

ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF AN
INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION
IN BANACH SPACES

STANISŁAW SZUFLA

Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

**Dedicated to Professor Michał Kisielewicz
on the occasion of his 70th birthday**

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$(1) \quad x^{(m)}(t) = f(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds,$$

$$(2) \quad x(0) = 0, x'(0) = \eta_1, \dots, x^{(m-1)}(0) = \eta_{m-1}$$

in a Banach space E , where $m \geq 1$ is a natural number. We assume that $D = [0, a]$, $B = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq b\}$ and $f : D \times B \rightarrow E$, $g : D^2 \times B \rightarrow E$ are bounded continuous functions. Let

$$m_1 = \sup\{\|f(t, x)\| : t \in D, x \in B\}$$

$$m_2 = \sup\{\|g(t, s, x)\| : t, s \in D, x \in B\}.$$

We choose a positive number d such that $d \leq a$ and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\eta_j\| \frac{d^j}{j!} + m_1 \frac{d^m}{m!} + m_2 \frac{d^{m+1}}{m!} \leq b.$$

Let $J = [0, d]$. Denote by $C = C(J, E)$ the Banach space of continuous functions $z : J \rightarrow E$ with the usual norm $\|z\|_C = \max_{t \in J} \|z(t)\|$.

Let $\tilde{B} = \{x \in C : \|x\|_C \leq b\}$. For $t \in J$ and $x \in \tilde{B}$ put

$$\tilde{g}(t, x) = \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds.$$

Fix $\tau \in J$ and $x \in \tilde{B}$. As the set $J \times x(J)$ is compact, from the continuity of g it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|g(t, s, x(s)) - g(\tau, s, x(s))\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t, s \in J \text{ with } |t - \tau| < \delta.$$

In view of the inequality

$$\|\tilde{g}(t, x) - \tilde{g}(\tau, x)\| \leq m_2 |t - \tau| + \int_0^\tau \|g(t, s, x(s)) - g(\tau, s, x(s))\| ds,$$

this implies the continuity of the function $t \rightarrow \tilde{g}(t, x)$. On the other hand, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem proves that for each fixed $t \in J$ the function $x \rightarrow \tilde{g}(t, x)$ is continuous on \tilde{B} . Moreover,

$$\|\tilde{g}(t, x)\| \leq m_2 t \quad \text{for } t \in J \text{ and } x \in \tilde{B}.$$

Let α be the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness in E (cf. [1]).

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem. *Let $w : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous nondecreasing function such that $w(0) = 0$, $w(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ and*

$$\int_{0+} \frac{dr}{\sqrt[m]{r^{m-1}w(r)}} = \infty.$$

If

$$(4) \quad \alpha(f(t, X)) \leq w(\alpha(X)) \quad \text{for } t \in J \text{ and } X \subset B,$$

and the set $g(D^2 \times B)$ is relatively compact in E , then there exists at least one solution of (1)–(2) defined on J .

Proof. The problem (1)–(2) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$x(t) = p(t) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} [f(s, x(s)) + \tilde{g}(s, x)] ds \quad (t \in J),$$

where $p(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \eta_j \frac{t^j}{j!}$. We define the mapping F by

$$F(x)(t) = p(t) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} [f(s, x(s)) + \tilde{g}(s, x)] ds \quad (t \in J, x \in \tilde{B}).$$

Owing to (3), it is known (cf. [5]) that F is a continuous mapping $\tilde{B} \mapsto \tilde{B}$ and the set $F(\tilde{B})$ is equicontinuous. By the Mazur lemma the set $W = \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq d} \lambda \overline{\text{conv}} g(D^2 \times B)$ is relatively compact. Since $\{(t-s)^{m-1} \tilde{g}(s, x) : x \in \tilde{B}\} \subset (t-s)^{m-1} W$, we have $\alpha(\{(t-s)^{m-1} \tilde{g}(s, x) : x \in \tilde{B}\}) \leq (t-s)^{m-1} \alpha(W) = 0$. Therefore, by the Heinz lemma [2]

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \alpha \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} \tilde{g}(s, x) ds : x \in \tilde{B} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t \alpha \left(\left\{ (t-s)^{m-1} \tilde{g}(s, x) : x \in \tilde{B} \right\} \right) ds = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any positive integer n put

$$v_n(t) = \begin{cases} p(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{d}{n} \\ p(t) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^{t-\frac{d}{n}} (t-s)^{m-1} [f(s, v_n(s)) + \tilde{g}(s, v_n)] ds & \text{if } \frac{d}{n} \leq t \leq d. \end{cases}$$

Then, by (3), $v_n \in \tilde{B}$ and

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - F(v_n)\|_C = 0.$$

Put $V = \{v_n : n \in N\}$ and $Z(t) = \{x(t) : x \in Z\}$ for $t \in J$ and $Z \subset C$. As $V \subset \{v_n - F(v_n) : n \in N\} + F(V)$ and $V \subset \tilde{B}$, from (6) it follows that the set V is equicontinuous and the function $t \mapsto v(t) = \alpha(V(t))$ is continuous on J . Applying now the Heinz lemma and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(F(V)(t)) = \\ & = \alpha \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} [f(s, v_n(s)) + \tilde{g}(s, v_n)] ds : n \in N \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \alpha \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} f(s, v_n(s)) ds : n \in N \right\} \right) \\ & + \alpha \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} \tilde{g}(s, x) ds : x \in \tilde{B} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} f(s, v_n(s)) ds : n \in N \right\} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t \alpha \left(\{ (t-s)^{m-1} f(s, v_n(s)) : n \in N \} \right) ds \\
&\leq \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} \alpha(f(s, V(s))) ds \\
&\leq \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w(\alpha(V(s))) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (6) and the inclusion

$$V(t) \subset \{v_n(t) - F(v_n)(t) : n \in N\} + F(V)(t)$$

it follows that $v(t) \leq \alpha(F(V)(t))$. Hence

$$v(t) \leq \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w(v(s)) ds \quad \text{for } t \in J.$$

Putting $h(t) = \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w(v(s)) ds$, we see that $h \in C^m$, $v(t) \leq h(t)$, $h^{(j)}(t) \geq 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, $h^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ and $h^{(m)}(t) = 2w(v(t)) \leq 2w(h(t))$ for $t \in J$. By Theorem 1 of [6], from this we deduce that $h(t) = 0$ for $t \in J$. Thus $\alpha(V(t)) = 0$ for $t \in J$. Therefore for each $t \in J$ the set $V(t)$ is relatively compact in E , and by Ascoli's theorem the set V is relatively compact in C . Hence we can find a subsequence (v_{n_k}) of (v_n) which converges in C to a limit u . As F is continuous, from (6) we conclude that $u = F(u)$, so that u is a solution of (1)–(2).

Remark. It is known (cf. [7], Theorem 4) that under the assumptions of the Theorem the set of all solutions of (1)–(2) defined on J is a compact R_δ set in $C(J, E)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Banaś, K. Goebel, Measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1980.

- [2] H.P. Heinz, *On the behaviour of measures of noncompactness with respect to differentiation and integration of vector-valued functions*, Nonlinear Anal. **7** (1983), 1351–1371.
- [3] M. Kisielewicz, *Differential Inclusions and Optimal Control*, PWN, Warszawa, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1991.
- [4] M. Kisielewicz, *Existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. **50** (1989), 117–128.
- [5] S. Szufła, *On Volterra integral equations in Banach spaces*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **20** (1977), 247–258.
- [6] S. Szufła, *Osgood type conditions for an m -th order differential equation*, Discuss. Math. Diff. Inclusions **18** (1998), 45–55.
- [7] S. Szufła, *On the structure of solutions sets of differential and integral equations in Banach spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. **34** (1977), 165–177.

Received 9 June 2009