilde{\psi}$ we obtain $\tilde{f}_t^{i\bar{j}}(\omega) - \tilde{g}_t^{i\bar{j}}(\omega) \geq \alpha$ for every $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$. To get a required new Castaing's representation of G we can change in the given above Castaing's representation $(g^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ its elements f and g by new matrix-valued functions \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} obtained from f and g by changing in matrices f and g their elements $f^{i\bar{j}}$ and $g^{i\bar{j}}$ by $\tilde{f}^{i\bar{j}}$ and $\tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}}$, respectively. ■

Lemma 5. *For every $f, g \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T F_t dB_t$ of a multiprocess F defined by $F_t(\omega) = \{f_t(\omega), g_t(\omega)\}$ for $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$, is square integrably bounded if and only if $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T[(f - g) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}]$ is a bounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. Let us observe that a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T F_t dB_t$ is square integrably bounded if and only if a set $\overline{\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(S_{\mathbb{F}}(F))}$ is a bounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$. By ([8], Remark 3.6 of Chap. 2) a set $S_{\mathbb{F}}(F)$ is defined by $S_{\mathbb{F}}(F) = \overline{\text{dec}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \{f, g\}}$. But $\text{dec}_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}} \{f, g\} = \{\mathbb{I}_D(f - g) + g : D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\} = \{\mathbb{I}_D(f - g) : D \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\} + g = (f - g) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}} + g$. Then $\overline{\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(S_{\mathbb{F}}(F))} = \overline{\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T[(f - g) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}] + \mathcal{J}_T(g)}$. Thus $\overline{\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(S_{\mathbb{F}}(F))}$ is bounded if and only if $\overline{\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T[(f - g) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}]}$ is a bounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. Boundedness of $\overline{\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T[(f - g) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}]}$ is equivalent to boundedness of $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T[(f - g) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}]$. Then a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T F_t dB_t$ is square integrably bounded if and only if $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T[(f - g) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}]$ is a bounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$. ■

Now we prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 6. *Let $G = (G_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative square integrably bounded set-valued stochastic process with values in the space $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ possessing an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation $(g^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that there are $\alpha > 0$ and $f, g \in \{g^n : n \geq 1\}$ such that there are $(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \in \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ and real-valued processes $f^{i\bar{j}}, g^{i\bar{j}}$, elements of matrix-valued processes f and g , respectively and such that $|f_t^{i\bar{j}}(\omega) - g_t^{i\bar{j}}(\omega)| \geq \alpha$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$. A set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T G_t dB_t$ is not integrably bounded.*

Proof. Let $G = (G_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ and \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation $(g^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of G possess properties described above. By virtue of Lemma 4 there

is an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation $(\tilde{g}^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of G containing processes $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \{\tilde{g}^n : n \geq 1\}$ and such that there exist real-valued stochastic processes $\tilde{f}^{i,j} = (\tilde{f}_t^{i,j})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, $\tilde{g}^{i,j} = (\tilde{g}_t^{i,j})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, elements of matrix-valued processes \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} , respectively and such that $\tilde{f}_t^{i,j}(\omega) - \tilde{g}_t^{i,j}(\omega) \geq \alpha$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in [0, T]$. By virtue of Lemma 1 it follows that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}^j[(\tilde{f}^{i,j} - \tilde{g}^{i,j}) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}]$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. Hence, by Lemma 3 it follows that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T[(\tilde{f} - \tilde{g}) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}]$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$, which by Lemma 5 implies that a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T F_t dB_t$ of a multiprocess F defined by $F_t(\omega) = \{f_t(\omega), g_t(\omega)\}$ for every $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ is not integrably bounded. But $\int_0^T F_t dB_t \subset \int_0^T G_t dB_t$ a.s. Therefore, a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T G_t dB_t$ is not integrably bounded. \blacksquare

It is natural to expect that a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T G_t dB_t$ is integrably bounded if and only if G possesses an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation containing only one element. Such result can be obtained if the following hypothesis would be satisfied.

Hypothesis B. For every set $C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ of positive measure $\mu = dt \times P$ a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T(\mathbb{1}_C \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$.

To obtain such result we begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 7. Let $h \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R})$ be a non-negative process such that there exists a set $C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ of positive measure $\mu = dt \times P$ such that $h_t(\omega) > 0$ for $(t, \omega) \in C$ and $h_t(\omega) = 0$ for $(t, \omega) \in C^c$, where $C^c = ([0, T] \times \Omega) \setminus C$. For every $\varepsilon \in (0, \mu(C))$ there is an $\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ -measurable set $C_\varepsilon \subset C$ of positive measure μ and a real number $\alpha_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $h_t(\omega) \geq \alpha_\varepsilon$ for $(t, \omega) \in C_\varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ be a set of positive measure $\mu = dt \times P$ such that $h_t(\omega) > 0$ for $(t, \omega) \in C$ and $h_t(\omega) = 0$ for $(t, \omega) \in C^c$. We have $C = \{(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega : h_t(\omega) > 0\}$. Let $C_m = \{(t, \omega) \in C : h_t(\omega) \geq m\}$ for every $m > 0$. We have $C = \bigcup_{m>0} C_m$ and $C_m \subset C_n$ for $m \geq n$. Put $\tilde{C}_k = C_{m_k}$, where $m_k = 1/k$. We have $C = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \tilde{C}_k$ and $\tilde{C}_k \subset \tilde{C}_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$. Therefore, $\mu(C) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\tilde{C}_k)$. Then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \mu(C))$ there is k_ε such that $\mu(C) - \mu(\tilde{C}_{k_\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$. Thus $\mu(\tilde{C}_{k_\varepsilon}) > \mu(C) - \varepsilon > 0$. Let $C_\varepsilon = \tilde{C}_{k_\varepsilon}$ and $\alpha_\varepsilon = 1/k_\varepsilon$. By the definition of a set $\tilde{C}_{k_\varepsilon}$ we get $1/k_\varepsilon \leq h_t(\omega)$ for $(t, \omega) \in C_\varepsilon$. Then $h_t(\omega) \geq \alpha_\varepsilon$ for $(t, \omega) \in C_\varepsilon$. \blacksquare

We can prove now the following result.

Theorem 8. If the Hypothesis B is satisfied then for every square integrably bounded \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative set-valued stochastic process $G = (G_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ with values in the space $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$, a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T G_t dB_t$ is integrably bounded if and only if there is an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation $(g^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of G such that $\|g^n - g^m\| = 0$ for every $n, m \geq 1$.

Proof. If $(g^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation of G such that if $\|g^n - g^m\| = 0$ for every $n, m \geq 1$ then a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T G_t dB_t$ is integrably bounded because in such a case we have $G_t(\omega) = \text{cl}\{g_t(\omega)\}$ for $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ with $g \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ and therefore, $\int_0^T G_t dB_t = \int_0^T g_t dB_t$.

Suppose $(g^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation of G such that there are $f, g \in \{g^n : n \geq 1\}$ such that $\|f - g\| > 0$ and let $C \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ be a set of positive measure $\mu = t \times P$ such that $|f_t(\omega) - g_t(\omega)| > 0$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in C$ and $|f_t(\omega) - g_t(\omega)| = 0$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in C^c$. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 4 we can select an \mathbb{F} -nonanticipative Castaing's representation $(\tilde{g}^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of G such that there are $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \{\tilde{g}^n : n \geq 1\}$ having elements $\tilde{f}^{i,j}$ and $\tilde{g}^{i,j}$ such that $\tilde{f}_t^{i,j}(\omega) - \tilde{g}_t^{i,j}(\omega) > 0$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in C$ and $\tilde{f}_t^{i,j}(\omega) - \tilde{g}_t^{i,j}(\omega) = 0$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in C^c$. By virtue of Lemma 7 for $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\Sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ -measurable set $C_\varepsilon \subset C$ of positive measure $\mu = dt \times P$ and a real number $\alpha_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \tilde{h}^{i,j} \geq \mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \alpha_\varepsilon$, where $\tilde{h}^{i,j} = \tilde{f}^{i,j} - \tilde{g}^{i,j}$. Let $h^{i,j} = \mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \tilde{h}^{i,j} + \mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon^c} \cdot 0$, where $C_\varepsilon^c = [0, T] \times \Omega \setminus C_\varepsilon$. We have $\mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} h^{i,j} \geq \mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \alpha_\varepsilon$, $\mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon^c} h^{i,j} = 0$ and $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, by virtue of Lemma 2 a set $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \tilde{h}^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$. But $\mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \tilde{h}^{i,j} \leq \mathbb{1}_C \tilde{h}^{i,j}$. Therefore, $[0, \mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \tilde{h}^{i,j}] \subset [0, \mathbb{1}_C \tilde{h}^{i,j}]$, which similarly as in the proof of Lemma 1, implies that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_{C_\varepsilon} \tilde{h}^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}) \subset \text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C \tilde{h}^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$. Therefore, $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j(\mathbb{1}_C \tilde{h}^{i,j} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$, which by Corollary 1 implies that $\text{dec}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}_T^j[(\tilde{f} - \tilde{g}) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{F}}]$ is an unbounded subset of the space $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence by Lemma 5 it follows that a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T F_t dB_t$ of a set-valued process F defined by $F_t(\omega) = \{f_t(\omega), g_t(\omega)\}$ for $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ is not square integrably bounded. But $\int_0^T F_t dB_t \subset \int_0^T G_t dB_t$ a.s. Therefore, a set-valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T G_t dB_t$ is not square integrably bounded. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Hiai, *Multivalued stochastic integrals and stochastic inclusions*, Division of Applied Mathematics, Research Institute of Applied Electricity, Sapporo 060 Japan (not published).
- [2] F. Hiai and H. Umegaki, *Integrals, conditional expectations, and martingales of multivalued functions*, J. Multivariate Anal. **7** (1977), 149–182. doi:10.1016/0047-259X(77)90037-9
- [3] Sh. Hu and N.S. Papageorgiou, *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis I* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, London, 1997). doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-6359-4
- [4] E.J. Jung and J. H. Kim, *On the set-valued stochastic integrals*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **21** (2) (2003), 401–418. doi:10.1081/SAP-120019292

- [5] M. Kisielewicz, *Set-valued stochastic integrals and stochastic inclusions*, Discuss. Math. Diff. Incl. **15** (1) (1995), 61–74.
- [6] M. Kisielewicz, *Set-valued stochastic integrals and stochastic inclusions*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **15** (5) (1997), 783–800. doi:10.1080/07362999708809507
- [7] M. Kisielewicz, *Some properties of set-valued stochastic integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **388** (2012), 984–995. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.10.050
- [8] M. Kisielewicz, *Stochastic Differential Inclusions and Applications* (Springer, New York, 2013). doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-6756-4
- [9] M. Kisielewicz, *Properties of generalized set-valued stochastic integrals*, Discuss. Math. DICO **34** (1) (2014), 131–147. doi:10.7151/dmdico.1155
- [10] M. Kisielewicz and M. Michta, *Integrably bounded set-valued stochastic integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (submitted to print).
- [11] M. Michta, *Remarks on unboundedness of set-valued Itô stochastic integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **424** (2015), 651–663. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.11.041

Received 14 November 2015

