

A NOTE ON THE DENJOY INTEGRAL

BY

K. KRZYŻEWSKI (WARSZAWA)

This paper continues the investigations concerning the change of variable in the Denjoy integral contained in [2] and [3]. We shall occupy ourselves with the Denjoy-Khintchine integral. The notation and terminology concerning the Denjoy integrals are the same as in [5]. We shall begin with the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. *Let f be D -integrable on an interval $[a, b]$ and φ be approximately derivable almost everywhere on an interval $[c, d]$ such that $\varphi([c, d]) \subset [a, b]$. If the function $G = F(\varphi)$, where F is an indefinite D -integral of f on $[a, b]$, is ACG on $[c, d]$, then the function $f(\varphi)\varphi'_{ap}$ is D -integrable on $[c, d]$ and for $t \in (c, d)$*

$$(1) \quad (D) \int_{\varphi(c)}^{\varphi(t)} f(x) dx = (D) \int_c^t f(\varphi(t)) \varphi'_{ap}(t) dt.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let f be D -integrable on an interval $[a, b]$ and F be an indefinite D -integral of f on $[a, b]$. Further, let φ be a continuous almost everywhere approximately derivable function fulfilling condition (N) on an interval $[c, d]$ such that*

$$\varphi([c, d]) \subset [a, b].$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $G = F(\varphi)$ is ACG on $[c, d]$;
- (ii) $f(\varphi)\varphi'_{ap}$ is D -integrable on $[c, d]$ and (1) holds;
- (iii) $f(\varphi)\varphi'_{ap}$ is D -integrable on $[c, d]$.

The proofs of these theorems are similar to those of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in [2]. It suffices to use Theorem and Lemma 3 in [4] and Theorem 3, p. 17, in [6] instead of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 in [2].

Now we shall give the following definitions:

A function F will be said to be L (ACM) on a set E if F satisfies the Lipschitz condition on E (is monotone and absolutely continuous in the wide sense on E). A function F will be said to be LG ($ACMG$) on

a set E if F is continuous on E and the set E is expressible as the sum of a finite or countable sequence of sets on each of which F is L (ACM).

The following lemmas may be proved in the standard way used in the theory of Denjoy integrals (see Theorem (9.1), p. 233, and Theorem (10.5), p. 235, in [5]):

LEMMA 1. *A continuous function is LG (ACMG) on a set E if and only if for every perfect set $E_1 \subset E$ there exists a portion P of E_1 such that F is L (ACM) on P .*

LEMMA 2. *Let F be a continuous function on a perfect set E_1 . If F is not L on any portion of E_1 , then the set E_2 of all points $x \in E_1$ such that*

$$|\overline{F}_{E_1}^+(x)| + |\underline{F}_{E_1}^+(x)| = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad |\overline{F}_{E_1}^-(x)| + |\underline{F}_{E_1}^-(x)| = +\infty$$

is dense in E_1 .

The following theorem is an analogue to the one proved for the functions ACG_* in [2] (see Theorem 4 and Theorem 5):

THEOREM 3. *Let F be a function defined on an interval $[a, b]$ and let $[c, d]$ be any interval. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) F is LG on $[a, b]$;
- (ii) for every function φ which is ACG on $[c, d]$ and such that $\varphi([c, d]) \subset [a, b]$, the function $G = F(\varphi)$ is ACG on $[c, d]$;
- (iii) for every function φ which is AC on $[c, d]$ and such that $\varphi([c, d]) \subset [a, b]$, the function $G = F(\varphi)$ is ACG on $[c, d]$.

Proof. The implication (i) \rightarrow (ii) easily follows from Lemma 5 in [4]. Since (ii) evidently implies (iii), it suffices to prove that (iii) \rightarrow (i). To do so, let us assume that (iii) is satisfied. Suppose that, to the contrary, F is not LG on $[a, b]$. Then, since F is evidently continuous on $[a, b]$, there exists, in view of Lemma 1, a perfect set $E_1 \subset [a, b]$ such that F is not L on any portion of E_1 . Let E_2 be the set from Lemma 2. Since F is continuous, it easily follows that there exist points $x_{k,n} \in E_2$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$, positive integers $s_{k,n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$, and positive integers l_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that for every integer n the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(2) \quad x_{k,n} < x_{k+1,n} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1,$$

$$(3) \quad x_{2k,n} = x_{4k,n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad x_{2k-1,n} = x_{4k-3,n+1} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1},$$

$$(4) \quad |F(x_{2k,n}) - F(x_{2k-1,n})| > l_n |x_{2k,n} - x_{2k-1,n}| \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1},$$

$$(5) \quad |x_{2k,n} - x_{2k-1,n}| < \min \left(\frac{1}{3^n (s_0 + 1)(s_1 + 1) \dots (s_{n-1} + 1)}, \frac{1}{3} b_{n-1} \right) \\ \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1},$$

$$(6) \quad \frac{(s_0+1)(s_1+1)\dots(s_{n-1}+1)}{l_n} \leq \frac{1}{3^n},$$

$$(7) \quad s_{k,n} l_n |x_{2k,n} - x_{2k-1,n}| > 1 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1},$$

$$(8) \quad (s_{k,n}-1) l_n |x_{2k,n} - x_{2k-1,n}| \leq 1 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1},$$

where

$$(9) \quad s_n = \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}} (s_{k,n}) & \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{for } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(10) \quad b_n = \begin{cases} \min_{1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}} (x_{2k,n} - x_{2k-1,n}) & \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 1 & \text{for } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us now put

$$E_3 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} [x_{2k-1,n}, x_{2k,n}].$$

In view of (2), (3) and (5) E_3 is a perfect set of measure zero. We shall now define by induction, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$, some sets $A_C(k, n)$ and $A_O(k, n)$ consisting of ordered systems of n positive integers; with each system (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) belonging to $A_C(k, n)$ we shall associate a closed interval $C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k,n}$ and with each system $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_O(k, n)$ an open interval $O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k,n}$. We let first

$$A_C(2, 1) = A_O(1, 1) = A_O(2, 1) = \{(i_1): i_1 = 1, 2, \dots, s_{1,1}\},$$

$$A_C(1, 1) = \{(i_1): i_1 = 1, 2, \dots, s_{1,1} + 1\}.$$

The closed intervals $C_{(i_1)}^{k,1}$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $(i_1) \in A_C(k, 1)$ and the open intervals $O_{(i_1)}^{k,1}$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $(i_1) \in A_O(k, 1)$ are uniquely determined by the following conditions:

$$(11) \quad C_{(i_1)}^{1,1} < O_{(i_1)}^{1,1} < C_{(i_1)}^{2,1} < O_{(i_1)}^{2,1} < C_{(i_1+1)}^{1,1} \quad (1) \quad \text{for } i_1 = 1, 2, \dots, s_{1,1},$$

$$(12) \quad |C_{(i_1)}^{1,1}| = |O_{(i_1)}^{1,1}| = |C_{(i_1)}^{2,1}| = |O_{(i_1)}^{2,1}| = |C_{(i_1+1)}^{1,1}| = \frac{d-c}{4s_{1,1}+1}$$

for $i_1 = 1, 2, \dots, s_{1,1}$,

$$(13) \quad \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{s_{1,1}+1} C_{(i_1)}^{1,1} \cup \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{s_{1,1}} O_{(i_1)}^{1,1} \cup \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{s_{1,1}} C_{(i_1)}^{2,1} \cup \bigcup_{i_1=1}^{s_{1,1}} O_{(i_1)}^{2,1} = [c, d].$$

Let us now suppose that for some positive integer n and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ the sets $A_C(k, n)$, $A_O(k, n)$, the closed intervals $C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k,n}$ for

(1) If P_1 and P_2 are intervals, then $P_1 < P_2$ means that P_1 is situated on the left of P_2 .

$(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_C(k, n)$ and the open intervals $O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n}$ for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_O(k, n)$ are already defined. Then the sets $A_C(k, n+1)$, $A_O(k, n+1)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n+1}$ are defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_C(4k-1, n+1) &= A_O(4k-1, n+1) = A_O(4k, n+1) \\
 &= \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}): (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_C(2k, n), i_{n+1} = 1, 2, \dots, s_{2k, n+1}\} \\
 &\quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}, \\
 A_C(4k, n+1) &= \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}): (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_C(2k, n), \\
 &\quad i_{n+1} = 1, 2, \dots, s_{2k, n+1} + 1\} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}, \\
 A_C(4k-2, n+1) &= A_O(4k-2, n+1) = A_O(4k-3, n+1) \\
 &= \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}): (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_C(2k-1, n), i_{n+1} = 1, 2, \dots, s_{2k-1, n+1}\} \\
 &\quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}, \\
 A_C(4k-3, n+1) &= \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}): (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_C(2k-1, n), \\
 &\quad i_{n+1} = 1, 2, \dots, s_{2k-1, n+1} + 1\} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The closed intervals $C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{k, n+1}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n+1}$ and $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}) \in A_C(k, n+1)$ and the open intervals $O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{k, n+1}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n+1}$ and $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}) \in A_O(k, n+1)$ are then uniquely determined by the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k, n+1} &< O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k, n+1} < C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-1, n+1} \\
 &< O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-1, n+1} < C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}+1)}^{4k, n+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_C(2k, n)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$ and $i_{n+1} = 1, 2, \dots, s_{2k, n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad |C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k, n+1}| &= |O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k, n+1}| = |C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-1, n+1}| \\
 &= |O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-1, n+1}| = |C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}+1)}^{4k, n+1}| = \frac{1}{s_{2k, n+1} + 1} |C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{2k, n}|
 \end{aligned}$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_C(2k, n)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$ and $i_{n+1} = 1, 2, \dots, s_{2k, n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad \bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{s_{2k, n+1}+1} C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k, n+1} &\cup \bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{s_{2k, n+1}} O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k, n+1} \cup \\
 &\cup \bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{s_{2k, n+1}} C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-1, n+1} \cup \bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{s_{2k, n+1}} O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-1, n+1} = C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{2k, n}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_C(2k, n)$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-3, n+1} &< O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-3, n+1} < C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-2, n+1} \\
 &< O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-2, n+1} < C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}+1)}^{4k-3, n+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_G(2k, n)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$ and $i_{n+1} = 1, 2, \dots, s_{2k-1, n+1}$,

$$(18) \quad |C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-3, n+1}| = |O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-3, n+1}| = |C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-2, n+1}| \\ = |O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-2, n+1}| = |C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}+1)}^{4k-3, n+1}| = \frac{1}{4s_{2k-1, n+1}+1} |C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{2k-1, n}|$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_G(2k-1, n)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$ and $i_{n+1} = 1, 2, \dots, s_{2k-1, n+1}$,

$$(19) \quad \bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{s_{2k-1, n+1}+1} C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-3, n+1} \cup \bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{s_{2k-1, n+1}} O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-3, n+1} \cup \\ \cup \bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{s_{2k-1, n+1}} C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-2, n+1} \cup \bigcup_{i_{n+1}=1}^{s_{2k-1, n+1}} O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})}^{4k-2, n+1} = C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{2k-1, n}$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_G(2k-1, n)$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$.

Define now a function φ on the set T consisting of the ends of all intervals $C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n}$, where $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_G(k, n)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, in the following way: $\varphi(t) = x_{k, n}$ if t is the end of an interval $C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n}$. In view of (3), (14), (16), (17) and (19), the function φ is well-defined. In order to prove that φ is uniformly continuous on T , let ε be any positive number. In view of (5), there exists positive integer n_0 such that $x_{2k, n_0} - x_{2k-1, n_0} < \varepsilon$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n_0-1}$. Let $\delta = \min(O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n})$ where (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) runs over the set $A_G(k, n)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots, n_0$. Now, if t_1 and t_2 are two points belonging to T such that $|t_2 - t_1| < \delta$, then $|\varphi(t_2) - \varphi(t_1)| < \varepsilon$. In fact, from the definition of $C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n}$ it follows that then there exists a positive integer $k_0 \leq 2^{n_0}$ such that $[t_1, t_2] \subset C_{(i_1^0, i_2^0, \dots, i_{n_0}^0)}^{k_0, n_0}$ for some $(i_1^0, i_2^0, \dots, i_{n_0}^0) \in A_G(k_0, n_0)$. Since

$$\varphi(T \cap C_{(i_1^0, i_2^0, \dots, i_{n_0}^0)}^{k_0, n_0}) \subset [x_{2E((k_0+1)/2)-1, n_0}, x_{2E((k_0+1)/2), n_0}],$$

where $E(y)$ denotes the integral part of y , we have $|\varphi(t_2) - \varphi(t_1)| < \varepsilon$. Thus φ is uniformly continuous on T . Therefore φ can be extended to a function continuous on \bar{T} . We shall denote it also by φ .

Note that

$$(20) \quad \bar{T} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} \bigcup_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \\ \in A_G(k, n)}} C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n}$$

and in view of (25), (29) and (32) it follows that

$$\left| \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} \bigcup_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \\ \in A_G(k, n)}} C_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n} \right| \leq \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n (d - c)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This implies that $|\bar{T}| = 0$. Now we extend φ linearly on the intervals contiguous to \bar{T} . This new function will also be denoted by φ . It follows from (13), (16), (19) and (20) that the intervals $O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n}$ for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_O(k, n)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are contiguous to \bar{T} . Since the set E_3 is of measure zero and $\varphi(\bar{T}) \subset E_3$, φ fulfils condition (N) on $[c, d]$. Now we shall show that φ is AC on $[c, d]$. For this purpose, in view of Theorem (7.7), p. 285 in [5], it suffices to show that φ' is integrable in the Lebesgue sense on the set of points of derivability of φ . Since $|\bar{T}| = 0$, this is equivalent to the fact that the series of increments of φ over the intervals contiguous to \bar{T} is absolutely convergent. In order to prove the last condition, let us note that in view of (11), (14) and (17) we have

$$(21) \quad \Delta(\varphi; P_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n}) = x_{2E((k+1)/2), n} - x_{2E((k+1)/2) - 1, n} \quad (2)$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_O(k, n)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Further, from the definition of the sets $A_O(k, n)$ it follows that if $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in A_O(k, n)$, then

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} i_j &\leq s_j + 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \quad (n > 1), \\ i_n &= s_{E((k+1)/2), n}. \end{aligned}$$

(21) and (22) imply

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \\ \in A_O(k, n)}} |\Delta(\varphi; O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n})| \\ &\leq s_{E((k+1)/2), n} (s_0 + 1)(s_1 + 1) \dots (s_{n-1} + 1) (x_{2E((k+1)/2), n} - x_{2E((k+1)/2) - 1, n}). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (6) and (8) we obtain

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} &(s_{E((k+1)/2), n} - 1)(s_0 + 1)(s_1 + 1) \dots \\ &\dots (s_{n-1} + 1)(x_{2E((k+1)/2), n} - x_{2E((k+1)/2) - 1, n}) \leq 1/3^n, \end{aligned}$$

and in view of (5) we have

$$(25) \quad (s_0 + 1)(s_1 + 1) \dots (s_{n-1} + 1)(x_{2E((k+1)/2), n} - x_{2E((k+1)/2) - 1, n}) < 1/3^n$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. From (23), (24) and (25) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \\ \in A_O(k, n)}} |\Delta(\varphi; O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n})| < 2^{n+1}/3^n.$$

This completes the proof that φ is AC on $[c, d]$. Since we have assumed that (iii) is satisfied, the function $G = F(\varphi)$ ought to be ACG on $[c, d]$

(2) If φ is a function, then $\Delta(\varphi; P)$ denotes the increment of φ on the interval P .

and therefore also VBG on $[c, d]$. We shall prove that G is not VBG on $[c, d]$ and this will yield a contradiction. In view of Theorem (9.1), p. 233 in [5], it suffices to show that G is not VB on any portion of \bar{T} . To prove this, let us note that in view of (4) we have

$$|\Delta(G; O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n})| > l_n(x_{2E((k+1)/2), n} - x_{2E((k+1)/2)-1, n}),$$

whence, in view of (22), we obtain

$$(26) \quad \sum_{i_n=1}^{s_{E((k+1)/2), n}} |\Delta(G; O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n})| > s_{E((k+1)/2), n} l_n(x_{2E((k+1)/2), n} - x_{2E((k+1)/2)-1, n})$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in A_C(E((k+1)/2), n-1)$, $k=1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ and $n=2, 3, \dots$. This, in view of (7), implies

$$(27) \quad \sum_{i_n=1}^{s_{E((k+1)/2), n}} |\Delta(G; O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n})| > 1$$

for $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in A_C(E((k+1)/2), n-1)$, $k=1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ and $n=2, 3, \dots$. From the definition of the intervals $O_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}^{k, n}$ and in view of (27) it follows that the function G is not VB on any portion of the set \bar{T} . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

From Theorem 3 and Theorem 2 it follows

COROLLARY 1. *Let f be D -integrable on an interval $[a, b]$, and let $[c, d]$ be any interval. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *an indefinite D -integral of f on the interval $[a, b]$ is an LG function;*
- (ii) *for every function φ which is ACG on $[c, d]$ and such that $\varphi([c, d]) \subset [a, b]$ the function $f(\varphi)\varphi'_{ap}$ is D -integrable on $[c, d]$ and (1) holds;*
- (iii) *for every function φ which is AC on $[c, d]$ and such that $\varphi([c, d]) \subset [a, b]$ the function $f(\varphi)\varphi'_{ap}$ is D -integrable on $[c, d]$.*

The implication (i) \rightarrow (ii) was proved by Tolstoff in [7] and by Karták in [1] under a stronger hypothesis.

We shall now give the characterization of ACMG-functions. For this purpose we shall prove

LEMMA 3. *If a continuous function φ fulfilling condition (N) is not ACM on any portion of a perfect set E_1 , then the set E_2 of all points x belonging to E_1 such that φ is not monotone on the right at x with respect to E_1 , is dense in E_1 ⁽³⁾.*

Lemma 3 may be proved by means of Lemma 1 and the argumentation similar to that in the proof of implication (iv) \rightarrow (i) in Theorem 1 in [3]. Therefore we shall omit the proof.

⁽³⁾ A function φ is monotone on the right at a point x belonging to a set E with respect to this set if there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that either $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(\bar{x})$ for $\bar{x} \in E \cap [x, x+\delta]$ or $\varphi(x) \geq \varphi(\bar{x})$ for $\bar{x} \in E \cap [x, x+\delta]$.

We shall now prove

THEOREM 4. *Let φ be a function defined on an interval $[c, d]$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is ACMG on $[c, d]$;
- (ii) for every function F which is ACMG on $\varphi([c, d])$, the function $G = F(\varphi)$ is ACG on $[c, d]$;
- (iii) for every increasing function G which is AC on $\varphi([c, d])$ the function $G = F(\varphi)$ is ACG on $[c, d]$.

Proof. The implication (i) \rightarrow (ii) may be proved in a way similar to that used in the proof of implication (i) \rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 2 of [3]. Since (ii) clearly implies (iii), it is enough to prove that (iii) \rightarrow (i). For this purpose, let us assume that (iii) is satisfied. Suppose, to the contrary, that φ is not ACMG on $[c, d]$. Then, since φ is clearly continuous, there exists, in view of Lemma 1, a perfect set $E_1 \subset [c, d]$ such that φ is not ACM on any portion of E_1 . Further, since φ clearly fulfils condition (N) on $[c, d]$ and therefore also on E_1 , we may use Lemma 3. By this lemma there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}$ of points belonging to E_2 , where E_2 is the set from the lemma, which is dense in E_1 . It is easy to see that for $i = 1, 2$ and $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ there exist points $t_{k,n}^i$ such that

$$(28) \quad t_{k,n}^i \in E_1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } n, k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(29) \quad t_n^1 < t_{k,n}^1 < t_{k,n}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad n, k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(30) \quad \varphi(t_{k,n}^1) < \varphi(t_{k+1,n}^1) < \varphi(t_n) < \varphi(t_{k+1,n}^2) < \varphi(t_{k,n}^2) \quad \text{for } n, k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(31) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k,n}^i = t_n \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(32) \quad t_{k+1,n}^2 < t_{k,n}^1 \quad \text{for} \quad n, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now let us define, for each positive integer n , a function F_n on the interval $[a, b] = \varphi([c, d])$ as follows:

$$F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x = \varphi(t_n), \\ 1/k & \text{for } x = \varphi(t_{k,n}^2), \\ \text{otherwise piece-wise linear so that } F_n \text{ be increasing.} \end{cases}$$

Then the function F

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{F_n(x)}{n^2 M_n},$$

where $M_n = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |F_n(x)|$, is increasing and AC on $[a, b]$ (see the proof of Theorem 3 in [3]). We shall now show that the function $G = F(\varphi)$ is not ACG on $[c, d]$ and this will contradict the hypothesis. For this

purpose, it suffices to prove that G is not VB on any portion of the set E_1 (see Theorem (9.1), p. 233 in [5]). To prove this, let us suppose that P is any portion of E_1 . Then, since the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is dense in E_1 , there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $t_{n_0} \in P$. In view of (31) there exists a positive integer k_{n_0} such that for $k \geq k_{n_0}$ the points t_{k,n_0}^1, t_{k,n_0}^2 belong to P . From the definition of F and in view of (30) we obtain

$$|G(t_{k,n_0}^2) - G(t_{k,n_0}^1)| > \frac{1}{kn_0^2 M_{n_0}}$$

for each positive integer k . Since, in view of (32), the intervals $[t_{k,n_0}^1, t_{k,n_0}^2]$ are non-overlapping and their ends belong to P , G is not VB on P . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

From Theorem 4 and Theorem 2 follows

COROLLARY 2. *Let φ be a continuous, almost everywhere approximately derivable function fulfilling condition (N) on an interval $[c, d]$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is ACMG on $[c, d]$;
- (ii) for every function f D -integrable on $\varphi([c, d])$, the function $f(\varphi)\varphi'_{ap}$ is D -integrable on $[c, d]$ and (1) holds;
- (iii) for every non-negative function f integrable on $\varphi([c, d])$ in the Lebesgue sense, the function $f(\varphi)\varphi'_{ap}$ is D -integrable on $[c, d]$.

The implication (i) \rightarrow (ii) was proved by Tolstoff in [8] under a stronger hypothesis on function φ .

Since the following theorem can be proved in the standard way used in the theory of the Denjoy integrals (Romanowski's lemma), we shall omit the proof.

THEOREM 5. *Let φ be a continuous, almost everywhere approximately derivable function fulfilling condition (N) on an interval $[c, d]$. Further, let f be a function defined on $\varphi([c, d])$ such that the function $f(\varphi)\varphi'_{ap}$ is D -integrable (D_* -integrable) on $[c, d]$. Then the function f is D -integrable (D_* -integrable) on $\varphi([c, d])$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Karták, *Věta o substituci pro Denjoyovy integrály*, Časopis pro pěstování matematiky 81 (1956), p. 410-419.
- [2] K. Krzyżewski, *On change of variable in the Denjoy-Perron integral (I)*, Colloquium Mathematicum 9 (1962), p. 99-104.
- [3] — *On change of variable in the Denjoy-Perron integral (II)*, ibidem, p. 317-323.
- [4] — *On the approximative derivative of superposition*, Prace Matematyczne 9 (1965), p. 123-127.

- [5] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, Warszawa-Lwów 1937.
- [6] J. Ridder, *Über das allgemeine Denjoysche Integral*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 21 (1933), p. 11-19.
- [7] Р. П. Толстов, *Асимптотическая производная сложной функции*, Математический сборник 27 (69) (1950), p. 325-332.
- [8] — *О криволинейном и повторном интеграле*, Труды Математического Института им. Стеклова 35 (1950), p. 1-101.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 30.12.1966
